# FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS ON RICE 2019-20

Shaik N.Meera S. Arun Kumar S R Voleti

## FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS ON RICE

(2019-20)

-----

Shaik N.Meera

S. Arun Kumar

S R Voleti



ICAR- Indian Institute of Rice Research (Indian Council of Agriculture Research) Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500 030, India



Correct Citation: Shaik N. Meera, S. Arun Kumar and SR Voleti (2020). Frontline Demonstrations on Rice 2019-20. Indian Institute of Rice Research, Hyderabad. P.

A Brief Report on Frontline Demonstrations on Rice 2019-20 ICAR Indian Institute of Rice Research Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500 030 April 2020

Compiled and Edited by: Shaik N. Meera S. Arun Kumar SR Voleti

Published by:

Dr. S R Voleti Director (Acting) ICAR - Indian Institute of Rice Research Rajendranagar, Hyderabad – 500030

Printed at:

## Contents

S No.	Item	Page
1	Introduction	6
2	Technical programme of FLD 2019-20	8
3	Statewise Details of FLDs	24
4	Ecosystem wise Performance of FLD Technologies	143
5	Conclusions	156
6	List of Nodal Officers	157
7	Acknowledgements	161

Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)

#### **I INTRODUCTION**

Rice is the most important crop in India, and plays a critical role in food security. It is a choice crop of the millions of poor and small farmers not only for income but also for household food security. India has the largest area under rice worldwide with 42.5 million hectares and a production that comes second only behind China in total rice production. The current productivity is still much lower and needs to be increased within the limits of the scope for expanding the area or irrigation coverage.

In view of the stagnating food grain production and an increasing consumption need of the growing population, Government of India has launched the Centrally Sponsored Scheme, 'National Food Security Mission' (NFSM) in August 2007. The major objective of this scheme is to increase production and productivity of rice, wheat and pulses on a sustainable basis so as to ensure food security of the country. The approach is to bridge the yield gap through dissemination of improved technologies and farm management practices.

The Frontline Demonstrations (FLDs) for Rice are an approved component of the National Food Security Mission to augment production of food grains in the country and are conducted by the ICAR/SAUs system. The ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Hyderabad, is the nodal Institution for organizing the FLDs on rice.

Frontline Demonstration is a form of applied research through ICAR/SAUs system on latest notified/released varieties along with full package of practices on selected farmers' fields with a view to demonstrate the potentiality of the technologies to (a) participating farmers (b) neighbouring farmers and other agencies; (c) to analyze the production (d) performance of the technologies for scientific feedback.

#### **Objectives of FLD Program**

- To demonstrate improved Crop Production Technologies of Rice on the farmers' fields;
- To popularize the newly notified and improved varieties/technologies for varietal diversification and efficient management of resources.
- To bring synergy among planners, researchers, farmers and industry for parable interface through seminars/symposium on emerging themes of importance in the field of Rice production for deciding strategies for development of these crops.

#### **Funding Pattern**

Frontline Demonstrations on the basis of above guidelines are conducted in different Ecosystem through Crop Directorate/Coordinating Unit of Indian Council of Agricultural Research/State Agricultural Universities in the potential areas of the country. The funds for the demonstrations so organized by the ICAR/SAUs are provided by the Government of India from the funds available in National Food Security Mission. For such demonstrations, funds are provided directly to the concerned Director and the pattern of assistance is Rs.9000 for Rice per demonstration of one hectare or actual of the cost, whichever is less. The detailed item-wise break-up of the expenditure for organizing a Frontline Demonstration on one hectare of rice is given as under:

S.NO	Component	Amount
1	Cost of critical inputs (seeds/ bio-	8100
	fertilizers/manures/PP chemicals/ herbicides)to	
	supplement the cultivation charges	
2	Organization of Field Day	250
3	Display board and publicity material	250
	(posters/pamphlets/leaflets etc.)	
4	Visit of scientists excluding TA/DA, but hiring of	300*
	Taxi/POL etc	
5	Contingencies/typing of results/ minutes etc	100
	Total	9000

\* Nodal FLD implementing Institute/Directorate may retain 50 percent of the amount for effective monitoring of FLDs across the country.

The results of the frontline demonstrations conducted during the year 2019-20 are briefly given in this report.

#### **II TECHNICAL PROGRAMME - FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS ON RICE 2019-20**

During 54<sup>th</sup> Annual Rice Group Meeting held at NRRI, Cuttack special session was organized for formulating a strategy for implementation of FLDs during ensuing season. The results of FLDs conducted during 2018-19 were presented by Dr. Shaik N. Meera, Principal Scientist and Coordinator, FLD programme along with the general guidelines for effectively conducting forthcoming FLDs. In the session, it was categorically discussed that the FLDs program should address the problems faced by the rice farmers and how best their new technologies could fit into the local contexts. It was made clear that under the critical components no chemical fertilizer is allowed to purchased and distributed. It was suggested that the scientists to identify the problems first and organize an orientation training to the clientele farmers, preference should be given to the marginalized sections and women farmers, well designed field board is a pre-requisite and it should explain everything about the FLD in a lucid manner. It was also conveyed to all the cooperators, as per the advisories from Ministry, to geo-tag the demonstration plots. In order to formulate the plan for FLDs to be organized during 2019-20, indent forms along with Annexures –I, II, III, IV and V were distributed to the cooperators and the indents for proposed FLDs were collected. It was emphasized that whole package of practices has to be demonstrated in the farmers' fields apart from the FLD variety/ hybrid/ technologies allotted.

A committee was constituted to critically analyze the indents submitted by the cooperators and a tentative program for FLDs for the year 2019-20 was chalked out. As decided in the Minstry's review meeting, more than 5% of the Rice FLDs were being proposed for the North East Region. As per the advice from the Ministry, more emphasis was given for organizing the FLDs in eastern and North-eastern hill regions. Critical care was taken to include the demonstrations on varieties, hybrids along with management practices, conservation technologies, labour saving/ input saving technologies etc.,

In the year 2019-20, overall 1000 FLDs were planned with varieties, hybrids and thrust areas like biofortified varieties, pest and disease management, farmer friendly machineries, drudgery reduction etc covering 6 major rice ecosystems and 19 states of the country. A cafeteria of new and innovative technologies is proposed to be demonstrated in the farmers' fields. Care was taken to consider only recently released varieties and hybrids for demonstration. Instead of focusing on only varietal demonstrations, cooperators were encouraged to organize the FLDs on other technologies also. The proceedings were sent to the Ministry for administrative approval.

The Ministry conveyed revised administrative approval for organizing 1000 FLDs vide *letter F. No CPS 18-1/2019-NFSM dated 28 May 2019.* Accordingly, the administrative approval was conveyed to cooperating centres vide email no. *PD/TTT/FLD/2019-20 dated 01 June 2019.* Based on the confirmations of list of farmers, the following technical program was finalized for the year.

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
1.	Andhra Pradesh	Flood prone low land	Ksheera (MTU 1172 ) flood tolerant rice variety	20	Dr PV Satyanaryana, Principal Scientist (Rice), Dr M. Girija Rani, Senior Scientist (GPB), Dr BNVSR Ravi kumar, Senior scientist (GPB) Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University Regional Agricultural Research Station Maruteru-534122	Ksheera (MTU 1172) - high yielding flood tolerant rice variety with 150 days duration, moderately tolerant to bacterial leaf blight, leaf blast, sheath rot and leaf spots, posses 2 weeks seed dormancy was identified by varietal release committee CVRC to release as Ksheera in 2018. Yield under floods: 4.5t/ha
		Irrigated	Varam (MTU 1190 ) medium slender fine grainvareity		psriceangrau@gmail.com, adr.godavarizone@gmail.com girija_aprri@yahoo.com 9490545888 9490195904	MTU 1190 (MTU 1081/Swarasub1) a high yielding fine grain rice variety with 140-145 days duration non lodging, moderately tolerant to leaf blast, neck blast, bacterial leaf blight, possess 2 weeks seed dormancy. Yield potential 6.5 -7 t t/ha
2.	Andhra Pradesh	Irrigated	BPT 2295 (2018)	4	Dr B Krishnaveni ARS Bapatla 522101 Andhra Pradesh 09494997701 09441721120 rrubapatla@gmail.com	Higher yield, BPH and Blast tolerance

## Frontline Demonstrations on Rice 2019-20

Centre	State and	Ecosystem	Technologies to be	No. Of	Name and address of nodal	Relative advantage
No.	agency /		demonstrated	FLDs	officer	
3.	Andhra Pradesh, Telangana & Kerala	Irrigated	Integrated Weed Managment DRR Dhan 46 DRR Dhan 52	30	Dr. B. Sreedevi Principal Scientist, Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500030, <u>sreedevi.palakalanu@gmail.com</u> 9440089607	High yield, resource conservation, reduction in drudgery, reduced cost of cultivator
4.	Assam	Rainfed lowland	CR Dhan 909 CR Dhan 310	25	Dr. R. Bhagawati Principal Scientist & Officer In- charge (I/C) ICAR-NRRI-RRLRRS, Gerua, Hajo Kamrup-781102, Assam, 09436252487, Phone: +91-361- 2820334 FAX: +91-361-2820370 rbhagawati@rediffmail.com	High yielding varieties Stress tolerance
5.	Bihar	Irrigated low land	Popularization of aromatic rice variety "CR Dhan 909" in the state of Bihar	10	Dr. Narayan Bhakta Dr. V. K. Yadav, ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region, ICAR Parisar, P.O. Bihar Veterinary College,Patna- 800014 (Bihar)	Higher yielder under irrigated ecosystem
6.	Chhattisgarh	Irrigated	CGZR1 CGZR2 Zincorice	10	Dr Girish Chandel IGKV Raipur 09340900521	Biofortified with zinc
7.	Chhattisgarh	Irrigated and shallow lowland	CG Sugandhitbhog, Dubraj Selection1, CG Devbhog, Tarunbhog selection 1, Badsahbhog selection 1, Vishnubhog selection 1	30	Dr. Sandeep Bhandarkar IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh sandeep_bhandarkar2002@yaho o.com 9827167044	10% over local resistant to aerobic higher productivity

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
8.	Chhattisgarh (IGKVV)	Rainfed Shallown Lowlands	CG Rice Hybrid 2	10	Dr. Deepak Sharma, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Raipur deepakigkv@gmail.com	High yielding
9.	Chhattisgarh	Upland and transplanted	Samleshwari	5	Dr. Sonali kar SG College of Agriculture, Jagdalpur Bastar, CG <u>sonalikar31@gmail.com</u> 9424282716	High yielding
10.	Gujarat	Western region 6	Mahisagar GAR 14 (Scented variety)	20	Dr Prajapati, Main Rice Research Station , Anand Agriculture University, Nawagam, Gujarat <u>rsrice mrrs@yahoo.com</u> 9429384207	Higher yield, medium slender grain resistant enhance the income of farmers and make them profitable Scented variety
11.	Gujarat	Irrigated & Rainfed ecosystem	GNR-5(long slender grain, salt tolerant), Purna (high yielding, suitable in upland condition) GNRH-1 (high yielding first rice hybrid of public sector in Gujarat) GNR 7 GNR 15	30	Dr. Pathik Kumar B. Patel Main Rice Research Centre, Navsari Agricultural University, ARU cross road, Navsari – 396450 gujaratpbp_swm@nau.in	The varieties/hybrid characterized high yielding, salt tolerant resistant to major pest and disease with good quality likely to be adopted by the farmers of the state
12.	Himachal Pradesh	Hill	HPR 2720, HPR 2795, HPR 2880, HPR 2656 with complete POP	5	Dr. B.S.Mankotia CSKHPKV-Malan 9459083612	nnew red rice variety for irrigated and upland condition for increasing income

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
13.	Jammu & Kashmir	Hill	Shalimar rice 4 &Shalimar rice 5 along with recommended package of practices	35	Dr. Manzoor ahmed Ganai, Mountain Research Centre for field crops SK University of Agricultural Science & Technology of Kashmir	25 % higher yield , easy/medium Threshability, better cooling quality resistant to blast and insect pests, 130-135 days duration from seed to seed, farmers preferred variety
14.	Jammu & Kashmir	Irrigated	Basmati 564, SJR 129 (Jammu Basmati) DSR	20	Dr. Anuradha Saha SKUAST-J, Chatha, J&K <u>anuradha_agron@yahoo.co.in</u> 9419202983	More yield, early maturity than checked variety reduction and drudgery the proposed FLDs are going to benefit rice farmers of eastern up
15.	Jharkhand	Rainfed upland	Sahbhagi Dhan Abhishek DRR Dhan 42 Weed Management in DSR	30	Dr B.C. Verma, Dr. S.M. Prasad, Dr. S. Bhagat Dr. Sudarsha Sekhar CRURRS, Hazaribagh bibhash.ssac@gmail.com 9863083855, 9065343014	Higher yield resistant to abiotic and biotic stress productivity increased income
16.	Jharkhand	Midland rainfed	BVD 203 , BVS -1, DRR Dhan 42,rice cultivation direct seeded technology	15	Dr. Krishna Prasad , i/c Rice Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics Kanke, Ranchi – 6 <u>krishna_dumka@yahoo.co.in</u> 9934199128	BVS-1 is aromatic , 125 days duration variety where as BVD 203 is also 125 days rice variety developed by BAU for mid land agro climatic condition
17.	Jharkhand	Rainfed lowland and mid land	DRR Dhan 42, CR Dhan-310, CR Dhan-311 (30 on Biofortified varieties)	40	Dr. Binay Kumar Singh, Senior Scientist, ICAR -Indian institute of Agricultural Biotechnology, Garhkhatanga , Namkum, Ranchi-834010	High yielding under drought stress, high protein in grain

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
18.	Karnataka	Irrigated	Alternate wetting and drying	5	Dr. BG Masthana Reddy, ARS, Gangavathi ARS, Gangavathi- 583227, Karnataka, Koppal (dist) Bgmreddy2006@gmail.com 9448440518 08533270143	Save water to an extent of 25%
19.	Karnataka	Irrigated	Improved Samba Mahsuri (State release variety 2019)	5	Dr. Mahantasivayogayya ARS, Gangavathi ARS, Gangavathi-583227, Karnataka, Koppal (dist) mahant.shivayogayya2@gmail.co m39892	High yielding, blast resistant
20.	Karnataka	Irrigated	IPM in rice with latest variety	5	Dr Sujay Hurali ARS, Gangavathi ARS, Gangavathi-583227, Karnataka, Koppal (dist) <u>morphosis77@gmail.com</u> +918105427775	Eco friendly pest management practices
21.	Karnataka	Aerobic	Daksha , KMP-175 ICM	20	Dr. M. P Rajanna, Zonal Agricultural Research Station, V.C. Farm, Mandya, UAS, Bangalore, mprajanna@roaketmail.com,994 5900893	The new variety Daksha (KMP-175) saves water upto 40% compare to irrigated transplanted method with yield of 4.0-4.5 t/ha
22.	Karnataka	Irrigated	Alternate wetting and drying Direct seeding in Rice	10	Dr. G. R. Dinesh, AICRIP on Rice ZARS, VC Farm, Mandya, Karnataka-571405, grdenesh@rediffmail.com, 9448980134	Saving of irrigated water, enhance the rice yield, conserving the soil

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
23.	Karnataka	Irrigated	Rice yield maximization using IPNI nutrient expert system	10	Dr. Umesh H. R, AICRIP (Rice), ZARS, VC Farm, Mandya, Karnataka-571405 <u>umeshhr1@rediffmail.com</u> 9481191754	15-20% increase in yield
24.	Kerala	Double cropping	Rice farm mechanization	10	Dr. R. Ilangovan Professor & Head Division of Agronomy RARS, Pattambi679 306 ilangovan.r@kau.in	30% cost reduction, 20-25% yield enhancement
25.	Kerala	Irrigated	PTB 61 (Supriya), PTB 62 (Akshaya)	10	K. V. Faseela, Assistant Professor ( Plant Breeding And Gen) Regional Agricultural Research Station, PATTAMBI, Palakad, Kerala- 679306, faseela.kv@kau.in, 9947542929	High yield(7 t/ha), multiple resistance ( blast, sheath rot, whorl maggot), tolerance to moisture stress/high temperature , non lodging, long duration (135-140d )
26.	Kerala	Irrigated	KAU Pournami (MO23)	5	Dr. Ambily AK Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., rrsmonocompu@kau.in	Higher yield Lesser duration than the popular variety by 10 days Resistance to gall midge Tolerance to acidity
27.	Kerala	Irrigated	Multi nutrient foliar mix application	5	Dr Vandana Venugopal Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., rrsmonocompu@kau.in	Higher yield through essential nutrient application

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
28.	Kerala	Irrigated	Post emergent management of weedy rice using KAU Weed Wiper	5	Dr Nimmy Jose Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., <u>rrsmonocompu@kau.in</u>	Reductionin the weedy rice, drudgery, higher yield, enhanced quality of harvested grains
29.	Kerala	Irrigated	Conservation of natural enemies – use of biopesticides and botanical insecticides	5	Dr Jyothy Sara Jacob Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., <u>rrsmonocompu@kau.in</u>	Avoidance of chemical insecticides, reduction in cost of cultivation, increased natural enemies populaiton
30.	Kerala	Irrigated	Management of glume discoloration	5	Dr Surendran Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., <u>rrsmonocompu@kau.in</u>	Higher yield Improved grain quality Better seed germination
31.	Madhya Pradesh	Semi irrigated	JRB.1 JR 81 Improved Chinnore Improved Jeera Shanker	30	Dr. Uttam Bisen, college of agriculture/RARS, Balghat Murjhad, Waraseoni, dist. Balaghat, m.p - 481331	High yield Enhance productivity and income
32.	Maharashtra	Rainfed	Karjat 10 Karjat 9	20	Drs RL Kunkerkar, Mahendra P Gawai, RG Mardane, RARS Karjat M.S. <u>mahendragawai76@gmail.com</u> 09423454447	High yield due to high yield farmers willing more monetary returns

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
33.	Maharashtra	Rainfed	INM + High yielding varieties	5	Dr AS Dalvi, RARS Karjat, M.S. <u>nntdalvi@gmail.com</u> 9404302826	High yield due to high yield farmers willing more monetary returns
34.	Maharashtra	Rainfed upland/irriga ted	Sakoli-9 and other BPH resistant varieties	5	Dr. G.R. Sham kumar Senior Rice Breeder, Agriculture Research Station, SAKOLI dist-Bhandara srb-skl@rediffmail.com 9403049472	20% yield advantage over local check variety, Moderate resistance to BL, BLB
35.	Maharashtra	Irrigated	Ratnagiri-8 (Notified in 2019 CVRC)	20	Dr. B.D.Waghmode Principal Scientist and Officer incharge, Agricultural Research Station, Shirgaon- 415 629 Ratnagiri	higher yield than local check medium slender grain type with excellent cooking quality, Premium price
36.	Manipur	Irrigated	Sticky rice variety	5	Central Agricultural University	Higher yield and export potential
37.	Odisha	Shallow lowland	Popularization of Mrunalini & other recently released variety released by OUAT	10	Dr. D. N. Bastia, Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, college of agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar-751003, Odisha	As an alternative to Swarna in the shallow low land ecosystem
38.	Odisha	Rainfed shallow low land	Popularization of variety Hasantha & other recently released variety	10	Dr. Mihir Ranjan Mohanty, Junior breeder -cum-officer-in-charge, RRTTSS, OUAT Jeyapore, district-Koraput, Odisha, pin-764 001	BPH tolerant, during kharif 2017 Hasantha shows tolerant to bph in the eastern and northern part of Odisha, so it will be better to test it at southern part also as in southern part of Odisha the particular ,pest damage was observed in little but severe condition

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
39.	Odisha	Upland, lowland	All newly released varieties of NRRI , newly released hybrids of NRRI	50	Dr N C Rath, PS (Agril Extension), ECT Division, Central Rice Research Institute Cuttack 753006 ncrathcrri@yahoo.co.in 08093146925	Higher yield, higher protein content, higher zinc, resistance to disease/pest, good quality
40.	Puducherry	Irrigated	ADT 53	5	Dr V Sridevi, Asst Professor, Department of Agronomy, PAJANCOA, Karaikal 609603 <u>srideviagr@gmail.com</u> 09344833782	ADT 53 – 12% higher yield, Suitable for delayed monsoon/release of canal water
41.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	Popularization of newly released rice variety ADT 51 (15 FLDs) ADT 53(25 FLDs)	30	R. Suresh and D. Sassi Kumar Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Aduthurai-612101, Thanjavur sureshpbg@gmail.com 9489384427	ADT 51 - high yield with average of 6.3 tons/hA 10.0% yield advantage over CR 100G resistant to blast, moderately resistant to BLB ADT 53 – 12% higher yield
42.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	IPDM with ADT 51	10	Drs P Anandhi, D Sassikumar, Suresh Ilamathi Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Aduthurai-612101, Thanjavur srideviagr@gmail.com	high yield with average of 6.3 tons, economic and environmental benefit over conventional practices

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
43.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	CO 52	30	Drs. R Pushpam, Saraswathi R, K Amudha Department of Rice Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbotore-641003 <u>rice@tnau.ac.in</u>	It has higher yield, 10% higher than bpt 5204 has good market renumeration bph and blast resistance when compared to BPT 5204 it has fine grain and cooling quality 135-140 days duration
44.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated Upland	Latest IIRR technologies (DRR Dhan 44, DRR Dhan 45, DRR Dhan 49)	10	Dr. S. Arun Kumar Scientist, Extension Indian Institute of Rice Research Hyderabad 500 030 09246548340 arunswarnaraj@gmail.com (In collaboration with Farmer Producer Organisations)	Higher yield, cost reduction
45.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	ТКМ 13	10	Dr A Sheeba RRS Tirur <u>arstirur@tnau.ac.in</u> <u>sheebateddy@gmail.com</u> 09842005221	10 % higher yield
46.	Telangana	Irrigated Upland	Latest IIRR technologies (DRR Dhan 44, DRR Dhan 45, BINA Dhan 17, BRRI 75), DSR ICM	40	Dr N Vekateshwar Rao, Sreenivasa Reddy, J Vijay KVK Jammikunta neelamrao2000@gmail.com 09848573710 Dr. S. Arun Kumar Indian Institute of Rice Research Hyderabad	Higher yield, cost reduction

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
47.	Telangana	Irrigated Upland	Latest IIRR technologies (DRR Dhan 44, DRR Dhan 45) IPM	15	Dr MA Arifkhan, Dr Shankar Programme Coordinator KVK Kampasagar & Indian Institute of Rice Research Hyderabad Dr. S. Arun Kumar Indian Institute of Rice Research Hyderabad	Higher yield, cost reduction
48.	Telangana	Irrigated	Rice straw management and problem soil management	10	Dr. K. Surekha, principal scientist, soil science, ICAR-IIRR, RAJENDRA NAGAR, Hyderabad-500030, surekhakuchi@gmail.com, 9440963382, 040-24591221, 04024591217	Higher yield , soil quality improvement, residue utilization
49.	Telangana	Irrigated	GSR Varieties – BINA Dhan 17, BRRI 75	20	Drs C Gireesh, Abdul R Fiyaz, MS Anantha, Arun Kumar S ICAR-IIRR, Rajendra Nagar, Hyderabad-500030 <u>giri09@gmail.com</u> <u>anugenes@gmail.com</u>	Higher yield, low resource utilisation
50.	Telangana	Irrigated	Mechanization AWD Chemical Weed Management	20	Dr. P. Spandana Bhatt, Scientist(Agronomy), PJTSAU, ARI, Rice Research Centre, Hyderabad, Telangana 500005, spandana9119@gmail.com, 9705162962	Cost, drudgery reduction

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
51.	Telangana	Irrigated	WGL 347 WGL 739	15	Dr. U Nagabushanam/ Dr B Satish Chandra Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS) PJTSAU, WARANGAL - 506 007, T.S <u>chandragene@gmail.com</u> 9948990788.	Higher yield
52.	Telangana	Irrigated Ecology	SRI and water saving technologies	15	Dr.RMKumarPrincipalScientistandHeadAgronomyIndian Institute of Rice Research,Hyderabad30Kumarrm213@gmail.com,9440476493StateState	20-30% yield advantage water stress condition
53.	Tripura	Lowland upland	Gomati, Tripura, Nirog,Tripura Chikandhan, Hakuchuk 2 Khara Dhan 1 +iCM	40	Dr. S.P. Das ICAR-NEH region, lembuchera, tripura drstdas@gmail.com 9436450747	15-20% increase lesser duration reduction and drudgery enchanced productivity and income
54.	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	Narendra sona, NDR 2101 INM,SSNM	25	Dr. S.P. Giri Masodha, Faizabad spgirinduat@gmail.com 8400097861	Higher grain yielding eastern up agro climatic condition adoption of SSNM ,INM will enhance the rice productivity the proposed FLDs are going to benefit rice farmers of eastern up

Centre No.	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
55.	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated condition	SHIATS-Dhan-3, SHIATS DHAN-4, SHIATS DHAN- 5	10	Dr. Suresh Babu. G PROFESSOR & I/C AICRIP, DEPARTMENT OF GENETICS & PLANT BREEDING, NAINI AGRICULTURAL INSTITUTE, SHUATS, NAINI, ALLAHABAD, UP- 211507 sureshgpb03@gmail.com 8887127606	Higher yield resistant
56.	Uttar Pradesh	Midland irrigated	IntegratedNutrientManagement(INM),AppropriateCropEstablishmentmethodslikeDoublePlanting(Sunda Planting), DirectSownRice(DSR),Aerobic RiceVarieties-HURVarieties-HUR4-3,HUR-105,HUBR2-1,ImprovedSambaMahsuri, DRR-44 etc.HUBR 10-9	10	Dr. VK Srivastava Professor cum Sr. agronomist Department of agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences BHU, Varanasi Vksrivastava_bhu@rediffmail.co m 9415819900	Additional yeild advantageby adopting by adopting the recommended practices for transplanted rice in rsponse of inm and iwm and sunda planting ,recently drr44 has performed very well at farmers field having good weed competitive ability
57.	Uttar Pradesh (ACAES – Noida)	Irrigated	High yielding varieties	6	Dr. N. P. Singh, Director ACAES, Amity University npsingh@amity.edu	higher yield
58.	Uttarakhand	Irrigated	Latest varieties	5	Rice section, GBPUAT, PANTNAGAR, US NAGAR , UTTARAKHAND	Resistant to BLB, stem borer at 135days
59.	Uttarakhand	Hills	VL Dhan 48	5	Dr JP Aditya VPKAS, Uttarakhand	Higher yield

State and agency / organizati <u>on</u>	Ecosystem	Technologies to be demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Name and address of nodal officer	Relative advantage
West Bengal	Rainfed/ irrigated	MUKTA SHREE, Sukumar, Goasaba 5, Gosaba 6, Rajdeep, Kanak Weed Management in DSR Machine Transplanting	40	Dr. Sangeet Sekhar Deb Rice Research Station Chinsurah, Hooghly <u>isangeet.sd@gmail.com</u> 08420244711	The technologies and varieties are supposed to increase the yield about 10 %-20% in farmers field with some value addition in grains
West Bengal	RSL and upland	DHRUBA NOTIFIED 2016	5	Dr. G K Mallick & Dr. Vivekananda Mandi Rice Research Station , Bankura,WEST BENGAL RRSBANKURA@GMAIL.COM 9474184953	Sampriti - higher zinc and higher iron in brown rice enhance productivity
West Bengal	RSL and upland	Resource conservation technology Nutrient experty	10	Dr Malay Kr Bhowmick JDA, Kolkatta <u>bhowmick_malay@rediffmail.co</u> <u>m,</u> 9434239688	
Across the country	Irrigated	Biofortified rice varieties	20	Dr CN Neeraja PS ICAR IIRR Rajendranagar Hyderabad cnneeraja@gmail.com 919705003663 914024591285	Nutritional security
	State and agency / organization West Bengal West Bengal West Bengal Across the country	Stateand agencyEcosystemagency/ organizationWest BengalRainfed/ irrigatedWest BengalRSL uplandWest BengalRSL uplandWest BengalRSL uplandAcrossthe countryIrrigated	Stateand agencyEcosystemTechnologiestobe demonstratedWest BengalRainfed/ irrigatedMUKTASHREE, Sukumar, Goasaba 5, Gosaba 6, Rajdeep, Kanak Weed Management in DSR Machine TransplantingWest BengalRSL uplandand uplandDHRUBA 2016West BengalRSL uplandand uplandDHRUBA conservation technology Nutrient expertyAcross countryIrrigatedBiofortified varietiesrice varieties	Stateand agencyEcosystemTechnologiestobeNo. Of FLDsWest BengalRainfed/ irrigatedMUKTASHREE, Sukumar, Goasaba40West BengalRainfed/ irrigatedMUKTASHREE, Sukumar, Goasaba40West BengalRSL uplandMUKTASHREE, Sukumar, Goasaba40West BengalRSL uplandDHRUBA 2016NOTIFIED 20165West BengalRSL uplandand uplandDHRUBA 201610Kest BengalRSL uplandResource conservation technology Nutrient experty10Across countryIrrigatedBiofortified varieties20MondriftIrrigatedIrrigated100	State agency organizationEcosystemTechnologies demonstratedNo. Of FLDsName and address officerWest BengalRainfed/ irrigatedMUKTA SURMAR, Goasaba 5, Gosaba 6, Rajdeep, KanakMUKTA SHREE, Gosaba 6, Rajdeep, Kanak40Dr. Sangeet Sekhar Deb Rice Research Station Chinsurah, Hooghly isangeet.sd@gmail.comWest BengalRSL uplandDHRUBA DHRUBA 2016Dr. G K Mallick & Dr. Vivekananda Machine TransplantingWest BengalRSL uplandDHRUBA NOTIFIED 2016Dr. G K Mallick & Dr. Vivekananda Mandi Rice Research Station , Bankura,WEST BANKURA@GMAILCOM 9474184953West BengalRSL uplandResource conservation technology Nutrient experty10Dr Malay Kr Bhowmick JDA, Kolkatta bhowmick malay@rediffmail.co m9434239688Across countryIrrigatedBiofortified varieties20Dr CN Neeraja PS ICAR IIRR Rajendranagar Hyderabad cnneeraja@gmail.com 919705003663 914024591285



### Focus of Rice Frontline Demonstrations 2019-20

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
1.	Andhra Pradesh	Irrigated	BPT 2295 (2018)	4	BPT 5204	Guntur	7.06	6.56	7.62
	Andhra Pradesh	Irrigated	Integrated Weed Management	10	Local practices with BPT 5204	Banavasi, Kurnool	6.91	5.98	15.55
	Andhra Pradesh	Flood prone low land	Ksheera (MTU 1172) flood tolerant rice variety	20	Swarna	Unguturu, Eluru, Mummidivaram, Yelamanchili, Kajuluru	6.35	6.23	1.93
	Andhra Pradesh	Irrigated	Varam (MTU 1190 ) medium slender fine grain vareity		BPT 5204, RGL2537, Improved Samba Mahsuri	Akiveedu, Pentapadu, Ungaturu, Jaggampeta, Dendalur, Gollaprolu	6.02	5.85	2.78
2.	Assam	Rainfed Iowland	CR Dhan 310	2	Ranjit	Village: Bongalpara Block: Hajo District: Kamrup	6.5	4.9	32.65
	Assam	Rainfed Iowland	CR Dhan 909 CR Dhan 310	23		Village: Bongalpara Block: Hajo District: Kamrup	Flood affected		
3.	Bihar	Irrigated low land	CR Dhan 909	10	Basmatiya, Swarna	Madhubani, Buxar district	5.47	4.35	25.86
4.	Chhattisgarh	Rainfed Shallown Lowlands	IGKV R 1	2	Local varieties	Block- Saja, Abhanpur	5.34	4.69	13.86

## III. SUMMARY OF DEMONSTRATIONS CONDUCTED DURING 2019-20

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Chhattisgarh	Rainfed Shallown Lowlands	Indira Aerobic 1	5	Local varieties	Block- Saja, Abhanpur, Arang	4.18	3.74	11.76
	Chhattisgarh	Rainfed Shallown Lowlands	CG Devbhog	21	Local varieties	Block- Saja, Abhanpur, Arang, Patan	4.42	3.91	13.04
	Chhattisgarh	Rainfed Shallown Lowlands	CG Sugandhit Bhog	2	Local varieties	Block- Patan	4.65	4.10	13.41
	Chhattisgarh	Rainfed Shallown Lowlands	KRH 4	8	Local varieties	Khapri (Taluka: Girhola, District: Durg) Teliyapuran (Taluka: Semarsal, District: Mungel) Nawagarh (Taluka: Nawagarh District: Bemetara)	4.66	4.26	9.39
	Chhattisgarh	Upland	Samleshwari	5	Chudi dhan	Villages- Tirathgarh and Bademarenga District- Bastar	4.2	2.5	68.00
	Chhattisgarh	Irrigated	CGZR1, CGZR2 Zincorice	2			Results awaited		
5.	Gujarat	Irrigated	GNR-5	4	Јауа	Navsari	5.23	4.69	11.51
	Gujarat	Irrigated	GNR-7	26	GR 11	Navsari	5.18	4.48	15.63

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Gujarat	Irrigated	Mahisagar	10	Local Varieties	Kheda, Ahmedabad, Anand, Mahisagar, Dahod	4.90	4.43	10.61
	Gujarat	Irrigated	GAR-14	10	Local Varieties	Kheda, Ahmedabad, Anand, Baroda, Gandhinagar, Sabarkantha	4.45	3.70	20.27
6.	Himachal Pradesh	Hill	'HPR 2880' with complete POP	5.17	HPR 2143 and local	Rait,Nagrota Bhagwan, and Baijnath blocks of Kangra district	3.76	2.78	35.25
	Himachal Pradesh	Hill	HPR 2612 (scented rice) with complete POP	1.0	RP 2421	Baijnath &Nagrota blocks of Kangra district	3.58	2.70	32.59
	Himachal Pradesh	Hill	HPR 2795 with complete POP	0.83	Local	Rait block of Kangra district	3.19	2.53	26.09
	Himachal Pradesh	Hill	"HPR 2656' upland rice variety with complete POP	1.0	Local	Rait block of Kangra district	3.06	2.47	23.89
7.	Jammu and Kashmir	Hills	Shalimar Rice 4 (for lower altitudes)	31.4	Jehlum, SR1, SR2, SR3	Anatnag, Kupeara, Ganderbal	7.39	6.17	19.77
	Jammu and Kashmir	Hills	Shalimar Rice 5 (for higher altitudes)	3.6	К332	Anatnag	5.16	4.13	24.94

S No	State and agency /	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ba)	% Yield Advantage
	Jammu and Kashmir	Hills	Basmati-564	14	Basmati - 370	Taluka: R.S. Pura District: Jammu	3.41	2.42	40.91
	Jammu and Kashmir	Hills	SJR-129	6	Basmati - 370	Taluka: R.S. Pura District: Jammu	3.70	2.43	52.26
8.	Jharkhand	Rainfed drought prone	Sahbhagi Dhan with DSR	10	Lalat	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	4.2	3.2	31.25
	Jharkhand	Rainfed drought prone	IR 64 Drt.1 with DSR	10	Shukla-64	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	5.0	4.0	25.00
	Jharkhand	Rainfed drought prone	Abhisekh with DSR	10	Shukla-64	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	5.2	4.0	30.00
	Jharkhand	Rainfed	DRR Dhan 42 under aerobic cultivation	35	Lalat, IR-64, IR-36	Deoghar, Ramgarh, Hazaribag, Latehar, and Ranchi	3.33	3.0	11.00
	Jharkhand	Rainfed	CR Dhan 310	5	Lalat, IR-64, IR-36	Deoghar, Ramgarh, Hazaribag, Latehar, and Ranchi	3.43	3.0	14.33
	Jharkhand	Rainfed lowland	BVS-1, IR-64 drt- 1, Sahbhagidhan and BVD-110	15	Local var.	Vill. Kanjgi Block: Chanho Dist. Ranchi	3.9	3.2	21.88
9.	Karnataka	Irrigated	AWD with HYV	10	Farmers' practices	Mandya and Tumkur districts	5.37	5.03	6.76

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Karnataka	Irrigated	Daksha (KMP- 175) under aerobic conditions	10	Rasi	Mandya & Mysore District	5.00	4.00	25.00
	Karnataka	Irrigated	B.R.R.I. Dhan-75	3	Local varieties	Mandya District	5.50	4.00	37.50
	Karnataka	Irrigated	BINA Dhan-17	2	Local varieties	Mandya District	5.75	4.00	43.75
	Karnataka	Irrigated	Alternate wetting and Drying method of irrigation in rice (with HYV)	5	Continuous flooding	Sriramanagar, Baragur	7.01	6.74	4.01
	Karnataka	Irrigated	Improved Samba Mahsuri	5	BPT-5204	Herur, Sriramanagar, Basavanna camp (Koppal Dt)	7.23	7.11	1.69
	Karnataka	Irrigated	IPM in rice	5	Local practices	Village : Sriramanagar Taluka: Gangavathi District:Koppal	7.52	7.26	3.58
10.	Kerala	Irrigated Iowland	KAU Akshaya	8.0	Uma, Ponmani	Palakkad, Idukki, Thrissur	6.75	6.00	12.50
	Kerala	Irrigated Iowland	KAU Supriya	2.0	Uma	Palakkad	6.53	5.61	16.40

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Kerala	Irrigated	MO 23 (Pournami)	5	Mo 16 (Uma)	Nedumudi & Kainakary village, Chambakulam block, Kuttanad Thaluk, Alappuzha district	6.33	5.32	20.13
	Kerala	Irrigated	Multi nutrient foliar mix (Sampoorna developed by KAU)	5	Uma + without micronutrie nt application	Naluchira padasekharam Purakad Ambalapuzha Alappuzha	6.2	4.8	18.98
	Kerala	Low land	'KAU Weed Wiper'	5	Mo 16 UMA	Alappuzha	4.6	3.7	29.17
	Kerala	Wetland paddy under below sea level system	Management of glume discolouration disease	5	Mo 16 (Uma)	Naluchira padasekharam, Purakkad, Ambalapuzha, Alappuzha	5.77	4.16	24.32

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Kerala	Low land	Conservation of natural enemies for the management of insect pests in rice	5	Mo 16 (Uma)	Champakkulam, Kuattanad, Alappuzha	3.87	4.82	-19.71 (Reduction in the use of chemical insecticides and population build up and conservatio n of natural enemies in the field)
11.	Madhya Pradesh	Irrigated	JRB 1	10	MTU 1010	V.Koste ,Bl. Waraseoni, Dist. Balaghat	5.27	4.53	16.34
	Madhya Pradesh	Irrigated	JR 81	8	MTU 1010	V.Botta Hajari ,Bl. Lalburra, Dist. Balaghat	5.37	4.54	18.28
	Madhya Pradesh	Rainfed	Improved Chinnore	6	Jai sree Ram,Sonam	V.Garra ,Bl. Lalburra,Dist. Balaghat 2	2.26	3.97	-43.07
	Madhya Pradesh	Rainfed	Improved Jeera Shanker	6	Jai sree Ram, Sonam	V.Nilji ,Bl. Lalburra,Dist. Balaghat	2.97	4.05	-26.67
12.	Maharashtra	Rainfed	Karjat 9	5	Local varieties	Raigad, Karjat	4.18	3.62	15.47
	Maharashtra	Rainfed	Karjat 10	5	Local varieties	Karjat, raigad	4.75	4.23	12.29

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Maharashtra	Rainfed	Sakoli-9	5	Local varieties	Jambhili Sadak, Sakoli Tk, Bhandara Dt	4.25	3.55	19.72
	Maharashtra	Irrigated	Ratnagiri-8 (Notified in 2019 CVRC)	20	Swarna	Palghar, Raigad, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg	5.76	4.49	28.29
13.	Manipur	Hills	RCM 13 RCM 7	5	Kumbiphou local land race	Kumbi village cluster, Bishnupur district	4.73	3.49	32.6
14.	Odisha	Shallow lowland	Pratikshya	8	Local varieties		4.99	4.73	5.50
	Odisha	Shallow lowland	Hasanta	2	Local varieties		5.66	4.97	13.88
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 100	50	Local varieties	Jajpur Cluster	4.13	4.01	2.99
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 101		Local varieties	Kendrapada Cluster, Cuttack Cluster, Odapada- Dhenkanal Cluster	4.27	3.87	10.34
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 201		Local varieties	Jajpur Cluster	4.31	3.85	11.95
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 203		Local varieties	Jajpur Cluster	4.11	4.00	2.75
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 204		Local varieties	Jajpur Cluster	4.28	3.95	8.35

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 205		Local varieties	Kendrapada Cluster, Cuttack Cluster, Odapada- Dhenkanal Cluster	4.23	3.93	7.63
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 206		Local varieties	Dhenkanal cluster	5.03	4.25	18.35
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 304		Local varieties	Dhenkanal cluster	5.00	4.25	17.65
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 306		Local varieties	Dhenkanal Sadar- Dhenkanal cluster	6.00	4.80	25.00
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 310		Local varieties	Dhenkanal Cluster, Cuttack Cluster, Kendrapada cluster	4.73	4.25	11.29
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 311		Local varieties	Jajpur cluster	4.90	4.30	13.95
	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 601		Local varieties	Jajpur cluster	5.24	4.35	20.46
	Odisha	Rainfed Shallow Lowland	Hasanta	10	Swarna	At/PO-Bhakar Sahi Block- Balipatna DistKhordha	5.39	4.50	19.78
15.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	ADT 51	15	CR 1009	Ariyalur, Thanjavur, Thiruvarur, Nagapattinam	6.42	5.82	10.31

C No.	State and	Ecosystem	Technologies	No. Of	Local check	Location	FLD Yield	Check	% Yield
5 NO	agency / organization		demonstrated	FLDS			(t/na)	(t/ha)	Advantage
	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	ADT 53	15	ADT 43 and CO 51	Thanjavur, Thiruvarur, Nagapattinam	6.09	5.46	11.54
	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	IPDM with ADT 51	10	Farmers Practices	Nannilam block of Thiruvarur and Nagapattinam districts	5.97	4.12	44.90
	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	CO 52	30	Improved White Ponni, TKM 13, CO (R) 50, CO 43, ADT (R) 46, BPT 5204	Trichy, Dindigul, Villupuram and Theni	6.60	5.69	15.99
	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	Improved Samba Mahsuri	3	BPT 5204	Lalgudi	4.03	3.5	15.14
	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	DRR Dhan 44	2	BPT 5204	Lalgudi	3.96	3.5	13.14
	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	TKM 13	10	BPT 5204	Thiruvallur	5.61	4.95	13.33
16.	Telangana	Irrigated Iowland	Mechanized transplanting	10	Manual transplantin g	Thudukurthy, Nandiwaddeman , Yendabetla, Nallavelli, Khanapur villages of Nagarkurnool and Kothathanda village of Mahabubnagar	6.72	6.50	3.3
	Telangana	Irrigated Iowland	AWD in rice	5	Field submergen ce	Peedshapur Burjugadda tanda	6.13	5.91	3.7

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Telangana	Irrigated Iowland	Chemical weed management	5	Hand weeding	Peedshapur Burjugadda tanda	6.2	6.0	3.3
	Telangana	Irrigated	Integrated Weed Management + DRRDhan46	10	Local practices	Karimnagar	5.79	5.09	13.75
	Telangana	Irrigated	Integrated Crop Management + JGL – 24423	10	MTU 1010	Karimnagar	7.03	6.88	2.18
	Telangana	Irrigated	IPM + BRRI 75	10	MTU 1010	Karimnagar	7.01	6.85	2.34
	Telangana	Irrigated	Direct sown rice through Drum seeder + BINA - 17	10	Convention al practices + KNM 118	Karimnagar	7.00	6.80	2.94
	Telangana	Irrigated	RNR 15048	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur and Gurrappagudem	6.53	5.83	12.01
	Telangana	Irrigated	BRRI 75	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur and Gurrappagudem	6.30	5.77	9.19
	Telangana	Irrigated	KNM 118	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur and Gurrappagudem	7.07	6.37	10.99

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Telangana	Irrigated	WGL 44 (Siddhi)	10	BPT -5204	Paidipally Nagaram village of Parkal mandal, Warangal Rural district, Telangana	7.0	5.60	25
	Telangana	Irrigated	WGL 915 (Warangal Rice 1)	4	MTU 1001	Kanaparthy village, Regonda mandal of Jayashankar Bhupalpally district, Telangana	6.46	5.77	11.86
	Telangana	Irrigated	CGZR 2	5	BPT 5204	Mahabubnagar	4.88	3.50	39.43
	Telangana	Irrigated	Protozin			Mahabubnagar	4.70	3.50	34.29
	Telangana	Irrigated	Zincorice			Mahabubnagar	3.74	3.50	6.86
	Telangana	Problem Soils	Sodic soil management	10	Local practices	Chandepally village, Motakondur mandal of Yadadri district	6.75	4.92	37.20
17.	Tripura	Irrigated	Gomati, Tripura Nirog ICM	40			Results awaited		
18.	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	Narendra Sona	10.4	NDR 359	Gonda, Ayodhya	5.28	3.92	34.69
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	Sambha Sub-1	3.2	BPT 5205, NDR 359, Sarjoo 52	Azamgarh, Ayodhya, Gonda	5.19	3.95	31.39

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	Sambha Sub-1/ INM	3.6	Jal Lahri, NDR 359, BPT 5204	Ayodhya	5.08	3.75	35.47
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	NARENDRA SONA/ INM	2	BPT 5204, NDR 359, Sarjoo 52	Ayodhya	5.09	4.02	26.62
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	NDR 2101/ New Variety	4.2	Sambha Mahsuri, NDR 359	Ayodhya, Palpura	5.4	3.86	39.90
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	NDR 2101/ INM	1.6	NDR 359	Ayodhya, Palpura	5.36	3.75	42.93
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	SHIATS Dhan 1	3	NDR 359 Sonam	Pragyaraj	5.56	4.35	27.82
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	SHIATS Dhan 3	3	NDR 359 Sonam	Pragyaraj	5.30	4.35	21.84
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	SHIATS Dhan 5	4	NDR 359 Sonam	Pragyaraj	5.39	4.60	17.17
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	Pusa 1718	3	Pusa 1121	Gautam Budh Nagar	5.54	5.09	8.84
	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	Pusa-1509	3	Pusa 1121	Gautam Budh Nagar	5.28	5.09	3.73
19.	Uttarakhand	Irrigated	VL Dhan 68	5	Thapachini, China 4, Taichung	Toonakoat, Tipola, Block- Tarikhet , District- Almora	4.17	3.42	21.86
20.	West Bengal	Irrigated up/medium Land	Improved Variety - Manisha	1.0	Jamuna	Vill:Manashree Block - Udaynarayanpur, Distt – Howrah	4.95	3.60	37.5
S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------	-------------	--	---------------------	--------------------------	----------------------
	West Bengal	Irrigated up/medium Land	Improved Variety – Bhupesh	1.0	Pratiksha	Do - Khorda Itarai, Block - Udaynarayanpur, Distt – Howrah	5.40	4.50	20.0
	West Bengal	Irrigated up/medium Land	Ajit	1.0	Pratiksha	Vill:Uttar Harishpur Block - Udaynarayanpur, Distt – Howrah	5.10	3.70	37.8
	West Bengal	Irrigated medium Land	Improved Variety – Sukumar	3.0	Shatabdi	Vill- Patra Vitasin, Block – Pandua, Dist – Hooghly	4.03	3.31	21.5
	West Bengal	Irrigated medium Land	Improved Variety – Muktashree	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Canpahati Block – Pandua, Dist – Hooghly	4.65	4.13	12.7
	West Bengal	Irrigated medium Land	Improved Variety – Muktashree	3.0	Pratiksha	Vill. Kamargeria Block Ranaghat - 2 Dt.Nadia	3.17	2.55	24.3
	West Bengal	Rainfed Shallow Lowland	Improved Variety – Swarnali	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Ranagar, Block – Pandua, Dist – Hooghly	4.74	4.19	13.0
	West Bengal	Rainfed Shallow Lowland	Improved Variety – Amala	1.0	Swarna	Vill-Katagora, Block- Dhaniakhali, Dist- Hooghly	5.10	4.65	9.68
	West Bengal	Rainfed Semideep	Improved Variety – Sujala	1.0	Swarna	Vill-Katagora, Block- Dhaniakhali, Dist- Hooghly	4.87	3.90	24.8

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	West Bengal	Rainfed Semideep	Improved Variety – Kanak	1.0	Meghi	Vill.Paharpur Block-Ranaghat-1 Dt. Nadia	4.60	2.75	67.2
	West Bengal	Coastal Saline Land (Rainfed Shallow)	Improved Variety – Gosaba 5	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Kirtankhali, Block – Sagar, Dist - 24 Parganas (South)	Crop was damaged by inundatio n due to cyclone 'Bulbul"		
	West Bengal	Coastal Saline Land (Rainfed Shallow)	Improved Variety – Gosaba 6	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Pakhirala, Block- Gosaba, Dist - 24 Parganas (South)	4.60	3.70	24.3
	West Bengal	Coastal Saline Land (Rainfed Shallow)	Improved Variety – Rajendra Mashuri	1.0	CR 1017	Vill- Sonaga, Block- Gosaba, Dist - 24 Parganas (South)	4.57	3.55	28.73
	West Bengal	Irrigated Medium / Shallow Land	Machine Transplanter	8.0	Manual Transplntng	Vill-Balidanga, Block- Dhaniakhali, Dist- Hooghly	4.84	3.87	25.06
	West Bengal	Irrigated Medium / Shallow Land	Dry DSR	5.0	Manual Transplntng	Vill- Gobindapur, Block- Ausgram– I, Dist- Purba Bardhaman	6.10	5.60	8.9
	West Bengal	Irrigated / Rainfed Shallow Land	Integrated Pest Management	4.0	Farmers' Practice	Vill- Pakri, Block- Pandua, Dist- Hooghly	4.22	3.5	20.57

S No	State and agency / organization	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
	West Bengal	Irrigated / Rainfed Shallow Land	Integrated Disease Management	4.0	Farmers' Practice	Vill : Digsui, Block: Chinsurah Mogra, Dist- Hooghly	4.7	3.93	19.59
	West Bengal	Irrigated / Rainfed Shallow Land	Integrated Weed Management	1.0	Farmers' Practice	Vill- Lahiripur, Block- Gosaba, Dist - 24 Parganas (South)	4.40	3.20	37.5
	West Bengal	Irrigated / Rainfed Shallow Land	Organic Rice	1.0	Farmers' Practice	Vill- Dayapur, Block- Gosaba, Dist - 24 Parganas (South)	4.20	3.50	20.0
	West Bengal	Irrigated	Resource Conservation Technology (with Sahabagidhan and DRR Dhan 42)	7	Convention al practices	Purulia	4.56	3.97	14.82
	West Bengal	Irrigated	Site-Specific Nutrient Management Nutrient Expert (with Sahabagidhan and DRR Dhan 42)	3	Convention al practices	Purulia	4.49	4.0	12.27
				852			5.13	4.38	17.22

## Andhra Pradesh

In **Andhra Pradesh**, rice is grown in all the districts. It is evident that the productivity of undivided state has gone up considerably due to adoption of high yielding varieties and improved package of practices. About 64% rice growing districts in the state are having high productivity status. If the impact is made in low productivity areas of Andhra Pradesh, the production can be increased vertically without expansion of area under rice.

S No	Technologies to be demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
1.	BPT 2295 (2018)	4	BPT 5204	Guntur	7.06	6.56	7.62
2.	Integrated Weed Management	10	Local practices with BPT 5204	Banavasi, Kurnool	6.91	5.98	15.55
3.	Ksheera (MTU 1172 ) flood tolerant rice variety	10	Swarna	Unguturu, Eluru, Mummidiva ram, Yelamanchi, Kajuluru	6.35	6.23	1.93
4.	Varam (MTU 1190) medium slender fine grain variety	10	BPT 5204, RGL2537, Improved Samba Mahsuri	Akiveedu, Pentapadu, Ungaturu, Jaggampeta, Dendalur, Gollaprolu	6.02	5.85	2.78

Agricultural Research Station, Bapatla conducted four FLDs on Popularization of Bapatla Mahsuri (BPT 2295). This new variety tolerant to blast & BPH was demonstrated in blast endemic areas of Guntur district. It has performed well against the traditionally grown BPT 5204. Also, it has surpassed the average yield of the state (5.16 t/ha) and district (6.68 t/ha).

Andhra Pradesh Rice Research Institute, Maruteru (APRRI & RARS) conducted 20 FLDs on Ksheera (MTU 1172) and Varam (MTU 1190). Ksheera (MTU 1172) is a high yielding flood tolerant rice variety with 150 days duration, moderately tolerant to bacterial leaf blight, leaf blast, sheath rot and leaf spots, posses 2 weeks seed dormancy and has yield potential of 4.5t/ha. MTU 1190 is a high yielding fine grain rice variety with 140-145 days duration non lodging, moderately tolerant to leaf blast, neck blast, bacterial leaf blight and yield potential of 6.5 -7 t t/ha. Both these varieties performed better than the local check varieties.

#### Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)





orer. 2005 2000



# ఎంటీయూ 1190 రకానికి ఎండాకు తెగులు ఆశించదు

గొల్లద్రోలు యారల్, ఆగస్తు 21: ఎంటీయా 1190 రళం నిరిపెంటకు ఎందాకు తెగులు ఆశించదని మార్రేదు వ్యవసాయ శాఖ శాష్ర్రవేత్తలు ఎంగరాజారాణి, జీనుజాత అన్నారు. గొల్లద్రోయిల్ పెపీటే 52044 డ్రత్సామాచ్చాయంగా పాగువేసిన పంటను బుధవారం పరిశీలించారు. పంటం తీరును పరిశీలించిన అనంతరం వారు మాట్లాడుతూ 52 04 రశం రాలాకాలం డైతులు పడించారని వెప్పాడు శా తావరణ పరిస్థితుల కారణంగా ఈ రశాబికి ఎండాకు తె గళలు ఎక్కువగా ఆశించడం వల్ల దిగుజమలపై ప్రహివం మాపెడన్నారు. దీనిజి ప్రత్యామ్నాయంగా 1190 రకువు ప్రయోగాత్మకంగా గౌట్లోలు, కాటిటి కోన, కాజులారు ముండలాల్లో రెండేపి ఎకరాల వంతున సాగు రేయిన్న



ర్దోరు వ్యవసాయ శాస్ర్రవోత్తలు చలు ත්<u>ය</u> කත-සීව

న్నట్ల చెప్పారు. నాట్ల వేసిన తరువాత పొలంలో వేపట్టి. న యాజమాన్య పద్ధతులు, ఎరువులు వేసిన వివరాలు సేరరించారు. దుబ్బుకు ఉన్న పిలకలను రెక్కించారు. సాగంలే శ్రితులత పెలుకువలు వివరించారు. అంద్రపడిశ్, రత్తిగోమట్, మహారాష్ట్ర తెలంగాణ, తమిళూడు రాష్టాల్, ఆద్యుదరు రైతులతో భురిని, వివిధ దశల్లో ప లట పరిశ్రితులను అంచవా సేన్నన్నులు వారు వివరిం చారు. ఖరీఫోలో 40 లోజూలకు, జబీలు 125 లోజూలకు త పంట వరిఫోప్తాడన్నాడు. ఎవరాకు 36 నుంచి 40బస్తాల దిగుబకు వచ్చందని రెప్పారు. కార్యక్రమంలో మండల వ్యవసాయాపికారి పి.నిపిన తదితరులున్నారు.



Indian Institute of Rice Research, Hyderabad in collaboration with KVK, Banavasi organized demonstration on Integrated Weed Management in the farmers' field plots of K. Nagalapuram, Mugati, Panyam, Venkatapuram, Kurupadu, Chinnatumbalam, Yemmiganuru. The demonstration practice on Integrated Weed Management (IWM) comprised judicious combination of various crop management practices *viz.*, summer ploughing, stale seedbed practice, selection of suitable fine grain high yielding variety, recommended seed rate, use of pre-sowing herbicide application, pre-emergence followed by post-emergence herbicide use, top dressing required and recommended quantity of nitrogen using leaf color chart.

Using IIRR High Yielding Variety (RPBio226) and recommended seed rate of 50 kg/ha for robust nursery instead of prevailing practice of high seed rate(70 kg/ha); the pre-sowing herbicide application of Glyphosate 15 Days Before Planting; application of Oxadiargyl at 7 Days After Planting (DAT) followed by Chlorimuronethyl + metsulfuronmethyl at 25 DAT in some fields; application of Penoxsulam at 12-15 DAT in some fields depending on the weed flora and intensity; top dressing of nitrogen based on leaf color chart of IIRR, Hyderabad (saving of 25-30 kg nitrogen); need based application of plant protection chemicals instead of blanket application; water proof tarpaulin for protecting quality of harvested paddy. By undertaking all these interventions, the farmers achieved monetary benefit mainly by reducing the input cost which has reflected in higher yields. Adoption of new IIRR variety and Integrated Weed Management helped the farmers to achieve the grain yield advantage of 6.49 to 26.79%, fodder yield advantage of 4.81 to 33.82% that resulted in monetary advantage of 55%. To upscale the benefits of undertaken FLD programme, field day and Farmers Scientist interface was organized which has attracted the local media, big gathering of rice and non-rice farmers.





# అభిక రసాయన ఎరువుల వాడకం అనర్థదాయకం

#### • వరి పరితోధన సంస్థ ప్రధాన శాస్త్రవేత్త దాక్టర్ శ్రీదేఖ

ఎమ్మిగషారుదూరల్: ఆధిక దిగుబదుల కోసం ముఖ్యమంతుంది. రోజు దారుదులు గుర్తి రైతులు ఎక్కుమా రహియన ఎరువులు వాడడం అన్యమని హైదరాజాదేశ్రు వెందిన వర పరిశోధన సంస్థ ప్రధాన శార్తవేత్త జాక్లర్ శ్రీదేవి అన్నారు. బనవాసి కృషి విశ్వాన కేంద్రంలో వరి మరు సాగస్పు రైతులకు బుధవారం ఒక రోజు



శిక్షణలో మాట్రాదుతున్న శాస్త్రవేత్త దాక్టర్ శ్రీదేవి వెంట సాగుపై రైతులకు బుడవారం ఒక రోజు శిక్షణ కార్యకమం సర్విపించారు. ఈ సంద కోజులని తెలిపారు. శ్రీవరి సాగులో తెగుక్త అధ్వర్యంలో సర్విపించిన ఈ కార్యకమంలో రృంగా అమె మాట్లాడుతూ. వరి సాగు దేస్ దిడద తక్కవగా ఉంటుంచనాయి. నుళత వరి పెరికోచన సంస్థ శార్టనేత్తులు వరిగణంలో పాటు సాంకేరిక పద్ధతులు వరి పెరికోచన సంస్థ శార్టనేత్తులు వరిగణంలో పాటు సాంకేరిక పద్ధతులన తెళు వీడి, దార్దర్ చార్లు రాష్ట్ర ఉందిగ్రా రైతులు భూసార సరీర్ధు ద్వారా ఎరువులు వరిగణంతో పాటు సాంకేరిక పద్ధతులన తెళు వీడి, దార్దర్ చెర్తుడు దార్దర్ లక్ష్మి భవన్ను వాడుకోవాలని సూచిందారు. డీలర్ల మాచనులు సుకోవాల్సిన అవసరం ఉందన్నాడు అనుతరం దార్జర్ శ్రీటు, కనికి శాస్త్ర కేర్డు రాషువేంద్రవరిగి శీసుకోవుందా, వ్యవసాయాధికారులు, శార్ఘవేత్త ఆదిపి బయా 208 అనే రకు సాంధా మనూలి రి, పైతన్య, విదిష నాన్ని తదితరులు లను సంప్రవరించి రసాయన ఎకువులను వాదు వర సైర్లను పరిశీలందారు, అవవాసి కేమీకి పాల్గొన్నారు.

పోగ్రాం కో-ంర్రినేటర్ పోక్టర్ ప్రసాద్రాలు

Res 10 November 2015

# **ANNEXURE - V**

<b>FINAL REPORT</b>	<b>ON FRONTLINE</b>	<b>DEMONSTRATION</b>
-		

1.	Сгор	Paddy
2.	Season	Kharif
3.	Technology being demonstrated	Blight tolerant IIRR variety (RPBio226); chemical weed control (Pre sowing glyphosate 2.51/ha 15DBT preemergence Oxadiargyl fb postemergence chlorimuronethyl+metsulfuronmethyl,penoxsulam);
	Specific advantages or features of new technology being demonstrated as compared to the farmers' present practice in the area (Give details)	Blight tolerance and BPH to some extetent; economic and timely weed control by application of one preemergence and one postemergence herbicide
4.	Location (with full address)	Village: Banavasi, yemmiganur rural
		District: Kurnool
		State: AndhraPradesh
5.	Category of beneficiary farmers: a. Name with Father's /Husband Name : b. Medium/Small/Marginal/W omen : c. SC/ST/General	-
6.	Area under demonstration (ha)	10ha
7.	Ecosystem (Irrigated/rainfed/shallow lowland/rainfed upland etc. Give details	Irrigated
8.	Field Condition (a) Topography (b) Soil Type (c) Native nutrient status Any other information about field condition	Black soils

Particulars	FLD Plot	Check –
		Farmers' practice Plot
(i) Variety/Hybrid	Rp-Bio-226	BPT-5204
(ii) Seed rate	50	75
(iii) Source of Seed	IIRR, Hyderabad	Local
(iv) Seed treatment	Carbendazim 3gm/kg of seed	
(v) Sowing date	07-08-2019	07-08-2019
(vi) Nursery details seeding density in	FeSo <sub>4</sub> -1kg	
nursery etc.		
(vii) Transplanting date	08-09-2019	08-09-2019

	20.45	and a second sec
(VIII) Spacing	20x15cm	random
(ix) Plant population maintained per	50 hills/ha	65hills/m2
ha.		
(x) FYM/compost applied	10 t/ha	5 t/ha
(xi) Fertilizers applied		
(a) Basal (NPK kgs/ha)	100-100-50	100-100-50
(b) Top dressing : Number of times &	200-0-50	200-0-50
stage (NPK kg/ha)	Nitrogen-3 Splits: 1 <sup>st</sup> - Basal,	Nitrogen-3 Splits: 1 <sup>st</sup> -
	2 <sup>nd</sup> - Tillering and 3 <sup>rd</sup> - Panicle	Basal,
	initiation	2 <sup>nd</sup> - Tillering and 3 <sup>rd</sup> -
	Phosphorus- Basal	Panicle initiation
	Potash-2 Splits: 1 <sup>st</sup> - Tillering	Phosphorus- Basal
	and 2 <sup>nd</sup>	Potash-2 Splits: 1 <sup>st</sup> -
	- Panicle initiation	Tillering and 2 <sup>nd</sup>
		- Panicle initiation
(vii) Micro-nutrient used if any	7nSo400 gm	
(name/guantity)	211304-400 gill	211304-400 gill
(name/quantity)		
(XIII) Weed control	*Oxadiargyl @125 g/ha	Manual Weeding 2 times
(a) Herbicides used	at7DAT	at 20-25 DAT and 45-
(name/quantity)	*Metsulfuron+chlorimuronet	50DAT
Inter-culture operations (Number)	hyl@20g/ha at 25-30 DAT	
	in 5 fields ;	
	penoxsulam@100 ml/ha	
	in 5 fields	
(xiv) No. of irrigation given(crop stage-	10	10
wise)		
10. Plant protection measures adopted		
Particulars	FLD Plot	Check
		Farmers' practice plot
(a) Insect nest	Minor incidence of leaf folder	Stem horer BPH and leaf
(i) Name of the nest observed	and stem borer	folder
(i) Name of the pest observed		E 7 %
(iii) Extent of damage (78)	Carbofuran 2g and Cartan	S-7 /0
(iii) Name of the insecticide used		
(iv) Overstity (leg/litro) wood		
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used	10kg, 400 ml	12kg, 800 ml
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used (v) Frequency of application	10kg, 400 ml	12kg, 800 ml 3
<ul> <li>(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> <li>(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?</li> </ul>	10kg, 400 ml 2 -	12kg, 800 ml 3 -
<ul> <li>(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> <li>(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?</li> <li>(b) Diseases observed</li> </ul>	10kg, 400 ml 2 -	12kg, 800 ml 3 - Blast and Sheath blight
<ul> <li>(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> <li>(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?</li> <li>(b) Diseases observed</li> <li>(i) Name of the disease</li> </ul>	10kg, 400 ml 2 -	12kg, 800 ml 3 - Blast and Sheath blight
<ul> <li>(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> <li>(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?</li> <li>(b) Diseases observed</li> <li>(i) Name of the disease</li> <li>(ii) Extent of damage (%)</li> </ul>	10kg, 400 ml 2 -	12kg, 800 ml 3 - Blast and Sheath blight Tricyclazole and
<ul> <li>(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> <li>(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?</li> <li>(b) Diseases observed</li> <li>(i) Name of the disease</li> <li>(ii) Extent of damage (%)</li> </ul>	10kg, 400 ml 2 -	nydrochloride         12kg, 800 ml         3         -         Blast and Sheath blight         Tricyclazole and         Validamycin
<ul> <li>(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> <li>(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?</li> <li>(b) Diseases observed</li> <li>(i) Name of the disease</li> <li>(ii) Extent of damage (%)</li> <li>(iii) Name of the pesticide used</li> </ul>	10kg, 400 ml 2 -	nydrocnioride         12kg, 800 ml         3         -         Blast and Sheath blight         Tricyclazole and         Validamycin         120gm, 400 ml
<ul> <li>(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> <li>(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?</li> <li>(b) Diseases observed</li> <li>(i) Name of the disease</li> <li>(ii) Extent of damage (%)</li> <li>(iii) Name of the pesticide used</li> <li>(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used</li> </ul>	10kg, 400 ml 2 -	nydrochloride         12kg, 800 ml         3         -         Blast and Sheath blight         Tricyclazole and         Validamycin         120gm, 400 ml
<ul> <li>(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> <li>(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?</li> <li>(b) Diseases observed</li> <li>(i) Name of the disease</li> <li>(ii) Extent of damage (%)</li> <li>(iii) Name of the pesticide used</li> <li>(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used</li> <li>(v) Frequency of application</li> </ul>	10kg, 400 ml 2 -	nydrochloride         12kg, 800 ml         3         -         Blast and Sheath blight         Tricyclazole and         Validamycin         120gm, 400 ml

(vi) Is this a major or common disease in the area.	-	-
(c) Biological control adopted, if any.	-	-

Particulars	FL	D Plot	Check Farmers' practice plot	
11. Date of harvesting	27	-11-19	27-11-19	
12. Method adopted for estimate of yield (crop cutting, sampling method etc. Give details)	Сгор	OCutting	-	
13. Name of person from organizers present at the plot at the time of estimate of yield to record it accurately.	KVK S	Scientists	-	
14. Estimate of yield (a) Grain (b) Straw	- 6.9 7.1	91 t/ha 2 t/ha	5.5 t/ha 5.9 t/ha	
15. Gross Income (ha) (a) Value of grain (Rs.) (b) Value of straw (Rs.)	1,4	46,492 9,000	1,27,050 25,000	
16. Cost of cultivation (ha), Give some major items of expenditure.	5	7,500	69,670	
Net profit to the farmer technology (Rs/ha.)	by adopting the		69,550	
Farmers Reactions (In details)		Farmers are very much satisfied with this fine grain variety because of tolerance to Blight, less incidence of BPH and reduced cost of cultivation.		
Reaction of neighbouring farme	rs	Neighbouring farmers expressed that they will try to cultivate this variety next kharif season		
Number of visits made to the posterior of visits made in each trip.	olot with dates and		One visit	

#### Assam

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the principal food crop of Assam occupying an area of about 24.51 lakh ha with an average productivity of 2107 kg/ha. Cultivation of HYV and hybrid rice is getting momentum in Assam in recent years. Ranjit is popular variety of rice in the Assam but it takes 140 to 145 days to mature. Most the farmers of the state prefer to cultivate local cultivars due to their taste in spite of their low productivity and they follow traditional cultivation practices.

Considering these facts, high yielding varieties CR Dhan 909 and CR Dhan 310 were demonstrated by ICAR-NRRI-RRLRRS, Gerua in 25 ha area following line transplanting in Bongalpara village, Hajo Block of Kamrup District. The FLDs allotted to RRLRRS, Gerua during Kharif 2019 was for 25 ha (10 ha for the rice variety CR Dhan 909 and 15 ha for the variety CR Dhan 310). For the effective demonstration of the technologies, it was decided to conduct the FLDs in two clusters of 10 ha and 15 ha each. Nearly 400 Kg breeder seed of CR Dhan 909 and 600 Kg breeder seed of CR Dhan 310 produced at the RRLRRS, Gerua, was supplied to the farmers of the respective clusters. Due to the devastating Assam Flood-2019 and the resultant water stagnation for more than three weeks, approximately 90% of the nursery was lost. The impact of flood was such that only 2 ha of area for CR Dhan 310 could be transplanted from the remaining seed nursery. Hence, the geotagging of 2 hectares of demonstration plot was uploaded in the app and the results of these 2 hectare demonstrations are provided.

The technology demonstrated, the rice variety CR Dhan 310, is the worlds first high protein rice variety, released from the ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack, Odisha, and notified in 2016 [S.O. 1438(E), 19/04/2016]. In Assam, where rice is the major staple food crop and more than 90% of the population is dependent on it for their nutritional requirements, the variety CR Dhan 310 has the potential to combat protein malnutrition which is widespread in the population especially among children. Hence the FLDs conducted on this technology will help to spread it among the farmers of the state and is a step in the right direction towards ensuring nutritional security for the people of the state.

S No.	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
1	CR Dhan 310	25*	Ranjit	Village: Bongalpara Block: Hajo District: Kamrup	6.5	4.9	32.65

\*Due to floods only 2 hectares of FLDs were transplanted.



#### **Bihar**

In **Bihar**, rice is cultivated in an area of 32.23 lakh hectares with a productivity of 2.158 t/ha and the annual rice production is 72.01 lakh tons. There are three distinct rice-growing seasons, summer (garma) (March to early July), kharif (aus, autumn, and agahani) (May-June to October-December), and spring (boro) (October-November to May), in Bihar. Spring and summer rice are transplanted and grown under irrigated conditions. These rice crops are relatively productive though they occupy only 0.2 and 0.07 million ha, respectively. Majority of the rice area is cultivated in the kharif season on lands with varying toposequences—upland, medium land, lowland, and deepwater and flood-prone areas (dhab, diara, and tal land) by direct seeding or transplanting under rainfed as well as irrigated conditions.

The frontline Demonstration on rice variety CR Dhan 909 was conducted by ICAR-Research Complex for Eastern Region, Patna at 23 beneficiary farmers' field in 10 hectares of land in Buxar and Madhubani districts of Bihar under Frontline Demonstration programme funded by NFSM. Seed of rice variety CR Dhan 909, were provided to the demonstrating farmers. An average grain yield of 5.48 t/ha of CR Dhan 909 was obtained in FLD plots. In the Narendrapur panchayat in Madhubani districts where the area under FLD was 5 ha the average grain yield was 5.38 t/ha against the 3.5 t/ha grain yield from the traditional short grain aromatic variety Basmatiya. In Chausa block of Buxar district the average grain yield of CR Dhan 909 was 5.57 t/ha against the check variety Swarna (5.20 t/ha). Farmers were highly satisfied with the performance of CR Dhan 909. CR dhan 909 was free from false smut disease whereas the adjoining plots of popular variety Swarna was severely infected by false smut. Besides this, CR Dhan 909 was found to be 15 days earlier than Swarna. Being an aromatic rice, CR Dhan 909 has added advantage over Swarna. The farmers were impressed by the aroma coming from the standing crop and high tillering ability in the variety and high grain yield.





S No.	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
1	CR Dhan 909	10	Basmatiya, Swarna	Madhubani and Buxar district	5.47	4.35	25.86



# Chhattisgarh

In **Chhattisgarh** rice is cultivated in an area of 3.7 million hectares with a productivity of 1.322 t/ha. The state is known as rice bowl as it is rich in rice diversity including a number of landraces and traditional rice varieties.

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, IGKV Raipur conducted 30 FLDs to showcase the relative advantage of IGKV R 1, Indira Aerobic 1, Chhattisgarh Sugandhitbhog, Chhattisgarh Devbhog. In the demonstrated locations, introduced varieties recorded higher yield advantages compared to the local varieties grown. IGKV Raipur also successfully demonstrated 8 FLDs on KRH 4 with yield advantage of 9.39% over Indra Sona. S. G CARS, Jagdalpur conducted 5 FLDs on Samleshwari in 5 hectare area covering Tirathgarh and Bademarenga villages of Bastar district.

S No.	Technologies demonstrated	No. Of FLDs	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield	% Yield Advantage
						(t/ha)	
1.	IGKV R 1	2	Local	Block- Saja,	5.34	4.69	13.86
			varieties	Abhanpur			
2.	Indira Aerobic 1	5	Local	Block- Saja,	4.18	3.74	11.76
			varieties	Abhanpur,			
				Arang			
3.	CG Devbhog	21	Local	Block- Saja,	4.42	3.91	13.04
			varieties	Abhanpur,			
				Arang, Patan			
4.	CG Sugandhit	2	Local	Block- Patan	4.65	4.10	13.41
	Bhog		varieties				
5.	KRH 4	8	Indra	Khapri (Tk:	4.66	4.26	9.39
			Sona	Girhola,			
				District: Durg)			
				Teliyapuran			
				(Tk: Semarsal,			
				District:			
				Nowagarh (Tk)			
				Nawagarh (TK:			
				Nawagai ii District:			
				Bomotara)			
6	Samleshwari	5	Chudi	Villages-	12	2.5	68.00
0.	Janneshwan	5	Dhan	Tirathgarh and	4.2	2.5	00.00
			Unun	Bademarenga			
				District- Bastar			
7.	CGZR1, CGZR2	2			Results		
	Zincorice	_			awaited		





KRH-4 demonstations in Village- Khapri, Girhola, Durg

### Annexure - V

2.       Season       Kharif         3.       Technology being demonstrated       Improved Variety         Specific advantages or features of new technology being demonstrated as compared to the farmers' present practice in advantage over the exist	vield ting		
3.         Technology being demonstrated         Improved Variety           3.         Specific advantages or features of new technology being demonstrated as compared to the farmers' present practice in advantage over the exist         Improved variety	vield ting		
Specific advantages or features of new technology being demonstrated as compared to the farmers' present practice in advantage over the exist	vield ting		
demonstrated as compared to the farmers' present practice in advantage over the exist	ting		
the area (Give details) farmers varieties			
4. Location (with full address) Village: Tirathgarh a	and		
Bademarenga			
District: Bastar			
State:Chhattisgarh			
5. Category of beneficiary farmers:			
d. Name with Father's /Husband Name : Kamal Singh Yadav/ Saamn	nath		
Yadav			
e. Medium/Small/Marginal/Women : Virendra Nag/ Adgu Nag			
f. SC/ST/General Marginal			
OBC and ST			
0.     Area under demonstration (na)     5 na       7.     Essentem (Irrigated/rainfed/challow lowland/rainfed unland     Bainfed			
atc. Give details			
8 Field Condition			
(d) Topography			
(e) Soil Type			
(f) Native nutrient status			
Any other information about field condition			
9. Production Technology Adopted Samleshwari variety of r	rice		
adopted by the farmers			
Particulars FLD Plot Check –	Check –		
Farmers' practice Plot			
(ii) Variety/Hybrid Samleshwari, Landraces			
( Lim Chudi, Sathka,			
Lalu dhan			
Lokti machhi, Safri			
(ii) Seed rate 70 Kg/ha 80 Kg/ha			
(III) Source of Seed Govt. agencies Govt. agencies and other			
(IV) Seed treatment Yes No			
(v) Sowing date 1/07/2019 11/06/2019			
(VI) Nursery details seeding			
(vii) Transplanting date			
(viii) Spacing 20 cm row spacing Broadcasting			
(iv) spacing $2000000 / h_2$ $5700000 / h_2$			
maintained per ha			
(x) FYM/compost applied Not used Not used			
(xi) Fertilizers applied 80:60:40 No fertilizers applied			

(c) Basal (NPK kgs/ha)	40:60:40	
(d) Top dressing : Number		
of times & stage (NPK	2 times top dressing, 1 <sup>st</sup> during	
kg/ha)	active tillering, 2 <sup>nd</sup> during panicle	
	initiation stage	
(xii) Micro-nutrient used if any	Not used	Not used
(name/quantity)		
(xiii) Weed control	PE Pyrazolsulfuron	Not used
(a) Herbicides used	ethyl 10 % WP @ 200 g	
(name/quantity)	ha <sup>-1</sup> at 3 DAT + hand	
Inter-culture operations	weeding (HW) at 45	
(Number)	DAT.	
(xiv) No. of irrigation given	Rainfed	Rainfed
(crop stage-wise)		

10. Plant protection measures adopted								
Particulars	FLD Plot	CheckFarmers' practice plot						
(d) Insect pest	Stem borer, Gall midge	Stem borer, Gall midge						
(i) Name of the pest observed	Leaf folder, BPH,	Leaf folder, BPH,						
	Cut worm	Cut worm						
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	2%	Upto 30%						
(iii) Name of the insecticide used	Chlorpyrifos 20 EC	-						
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used	1 ml/ litre	-						
(v) Frequency of application	10 days interval	-						
(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?	Yes	Yes						
(e) Diseases observed	Blast, Brown spot and	Blast, Brown spot and						
(i) Name of the disease	False smut	False smut						
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	5%	20%						
(iii) Name of the pesticide used	Tricyclazole @ 300 g/ha	-						
(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used	300 g/ha	-						
(v) Frequency of application	15 days interval	-						
(vi) Is this a major or common disease	Yes	Yes						
in the area.								
(f) Biological control adopted, if	-	-						
any.								

Particulars	FLD Plot	Check
		Farmers' practice plot
11. Date of harvesting	28/10/19	15/10/19
12. Method adopted for	Harvesting, threshing and weighing	Harvesting, threshing and

estimate of yield (crop		weighing
cutting, sampling method		
etc. Give details)		
13. Name of person from	-	-
organizers present at the		
plot at the time of		
estimate of yield to record		
it accurately.		
14. Estimate of yield		
(a) Grain	40 q/ha	20 q/ha
(b) Straw	8 q/ha	5 q/ha
15. Gross Income (ha)		
(a) Value of grain (Rs.)	Rs. 60000 /ha	Rs. 30000 /ha
(b) Value of straw (Rs.)	Rs. 10000/ ha	Rs. 5000/ha
16. Cost of cultivation (ha),	Sprayer	Seed
Give some major items of	Tools of interculture operations	
expenditure.	Insecticide	
	Fungicide	

17.	Net profit to the farmer by adopting the technology (Rs/ha.)	Rs. 40000/ha
18.	Farmers Reactions (In details)	Farmers were benefitted by cultivating the improved variety.
19.	Reaction of neighbouring farmers	Neighbouring farmers found the variety profitable than the local varieties
20.	Number of visits made to the plot with dates and observations made in each trip.	01 (During flowering) 28/09/19

### Gujarat

In the **Gujarat**, rice occupies about 5 % of the gross cropped area and it is being grown on about 7.5 to 8.0 lakh hectares, of which about 50-60 % is under a low land (Transplanted) and remaining 40-45 % under upland rice (drilled) situation. More than 90 % of the area under rice is confined to South and Middle Gujarat. The average productivity of low land (transplanted) rice is 2200 to 2300 kg/ha while of the upland (drilled) rice, it is merely 700 to 900 kg/ha. The overall low productivity is mainly due to the fact that about 40-45 per cent of the area under rice is upland with a productivity of 0.7 to 0.9 tonnes/ha.

Among the rice growing districts, productivity of six districts have higher than the national average productivity and eleven districts having productivity below the national average. There are six districts under medium productivity group, six districts under medium low productivity group and two districts under very low productivity group. About 52 per cent of area is concentrated in medium low productivity group which accounts for 41 per cent of total rice production.

Gujarat is having unique distinction of possessing longest coastline of 1600 km. Of which, South Gujarat constitute about 200 km of coastline, where paddy cultivation is in vogue. In general, the coastal soils are salt affected and are classified into Typic Halaquepts belonging to Dandi and Onjal I series. In South Gujarat alone, coastal and inland salt affected soils occupies about 70,000 ha area. Due to the concerted research efforts, Dandi variety was released during 2000 and was widely accepted by the farmers because of its salinity tolerance. However, the farmers are complaining about non-threshability of Dandi variety. The variety GNR-5 performed very well in whole Gujarat where it exhibited overall 13.1 % and 21.2 % grain yield superiority with easy threshability over the checks Dandi and NAUR-1, respectively. The variety GNR-5 performed very well in the coastal salt affected soils of South Gujarat and inland saline areas of middle Gujarat and released in the year 2015. The other popular fine grain varieties grown by Gujarat rice farmers are GR-4, GR-11 and GNR-2 which are most popular among the farmers and consumers due to their grain quality. But due to the susceptibility to major pests and diseases, productivity of these fine grain varieties was low. GNR-7 that was released during 2016 for transplanted areas of Gujarat has performed very well where it exhibited overall 13.0 %, 22.8% and 12.4 % grain yield superiority with easy threshability over the checks GNR-2, GR-11 and GAR-13, respectively.

S. No.	Technologies	No. Of	Local	Location	FLD Yield	Check	% Yield
	demonstrated	FLDS	спеск		(t/na)	(t/ha)	e
1	GNR-5	4	Jaya	Navsari	5.23	4.69	11.51
2	GNR-7	26	GR 11	Navsari	5.18	4.48	15.63
3	Mahisagar	10	Local	Kheda,	4.90	4.43	10.61
			Varieties	Ahmedabad,			
				Anand,			
				Mahisagar,			
				Dahod			
4	GAR-14	10	Local	Kheda,	4.45	3.70	20.27
			Varieties	Ahmedabad,			
				Anand,			
				Baroda,			
				Gandhinagar,			
				Sabarkantha			

Salient Future of Variety:

GNR-5	Plant height (cm)	:	120-125 cm
	Maturity days (seed to seed)	:	125-130 days
	Grain type	:	Long slender
	Kernel length x Breadth (mm)	:	Length: 6.83 Breadth: 1.72
	Grain vield (kg/ha)		5500-6500
		·	
	Special features	:	Suitable for Irrigated transplanted normal and salt affected areas of Gujarat
GNR.7	Plant height (cm)	:	110 – 120
	Maturity days (seed to seed)	:	125-130
and and a sub-	Grain type	:	Short slender
	Kernel length x Breadth (mm)	••	Length: 5.45 Breadth: 1.75
	Grain yield (kg/ha)	:	5500-6000
	Special features	:	Suitable for Irrigated transplanted areas of Gujarat

Main Rice Research Centre, Soil & Water Management Research Unit, Navsari Agricultural University conducted 30 FLDs. Two important varieties viz., GNR 5 and GNR 7 were demonstrated in Front line demonstrations in kharif, 2019 in an area of 4.0 ha and 26 ha respectively. The rice varieties viz., GNR 5 and GNR 7 performed very well and it exhibited good yield advantage over respective checks. Farmers opined that GNR-5 was best suited for both irrigated transplanted normal and salt affected areas of Gujarat. GNR-5 is good in yield with good quality for beaten and puffed rice purpose. GNR-7 variety is good for cooking purpose and high head rice recovery.

Main Rice Research Station, A.A.U., Nawagam organized 10 FLDs each on Mahisagar and GAR 14 variety in Kheda, Ahmedabad, Anand, Mahisagar, Dahod, Baroda, Gandhinagar, Sabarkantha districts of Gujarat. Mahisagar has performed well against local varieties in all demonstrated locations with 10.61% yield advantage. GAR 14 variety has outperformed the local varieties with 20% advantage in its yield.





Rice Variety GNR-5 at Bahadurbahi Koldhubhai Chaudhari Village: Kamaljari, Tehshil: Vansda, Dist. Navsari, Gujarat



Rice Variety GNR-5 at Bajanbhai Kavadhubhai Cahudhari Village: Kamaljari, Tehshil: Vansda, Dist. Navsari, Gujarat



Rice Variety GNR-5 at Dipaben Jitendrabhai Bhoya Village: Anklach, Tehshil: Vansda, Dist. Navsari, Gujarat



Rice Variety GNR-5 at Sangitaben Bhagubhai Gavit Village: Anklach, Tehshil: Vansda, Dist. Navsari, Gujarat



# Rice Variety GNR-7 at Dayjubhai Manshubhai Chauvadhari Village: Chondha, Tehshil: Vansda, Dist. Navsari, Gujarat

Rice Variety GNR-7 at Kolubhai Navsubhai Gavit Village: Bedmal, Tehshil: Vansda, Dist. Navsari, Gujarat



Rice Variety GNR-7 at Natubhai Somabhai Vaghmare Village: Anklachh, Tehshil: Vansda, Dist. Navsari, Gujarat Rice Variety GNR-7 at Rajubhai Nagjibhai Jadav Village: Anklachh, Tehshil: Vansda, Dist. Navsari, Gujarat

Sr. No.	Name of Farmer	Village	Taluka	District	Area (ha )	Date of	Date of T P	Yield (Ka Mahisaga	g <b>/ha.)</b>
					(110.)	5000115		r	CHECK
1	Patel Nayan Vasantbhai	Vaso	Vaso	Kheda	0.50	05-06-19	11-07-19	5600	4880
2	BhoiAjaybhai Rameshbhai	Vatadi	Kheda	Kheda	0.50	15-06-19	20-07-19	5360	4960
3	Chauhan Gabharubhai J.	Nawagam	Kheda	Kheda	0.50	16-06-19	10-07-19	5200	4800
4	RavalUdesingh Nathubha	Bherai	Kheda	Kheda	0.50	11-06-19	16-07-19	6000	5280
5	Parmar Rakeshbhai Ambalalbhai	Damri	Kheda	Kheda	0.50	11-6-19	17-7-19	5040	4400
6	Dabhi Vikrambhai Jeshingbhai	Makva	Mehmdabad	Kheda	0.50	7-06-19	12-7-19	5120	4480
7	Patel Jayantibhai Lalajibhai	Bidaj	Kheda	Kheda	0.50	7-06-19	11-7-19	5440	4880
8	Mahida Dharmendrabhai	Kheda	Kheda	Kheda	0.50	17-6-19	21-07-19	4640	4240
9	Patel Ratilabhai Somabhai	Kapadvanj	Kapadvanj	Kheda	0.50	06-6-19	10-7-19	4160	3920
10	Gohil Ambalalbhai Ashabhai	Kathana	Kheda	Kheda	0.50	16-06-19	21-7-19	5840	5360
11	GohelPoonmbhai Ravjibhai	Deva	Sojitra	Anand	0.50	12-06-19	08-07-19	4640	4160
12	Patel Hashmukhbhai Ravjibhai	Chikhodar a	Anand	Anand	0.50	12-06-19	10-07-19	4720	4480
13	Pathak R. Rajeshchandra	Dholi	Dholka	Ahmed abad	0.50	14-06-19	19-07-19	4400	4000
14	Prajapati Rameshbhai Manibhai	Bareja	Daskroi	Ahmed abad	0.50	7-06-19	15-07-19	5120	4560
15	Sisodiya Jagdishsih Bhurubha	Chandisar	Dholka	Ahmed abad	0.50	12-06-19	17-07-19	4480	4000
16	Patel Jyotindrabhai N.	Jesangpur	Lunavada	Mahisa gar	0.50	23-06-19	17-07-19	4160	3840
17	Machhar Valsingbhai Badevabhai	Kadiya	Fatehpur	Dahod	0.50	25-06-19	23-07-19	4000	3680
18	Sisodiya Jagdishsih Bhurubha	Chandisar	Dholka	Ahmed abad	0.50	12-06-19	17-07-19	5200	4480
19	Patel Kiranbhai D	Modasar	Sanand	Ahmed abad	0.50	9-06-19	13-07-19	4400	4080
20	Patel Vadilal Kasirambhai	Zolapur	Sanand	Ahmed abad	0.50	22-06-19	24-07-19	4480	4160

# Frontline Demonstration (Mahisagar variety) Conducted by MRRS, AAU, Nawagam during Kharif-2019

# Frontline Demonstration (Variety : GAR-14) Conducted by MRRS, AAU, Nawagam during Kharif-2019

Sr.					Area	Date of	Date of	Yield (K	g/ha.)
No.	Name of Farmer	Village	Taluka	District	(ha.)	Sowing	T.P	GAR-14	Local
1	Patel Akshav Pravinhhai	Bareia	Daskroj	A'had	0.25	1/1-06-19	10-07-19	<i>A</i> 167	Спеск 3750
2	Patel Hirenbhai Pravinbhai	Bareja	Daskroi	A'bad	0.25	18-06-19	20-07-19	5000	4250
3	Ghanchi Ibrahim Gulabnabi	Dholka	Dholka	A'bad	0.25	12-06-19	10-07-19	5083	4333
4	Thakor Chandubhai Suratbhai	Mahijda	Daskroi	A'bad	0.25	19-06-19	15-07-19	4167	3500
5	Patel Harekrushna Mahendrabhai	Sandhana	Matar	Kheda	0.25	18-06-19	15-07-19	3750	3333
6	Chauhan Chimanbhai Mangalbhai	Bavara	Mahemd avad	Kheda	0.25	16-06-19	14-07-19	4000	3583
7	Vankar Hiteshkumar Ramanbhai	Palana	Vaso	Kheda	0.25	17-06-19	13-07-19	4167	3750
8	Patel Girishbhai Shankarbhai	Jetalpur	Daskroi	A'bad	0.25	20-06-19	18-07-19	5000	4000
9	Sisodiya Champaksinh Hardevsinh	Radhu	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	12-06-19	10-07-19	4667	4083
10	Chavda Ravjibhai Mohanbhai	Chitrasar	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	22-06-19	18-07-19	4333	3500
11	Vaghela Chetanbhai Kanubhai	Sathal	Dholka	A'bad	0.25	28-06-19	29-07-19	4417	4083
12	Patel Harshadbhai Ramjibhai	Netrmani	Idar	Sabark antha	0.25	16-06-19	20-07-19	5167	4167
13	Thakor Fulabhi Saburjibhai	Khicha	Sanands	A'bad	0.25	21-06-19	22-07-19	4583	3583
14	Thakor Manishsih Bhulabhai	Badodra	Dashkroi	A'bad	0.25	17-06-19	20-07-19	3750	3333
15	Baraiya Hashmukhbhai Ramabhai	Sarasvani	Mhemda bad	Kheda	0.25	10-06-19	14-07-19	3833	3500
16	Patel Bhikhabhai Mohanbhai	Shreejipur a	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	9-06-19	13-07-19	5000	4250
17	Patel Arvindbhai Isverbhai	Shreejipur a	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	07-06-19	11-07-19	5833	4167
18	Parmar Kabhaibhai Chaganbhai	Palaj	Anand	Anand	0.25	2-06-19	6-07-19	4917	3917
19	Parmar Udesinh Maganbhai	Chikodra	Anand	Anand	0.25	17-06-19	20-07-19	5000	4167
20	Parmar Rameshbhai Maganbhai	Chikodra	Anand	Anand	0.25	13-06-19	17-07-19	5667	4333
21	Parmar Ramabhai Chhanarbhai	lol	Anand	Anand	0.25	08-06-19	11-07-19	4750	3750
22	Bharvad Laljibhai Katubhai	Mahijda	Dashkroi	A'bad	0.25	07-06-19	11-07-19	3083	3750
23	Dodiya Ajitbhai Gabhrubhai	Mahijda	Dashkroi	A'bad	0.25	02-6-19	04-07-19	4750	3417
24	Patel Maheshbhai Prabhudas	Dabhoi	Dabhoi	Baroda	0.25	07-06-19	11-07-19	4167	4000
25	Panchal Parthikkumar Maheshbhai	Vatvana	Dabhoi	Baroda	0.25	11-06-19	16-07-19	4750	3500
26	Bhoi Mukeshbhai kabhaibhai	Chhora	Umreth	Anand	0.25	03-06-19	12-07-19	4167	1167
27	Bhoi Jayeshbhai Kantibhai	Adas	Anand	Anand	0.25	8-06-19	19-07-19	5000	3583
28	Patel Lataben Kaushikbhai	Vatvana	Dabhoi	Baroda	0.25	11-06-19	16-07-19	3750	3333

29	Patel Premkumar Kaushikbhai	Vatvana	Dabhoi	Baroda	0.25	17-06-19	20-07-19	3833	3167
30	Alak Shah	Santej	Kalol	Gandhi nagar	0.25	10-06-19	13-07-19	3500	3667
31	Prajapati Mehul K.	Vihal	G.nagar	Gandhi nagar	0.25	09-06-19	11-07-19	3750	3250
32	Parmar Jitendra Jesangbhai	Vasnabhia rg	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	10-06-19	13-07-19	5000	3750
33	Malel Sirajmiya Sayadmiya	Vasnabhia rg	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	08-06-19	16-07-19	5167	3750
34	Patel Bharatbhai Jasabhai	Peej	Vaso	Kheda	0.25	13-06-19	17-07-19	2917	3667
35	Ramanbhai Tarasihai Patel	Randhvan aj	Matar	Kheda	0.25	17-06-19	21-07-19	4167	3917
36	Rajendrabhai Ramanbhai Patel	Randhvan aj	Matar	Kheda	0.25	14-06-19	17-07-19	3250	3917
37	Kamleshbhai Ramanbhai Patel	Randhvan aj	Matar	Kheda	0.25	19-06-19	27-07-19	4000	3417
38	Chauhan Kanubhai Ukabhai	Vasnakhur d	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	12-06-19	16-07-19	5417	4000
39	Thakor Khodaji Kantiji	Bhatt	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	11-06-19	17-07-19	5000	3667
40	Chavda Merubhai Agarsihbhai	Bherai	Kheda	Kheda	0.25	08-06-19	14-07-19	5083	3917

### **Himachal Pradesh**

In **Himachal Pradesh** rice is cultivated in area of 0.72 lakh hectares with a productivity of 1.614 t/ha and annual rice production of 1.17 lakh tons. Rice is cultivated in ten of the twelve districts of the State except Kinnaur and Lahaul- Spiti. Kangra & Mandi districts account for more than two third of rice acreage and production in the state. There is great diversity under which rice is cultivated ranging from foot-hills (350 m) to high hills (upto 2000 m). Rice is cultivated by four different methods i. e. dry seeding (rainfed upland), wattar sowing (sowing with the receipt of pre monsoon rainfall and interculture (wet tillage) at the onset of monsoon rains at about one month after sowing), wet seeding (sowing pre sprouted seeds in puddled field) and transplanted methods. Different rice varieties have been recommended for rainfed and irrigated ecologies. In mid hill conditions, low temperature in the month of September limits the crop duration. The state on an average receives 1251 mm rainfall of which two third occurs from June to September. Delay in the onset of monsoon may delay the rice sowing/transplanting. Only one crop of rice is grown in the state during wet season from May to October. The direct seeding and nursery sowing starts by the end of May and continues up to about 15<sup>th</sup> June. However, in Kullu valley and other higher hills of Shimla, Mandi and Chamba districts of the state, the nursery sowing starts as early as mid-April. The transplanting starts around 20<sup>th</sup> June depending on the onset of monsoon and availability of irrigation water in the area and continues up to mid of July.

Rice and Wheat Research Centre, Malan of CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya conducted 5 FLDs on four rice varieties HPR 2880, HPR 2612, HPR 2656 (rice for upland conditions), HPR 2795 (red rice for upland conditions) with complete package of practice, in three clusters of Rait, Nagrota Bhagwan & Baijnath blocks of Kangra district which is in the notified list of NFSM districts (National Food Security Mission). The demonstrations were conducted on fourteen farmers' fields as the land holding is less. Four varieties for rainfed and irrigated ecologies with complete package of practice were demonstrated. HPR 2880 is a high yielding blast resistant variety recommended for cultivation in low and mid hills.HPR 2612 is a high yielding scented rice for irrigated ecology in area with 650 to 1500 m altitude. HPR 2795 (red rice variety) and HPR 2656 have been recommended for upland rainfed ecologies of low & mid hills of the state. Red rice has more of iron and zinc content and has medicinal properties. Red rice fetches more prices in the market.

The varieties demonstrated, performed well and farmers were satisfied with the productivity and profitability. The yield advantage over the checks varied from 23.8 to 35.4 per cent. HPR 2880 recorded seed advantage of 35.44 per cent and advantage in straw was 33.29 per cent. The farmers were happy with the yield advantage. However, this variety showed lodging in Rait block and farmers' feedback was to reduce its height. Scented rice variety HPR 2612 recorded seed and straw advantage by 32.6 and 33.76 per cent respectively and farmers showed interest to increase the acreage under this variety as it has more taste. The upland red rice variety HPR 2795 recorded grain yield advantage 25.97 per cent and farmers were happy to accept and increase area under this variety as it is more nutritive and fetches higher price. HPR 2656 provided grain yield advantage by 23.8 per cent under rainfed conditions. Hence, these new varieties will pay a pivotal role in the state to increase the rice grain production in the state *vis* a *vis* to double the farmers' income by 2022.

S No.	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
1.	'HPR 2880' with complete POP	5.17	HPR 2143 and local	Rait,Nagrota Bhagwan, and Baijnath blocks of Kangra district	3.76	2.78	35.25
2.	HPR 2612 (scented rice) with complete POP	1.0	RP 2421	Baijnath & Nagrota blocks of Kangra district	3.58	2.70	32.59
3.	HPR 2795 with complete POP	0.83	Local practices	Rait block of Kangra district	3.19	2.53	26.09
4.	"HPR 2656' upland rice variety with complete POP	1.0	Local practices	Rait block of Kangra district	3.06	2.47	23.89



# Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)



# Jammu and Kashmir

In **Jammu & Kashmir** rice is cultivated in an area of 2.62 lakh ha with a productivity of 2.078 t/ha the annual rice production is 5.45 lakh tons. The state is rich in rice culture from the ancient times and a number of landraces and traditional rice varieties grown earlier have been phased out by the cultivation of high yielding varieties.

In Kashmir, 35 FLDs were organized by Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Science and Technology of Kashmir. Under the programme 50 villages were covered across nine Districts (Anantnag; Kulgam; Pulwama; Budgam;; Ganderbal; Kupwara Srinagar and Baramulla) of the valley through active involvement of KVKs of concerned districts. Two newly released high yielding varieties namely Shalimar Rice 4 and Shalimar Rice 5 were demonstrated along with recommended package of practices. Shalimar Rice 4 was demonstrated in plains of the Valley covering altitude of 1550-1700 m amsl, while as Shalimar Rice 5 was demonstrated under higher altitude of Valley covering an altitude of 2000 - 2200 m amsl.

The demonstrations showed the yield advantage of 20-35% as compared to the prevailing varieties. Moreover, farmers were satisfied with higher net monitory gain of 29 % (plains of Valley) and 23 % (higher altitudes of Valley) over prevailing varieties. Farmers are eager to adopt the same varieties along with recommended package of practices. Further farmer to farmer seed exchange were reported at some places during harvesting time.

# Package of practices followed

- The farmers were advised to transplant young seedlings of 25 days old in plains and for higher altitudes seedling age of only 30 days with only 2-3 seedlings per hill.
- The farmers were strictly advised to adhere recommended schedule of fertilizers ie., 120:60:30:10 (plains of valley) and 80:45:30:10 (higher altitudes) kg/ha of N; P; K: Zn. Urea, DAP, MOP and Zince Sulphate were used as source of N, P, K and Zn, respectively.
- Nitrogen was applied in three splits basal, tillering and panicle initiation, besides basal dose of FYM @ 10 t/ha before 15-20 days of transplanting (i.e., at the time of land preparation).
- Eros (Pyrazosulfuron+pretilachlor) a newly tested and recommended herbicide which controls grasses, sedges and suppresses one noxious weeds such as *Echinocloa crusgali L., Echinocloa colona L., Cyperus iria L., Cyperus difformis L., Marsilia quadrifolia L. Potamogeton distinctus.,* A. Benn., *Ammania baccifera L.* and *Monochoria vaginalis* and *Potomogeton distinctus* locally called **"Awe"** are the coomon weeds associated with rice in the valley.
- Farmers were imparted training on water management and how to use the irrigation water judiciously.
- Three teams each comprised of three members lead by a Scientist from Khudwani centre were constituted, who visited all locations and monitored the demonstrations at three stages (transplanting, flowering and harvesting) to record plant population, disease and pest incidence and finally grain and straw yield at harvest.

Basmati rice is the major crop of irrigated sub-tropical plains of Jammu, Samba and Kathua Districts grown in an area of > 60,000 ha area out of which an area of > 22000 ha has suffered a major loss at maturity due to unprecedented rains and inclement weather. Moreover, lodging, water stagnation in standing crop, frequent wetting of harvested crop, cloudy weather and high humidity and grain discolouration are the cause of very low yield.

Chatha College, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu conducted varietal demonstrations on Basmati 564 and SJR-129, which were the short and medium duration varieties both the varieties have potential for high yield. Beside yield, timely harvesting will also help for timely sown of wheat crop / rabi crops and ultimately increase the cropping intensity which is the result of more income of farmers.

S. No.	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
1.	Shalimar Rice 4 (for lower altitudes)	31.4	Jehlum, SR1, SR2, SR3	Anatnag, Kupeara, Ganderbal	7.39	6.17	19.77
2.	Shalimar Rice 5 (for higher altitudes)	3.6	К332	Anatnag	5.16	4.13	24.94
3.	Basmati-564	14	Basmati - 370	Taluka: R.S. Pura, District: Jammu	3.41	2.42	40.91
4.	SJR-129	6	Basmati - 370	Taluka: R.S. Pura District: Jammu	3.70	2.43	52.26



1.	Сгор		Rice		
2.	Season		Kharif		
3.	Technology being demonstrated		Varietal improvement		
	Specific advantages or features of new technology being demonstrated as compared to the farmers' present practice in the area (Give details)		<ol> <li>Basmati-564</li> <li>High yield and medium duration</li> </ol>		
	Location (with full address)		Village : Kotli Meerdiya, R.S. Pura Taluka: R.S. Pura District: Jammu State: Jammu and Kashmir		
5.	Category of beneficiary far	mers:	Janak Raj		
	<ul> <li>g. Name with Father's /Husband Name :</li> <li>h. Medium/Small/Ma omen :</li> <li>i. SC/ST/General</li> </ul>	s rginal/W	Marginal		
6.	Area under demonstration	(ha)	0.6 ha		
7.	<ul> <li>Ecosystem         <ul> <li>(Irrigated/rainfed/shallow</li> <li>lowland/rainfed</li> <li>upland</li> <li>etc.</li> <li>Give</li> <li>details</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Irrigated		
<ul> <li>Field Condition         <ul> <li>(g) Topography</li> <li>(h) Soil Type</li> <li>(i) Native nutrient status</li> </ul> </li> <li>Any other information about field condition</li> </ul>		Irrigated plain			
9. Pr	oduction Technology Adopte	ed		Improved Varieties	
Part	iculars	FID Plot		Check -	
Ture				Farmers' practice Plot	
	(iii) Variety/Hybrid	Basmati-	564	Basmati -370	
(ii) S	eed rate	20 kg / h	а	20 kg / ha	
(iii) S	Source of Seed	Div. of Pl	3G, SKUAST- Jammu	Div. of PBG, SKUAST- Jammu	
(iv) Seed treatment NA			NA		
(v) Sowing date 10 <sup>th</sup> June		2019	17 <sup>th</sup> June 2019		
(vi) Nursery details seeding Line sow density in nursery etc.		ing, 20 cm distance	Broadcasting		
(vii)	Transplanting date	15 <sup>th</sup> July	2019	19 <sup>th</sup> July 2019	
(viii)	Spacing	10 X 20 c	m	Without any proper spacing	
(ix) Plant population 5,00,000		(Approx.)	4,00,000 (Approx.)		

### ANNEXURE - V FINAL REPORT ON FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION

maintained per ha.		
(x) FYM/compost applied	FYM	
<ul> <li>(xi) Fertilizers applied</li> <li>(e) Basal (NPK kgs/ha)</li> <li>(f) Top dressing : Number of times &amp; stage (NPK kg/ha)</li> </ul>	20:30:10 (NPK/ha) 10:0:0 10:0:0 Not provided by Implementing agency	0: 40:0 (NPK / Ha) 20 :0:0 20:0 : 0 Not provided by Implementing agency
(xii) Micro-nutrient used if any (name/quantity)	NA	
(xiii) Weed control (b) Herbicides used (name/quantity) Inter-culture operations (Number)	Nominee Gold 10 ml / 500 M <sup>2</sup>	Nominee Gold 10 ml / 500 M <sup>2</sup>
(xiv) No. of irrigation given (crop stage-wise)		

10. Plant protection measures adopted					
Particulars	FLD Plot	Check Farmers' practice plot			
(g) Insect pest (i) Name of the pest observed	Not infected significantly	Not infected significantly			
(ii) Extent of damage (%)					
(iii) Name of the insecticide used					
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used					
(v) Frequency of application					
(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?					
(h) Diseases observed		Grain discolouration,			
(i) Name of the disease					
(ii) Extent of damage (%)		More than 50 %			
(iii) Name of the pesticide used					
(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used					
(v) Frequency of application					
(vi) Is this a major or common	No	No			
disease in the area.					
(i) Biological control adopted,					
if any.					

Particulars	FLD Plot	Check
		Farmers' practice plot
11. Date of harvesting	5 <sup>th</sup> Nov 2019	4 <sup>th</sup> Dec. 2019
12. Method adopted for	Crop cutting	Crop cutting
estimate of yield (crop		
cutting, sampling method		
etc. Give details)		

13. Name of person from	Devendra Kumar	
organizers present at the	JRF, DBT-Project	
plot at the time of		
estimate of yield to		
record it accurately.		
14. Estimate of yield		
(a) Grain		
(b) Straw		
15. Gross Income (ha)		
(a) Value of grain (Rs.)		
(b) Value of straw (Rs.)		
16. Cost of cultivation		
(ha), Give some major		
items of expenditure.		

17.	Net profit to the farmer by adopting the technology (Rs/ha.)	
18.	Farmers Reactions (In details)	They happy with high yield and less damage due to high
		rain fall at flowering stage which was the cause of
		damage of check variety
19.	Reaction of neighbouring farmers	They also showed interest to grow this variety
20.	Number of visits made to the plot	Nursery sowing, at transplanting, flowering and at
	with dates and observations made	harvesting time
	in each trip.	



#### **Jharkhand**

Jharkhand has been divided into 3 Agro-climatic sub zones viz., Central and north eastern plateau sub zone (zone IV), Western plateau sub zone (zone V) and Southeastern plateau sub zone (zone VI). More than 50% of total area under rice in the State is concentrated in very low productivity group. High and medium productivity groups do not exist in the State. Average productivity of the State is 1,021 kg/ha which is very much below the national average. There is a need for popularizing new varieties and technologies for wider acceptability among the farmers and large scale adoption for enhancing the productivity and production in the state. One method of achieving this is by organizing series of demonstrations on latest technologies. Realizing this fact, every year, FLDs are being organized on a cafeteria of new technologies in the state. This year, 85 FLDs were planned and conducted in the state.

Central Rainfed Upland Rice Research Station (CRURRS - NRRI), Hazaribagh conducted 30 FLDs on drought tolerant rice varieties Sahbhagi Dhan, IR 64 Drt 1 and Abhisekh under DSR in 30 ha of lands involving 140 beneficiaries in total of 8 villages in 8 Blocks, which covers 4 districts (Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma). Under FLD programme, training and awareness programme were also organized in those villages to provide the knowledge related to DSR and advantages of these varieties. Crop cutting trials were conducted to compare the yield of demonstrated varieties and local check varieties to find out the relative advantages. It was observed that, Sahbhagi Dhan, IR 64 Drt.1 and Abhisekh recorded average yield advantages of 30% (4.2 t/ha), 25% (5.0 t/ha) and 32% (5.2 t/ha) respectively over local checks.

ICAR-IIAB, Ranchi conducted FLDs to demonstrate the potential of drought-tolerant rice variety DRR-Dhan-42 (35 FLDs) and protein rich biofortified variety CR-Dhan-310 (05 FLDs) in 40 hectares of rainfed upland area of 56 farmers from 10 villages of five districts of Jharkhand namely Deoghar, Ramgarh, Hazaribag, Latehar, and Ranchi. Front Line Demonstrations organized during the year have been effective in creating awareness about the potential of drought-tolerant rice variety DRR-Dhan 42 under drought conditions and the significance of protein-rich rice varieties in ameliorating protein-energy malnutrition in women and children. Although some of the tribal farmers seemed to be skeptical about these varieties initially, a series of farmer- scientist interaction meetings, training, field days, etc. lead to increased acceptance and interest in the varieties among the farmers. The technologies demonstrated through FLDs recorded the average yield advantage of 11.6% over the farmers' practice. The FLD program by ICAR-IIAB has been successful in convincing the farmers about the effectiveness of scientific crop management practices. The results of FLDs revealed that there is tremendous scope to bridge the yield gaps in rainfed uplands of Jharkhand by large scale adoption of drought-tolerant high yielding rice varieties.

Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, Kanke, Ranchi demonstrated on technologies under DSR like BVS-1, IR-64 drt-1, Sahbhagidhan and BVD-110 in the farmers' field of Kanjgi village in Chanho Block of Ranchi district. The demonstrated varieties BVS-1, IR-64 drt-1, Sahbhagidhan and BVD-110 under DSR gave better yield than farmers practice in which they used local varies under DSR.

S. No.	Technology Demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
1.	Drought tolerant variety - Sahbhagi Dhan with DSR	30	Lalat	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	4.2	3.2	31.25
2.	Drought tolerant variety - IR 64 Drt.1 with DSR		Shukla-64	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	5.0	4.0	25.00
3.	Drought tolerant variety - Abhisekh with DSR		Shukla-64	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	5.2	4.0	30.00
4.	DRR Dhan 42 under aerobic cultivation	35	Lalat, IR- 64, IR-36	Deoghar, Ramgarh, Hazaribag, Latehar, and Ranchi	3.33	3.0	11.00
5.	CR Dhan 310	5	Lalat, IR- 64, IR-36	Deoghar, Ramgarh, Hazaribag, Latehar, and Ranchi	3.43	3.0	14.33
6.	BVS-1, IR-64 drt-1, Sahbhagidhan and BVD-110	15	Local var.	Vill. Kanjgi Block: Chanho Dist. Ranchi	3.9	3.2	21.88











## ANNEXURE –V

Items	Farmer's	Recommended
	practice	Practice (FLD plot)
	(Check plot)	
Source of seed Govt. agencies/public	Block Office,	KVK, Hazaribagh
undertaking/others	Local Dealers	
Name of varieties cultivated	Lalat, IR-64,	DRR Dhan 42, CR
	IR-36	Dhan 310
Other varieties most commonly adopted by the farmers	-	-
Name of hybrid rice if grown on the farm	-	-
Seed rate (kg/ha)	65 kg/ha	45 kg/ha
Seed treatment with water (Yes/No)	Yes	Yes
Age of seedlings transplanted in the field	30-35	25-30
Seed treatment with fungicides/others, dose of	No	Yes (Thiram @ 3g/kg
fungicides/others		seed)
Raising of nursery in case of rice by dry or wet method	Wet	Wet
and raised bed or flatbed		
Quantity and type of NPK fertilizers and manures used	Urea	Urea: SSP: MOP

# ASSESSMENT OF TECHNOLOGY ADOPTION AND GAP
in nursery of rice?		
Name and quantity of plant protection measures used	No record	No incidence of
in rice nursery		disease
Method of transplanting of rice	Manual	Manual, Line sowing
No. of hills per unit area in case of rice	Not fixed	33 hill/m <sup>2</sup>
No. of plants per hill in case of rice	Not fixed	Two seedlings/hill
Spacing of row to row and plant to plant	Not fixed	20 cm x 15 cm
Method of field preparation for transplanting: use of	Manual using	Manual using desi
rotavator/other machinery	desi plough	plough
Use of gypsum/Lime: Quantity (kg/ha)	-	-
Doses of NPK fertilizers applied	100:60:0	80:60:40
Name and quantity of use of bio-fertilizers	-	-
Quantity of vermicompost applied	-	-
Number of doses of N applied: single/double/others	2 times	3 times (Basal,
		Tillering, Flowering)
Name and quantity of micro-nutrients applied in field	-	-
Type of irrigation: flood/micro irrigation	Rainfed	Rainfed
Number of irrigation applied in the field	-	-
Method of	Manual	Manual
weeding:mechanical/chemical/manual/others		
Weeding of crop: hand weeder/rotary	-	-
weeder/conoweeder/powered weeder		
Name and quantity of herbicides applied	-	-
Name of common insects	Stem borer,	Stem borer, BPH
	BPH	
Name of common diseases	Blast &	Showed tolerance to
	Brown spot	blast
Name and quantity of pesticides for the control of pests	NA	NA
Number of sprays of insecticides	NA	NA
Name and quantity of insecticides	NA	NA
Number of sprays of insecticides	NA	NA
Biological control of insects-pests and diseases	NA	NA
Harvesting of crop: manual/mechanical/others	Manual	Manual
Name of machine used for harvesting	-	-
Threshing of crop: manual/mechanical/others	Manual	Manual
Name of machine used for threshing	-	-

#### Karnataka

In **Karnataka** rice is grown under a variety of soils and wide range of rainfall and temperature. Only around 44 per cent of the total acreage is under irrigation while the rest is under the regime of monsoon. Rice is cultivated in places where the rains are as heavy as 3000 mm and in others where it is just 600 mm. In some areas only one crop is grown and in certain other areas three crops are raised. In Karnataka rice is cultivated in an area of 14.16 lakh ha with a productivity of 2.897 t/ha the annual rice production is 40.38 lakh tons. The unique feature of rice culture in the state is that either sowing or transplanting is seen in all seasons of the year. The duration of the rice varieties cultivated in the state varies from 100 to 180 days depending on season and agro-climatic location.

Zonal Agricultural Research Station (UAS, Bengaluru) organized 10 FLDs on KMP – 175 (Daksha) under Aerobic Method (High water use efficient, released for aerobic cultivation in Zone 6 of Karnataka), on Alternated wetting and drying with high yielding varieties. KMP 175 is having advantage of more WUE, short duration variety that matures in 115-120 days and developed for aerobic method of cultivation. Its grains are medium bold and husk is brown and has yield potential of 4.5- 5.0 t/ha under aerobic condition. In the demonstration locations in Mandya and Mysore districts, KMP – 175 recorded higher yield of 5.0 t/ha with 25% yield advantage over the Rasi variety.

The frontline demonstration on 'Alternate wetting and drying (AWD) irrigation' up to panicle initiation in transplanted rice was taken in 12 ha area in twenty-four farmers field in comparison to their traditional irrigation method such as allowing continuous standing water of 3 to 5 cm depth in transplanted rice field. The demonstration was undertaken in 11 villages of Mandya and Tumkur districts. The main objective of demonstration was to increase on farm water use efficiency in transplanted rice by adopting irrigation application method to match evapo- transpiration demand of the crop with reduced percolation losses of water in paddy field. The different paddy varieties used for planting by the farmers in demonstration were MTU 1001, BR 2655, Gangavathi sona, KRH-2 and VNR hybrid. The source of irrigation water was canal from Krisnarajasagara dam of Cauvery river basin. The transplanted paddy with allowing 3 to 5 cm standing water in the field was the common method of farmer's cultivation. The demonstration results revealed that, adoption of alternate wetting and drying method of irrigation (irrigating the field at 3 days after disappearance of ponded water with 5cm depth) up to panicle initiation stage (45 to 50 days after sowing) followed by allowing standing water 3cm ± 2cm up to maturity recorded higher grain yield (3.88 to 8.75 t/ha) in all the paddy varieties as compared to farmer' method of irrigation consists of allowing continuous standing water of 3 cm ±2cm, since planting (3.60 to 8.30 t/ha). The overall average yield of 5.37 t/ha with 6.87% increase was with AWD irrigation demonstration as compared to 5.03 t/ha paddy yield in farmer method of irrigation.

Indian Institute of Rice Research, Hyderabad in collaboration with ZARS, Mandya conducted 5 demonstrations on Green Super Varieities like BRRI Dhan 75 and BINA Dhan 17. Both the varieties exhibited 37.50 % and 43.75% over the local varieties respectively.

Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi conducted five FLDs each on Alternate wetting and Drying method of irrigation in rice (with HYV), Improved Samba Mahsuri and IPM in rice. Front line demonstrations on alternate wetting and drying method of irrigation using field water tube comparing with farmers practice of continuous flooding in transplanted rice were conducted on five farmers field in Gangavati taluk of Koppal District. The variety BPT-5204 was transplanted during the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of August on a demonstration area of one hectare. All other variables were kept constant except irrigation. In the demonstration plots PVC pipes of 35 cm length and 20 cm diameter were selected and made small holes

on the pipe on the lower 15 cm portion and were inserted into the soil up to holed portion near the starting of the field. Soil inside was removed and water level remained same inside the pipe and out side the pipe (in the plot). These pipes were installed 8 days after transplanting. Whenever water level inside falls below 15 cm from the soil surface the plots were reflooded /ponded back to 5 cm standing water. This cycle was followed up to 8 days before harvesting. In the case of control plot continuous flooding of 5 cm was adopted throughout the crop growth period. Grain yield and economics was worked out. The average yield in demonstration plot was 7.01 t/ha. as against 6.74 t/ha. in farmers' plot recording 4% higher grain yield. The average net profit in the demonstration plot was Rs. 69115/ha against Rs 64191/ha in the control plot recording 7.1% higher profit. Farmers opined that although there was not much variation in grain yield but they opined that following Alternate wetting and drying they can save water considerably. Further they opined that brown plant hopper incidence was comparatively low in demonstration plot than continuous flooded plots.

In Tunga bhadra command area rice is being cultivated in an area of about 3.5 lakh ha and the paddy variety BPT-5204 is popular variety among farmers. But the popular paddy variety BPT-5204 is highly susceptible to BLB (bacterial disease) and loss causes up to 30 per cent. In this context, demonstration of BLB resistant paddy variety Improved Samba Mahsuri helped the farmer by saving Rs.8-10 thousand /ha on chemical cost sprayed on to control the BLB disease. Yield was on par as that of BPT 5204 and having grain and cooking quality on par with BPT 5204, fetching same market price as that of BPT 5204.

IPM demonstrations were undertaken in the Sriramanagar (V), Gangavathi (T), Koppal (Dt) with the objective of using floral diversity to increase natural biological control and to augment egg predators of hoppers and thereby manage planthopper pests by enhancing natural enemy fitness. Interventions viz., recently released paddy variety (Improved Samba Mahsuri - BLB disease resistant variety), growing border crop of cowpea and marigold, timely application of bioagents, installation of pheromone traps were undertaken in the integrated pest management fields. Observations were recorded on planthoppers, BLB disease index and their natural enemies throughout the crop period. The population of green mirids, spiders and coccinellids were significantly higher in IPM plots indicating a positive trend for these practices in conservation of natural enemies. The green mirid number in the IPM plots was 10 times higher than that of the farmers practice. There is no incidence of Bacterial Leaf Blight disease in IPM plot as compared to farmers plot. Mean parasitisation by three species of parasitoids in the IPM plots was significantly higher all three dates of observation compared to farmers practice. The yields were also higher in IPM plots.

S.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD Yield	Check Yield	% Yield
No.	Demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	(t/ha)	Advantage
1.	AWD with HYV	10	Farmers'	Mandya and	5.37	5.03	6.76
			practices	Tumkur districts			
2.	Daksha (KMP-175)	10	Rasi	Mandya &	5.00	4.00	25.00
	under aerobic			Mysore District			
	conditions						
3.	B.R.R.I. Dhan-75	3	Local	Mandya District	5.50	4.00	37.50
			varieties				
4.	BINA Dhan-17	2	Local	Mandya District	5.75	4.00	43.75
			varieties				
5.	Alternate wetting	5	Continuous	Sriramanagar,	7.01	6.74	4.01
	and Drying		flooding	Baragur			

S.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD Yield	Check Yield	% Yield
No.	Demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	(t/ha)	Advantage
	method of						
	irrigation in rice						
	(with HYV)						
6.	Improved Samba	5	BPT-5204	Herur,	7.23	7.11	1.69
	Mahsuri			Sriramanagar,			
				Basavanna camp			
				(Koppal Dt)			
7.	IPM in rice	5	Local	Sriramanagar (V),	7.52	7.26	3.58
			practices	Gangavathi (T),			
				Koppal (Dt)			



# Installing field water tube in farmers field





Alternate wetting and drying irrigation in rice Field day farmers plot visit

Alternate wetting and drying irrigation Farmers training

1.	Сгор		Rice		
2.	Season		Kharif-2019		
3.	Technology being demonst	rated	Alternate wetting and d Through bouman tube	rying method of irrigation in rice	
	Specific advantages or feat new technology being demonstrated as compared farmers' present practice in area (Give details)	ures of d to the n the	30% saving in water		
4.	Location (with full address)		Village :Sriramanagar Taluka:Gangavathi District:Koppal State:Karnataka		
5.	Category of beneficiary far	mers:	a. 1.Koteshwar Rao		
	j. Name with Father' /Husband Name :	S	b.Medium		
	k. Medium/Small/Ma omen : I. SC/ST/General	irginal/W	c.General		
6.	Area under demonstration	(ha)	1.0 ha		
7.	7. Ecosystem (Irrigated/rainfed/shallow lowland/rainfed upland etc. Give details		Irrigated		
8.	Field Condition (j) Topography (k) Soil Type (l) Native nutrient sta Any other information abo condition	tus ut field	Plains Black clay Low in N. high in P and I	K	
9. Pr	oduction Technology Adopt	ed			
Part	iculars	FLD Plot		Check – Farmers' practice Plot	
	(iv) Variety/Hybrid	BPT-5204	4	BPT-5204	
(ii) S	eed rate	62.5 kg/ł	าล	75 kg/ha	
(iii) S	Source of Seed	RSK		RSK	
(iv) 9	Seed treatment				
(v) S	owing date	June2nd	fortnight	June2nd fortnight	
(vi) I dens	Nursery details seeding sity in nursery etc.				

# FINAL REPORT ON FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION

(vii) Transplanting date	Ist week August	Ist week August
(viii) Spacing	Random	Random
(ix) Plant population maintained per ha.	220000	220000
(x) FYM/compost applied	5.0t/ha	5.0t/ha
<ul> <li>(xi) Fertilizers applied</li> <li>(g) Basal (NPK kgs/ha)</li> <li>(h) Top dressing : Number of times &amp; stage (NPK kg/ha)</li> </ul>	100:100:100 50 N Active tillering 50N Panicle initiation	100:100:100 50 N Active tillering 50N Panicle initiation
(xii) Micro-nutrient used if any (name/quantity)	Zinc Sulphate 20kg/ha	Zinc Sulphate 20kg/ha
(xiii) Weed control (c) Herbicides used (name/quantity) Inter-culture operations (Number)	Butachlor 50EC @2.5kg/ha	Butachlor 50EC @2.5kg/ha
(xiv) No. of irrigation given (crop stage-wise)	AWD(15)	Continuous flooding(20)

10. Plant protection measures adopted					
Particulars	FLD Plot	Check Farmers' practice plot			
(j) Insect pest	BPH,Stem borer,Leaf folder	BPH,Stem borer,Leaf folder			
(i) Name of the pest observed					
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	5-7	12-15			
(iii) Name of the insecticide used	Triflumezopyrin	Triflumezopyrin			
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used	250ml/ha	250ml/ha			
(v) Frequency of application	One time	Two time			
(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?	Yes	Yes			
(k) Diseases observed	BLB, Sheath blight, Falsesmut	BLB, Sheath,Blast			
(i) Name of the disease		blight,Falsesmut			
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	5-7	10-12			
(iii) Name of the pesticide used	Tricyclazole, saaf, nativo	Tricyclazole,saaf,nativo			
(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used	120g,1250g	120g,1250g			
(v) Frequency of application	One time	Two time			
(vi) Is this a major or common	Yes	Yes			
disease in the area.					
(I) Biological control adopted,					
if any.					

Particulars	FLD Plot	Check
		Farmers' practice plot
11. Date of harvesting	Ist week of December	Ist week of December
12. Method adopted	Crop cutting	Crop cutting
for estimate of yield		
(crop cutting, sampling		
method etc. Give		
details)	Dr.Mahartha	Dr.Mahartha
13. Name of person	Dr.Mananina chivovogowyo Scientist(Preoding)	Dr.Manantna shiyayogayya Scientist(Preeding)
nrecent at the plot at	sinvayogayya,sciencisc(breeding)	silivayogayya,scientist(breeding)
the time of estimate of		
vield to record it		
accurately.		
14. Estimate of yield		
(a) Grain		
(b) Straw	7150 kg/ha	6900 kg/ha
	7700 kg/ha	7300 kg/ha
15. Gross Income (ha)	134475	129675
(a) Value of grain (Rs.)		
(b) Value of straw (Rs.)	128700	124200
		F 475
	5775	5475
16. Cost of cultivation	62750	62500
(ha), Give some major	Land preparation, Planting, Fertilizers	Land
items of expenditure.	Pesticides, weeding harvesting	preparation,Planting,Fertilizers
		Pesticides, weeding harvesting

17.	Net profit to the farmer by adopting the technology (Rs/ha.)	71725-00
18.	Farmers Reactions (In details)	Grain yield on par but water saving can be achieved
19.	Reaction of neighbouring farmers	Good, water saving technology
20.	Number of visits made to the plot with dates and observations made in each trip.	Two, 12 <sup>th</sup> September and 14 <sup>th</sup> october 2019

Field day conducted RP Bio-226 On 09-12-2019 at Basavanna Camp Tq: Gangavathi Dis: koppal











## Annexure - V

## FINAL REPORT ON FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION

1.	Сгор		Rice		
2.	Season		Kharif 2019		
3.	Technology being demonst	rated	Integrated Pests Manag 226	ement with latest rice variety RP Bio-	
	Specific advantages or fea new technology demonstrated as compare farmers' present practice area (Give details)	atures of being d to the in the	<ol> <li>Promotes sustainable bio-based pest management alternatives</li> <li>Conserves natural enemies</li> <li>Reduces environmental risks</li> <li>Economically viable</li> </ol>		
4.	Location (with full address)		Village : Sriramanagar Taluka: Gangavathi District: Koppal State: Karnataka		
5.	<ul> <li>5. Category of beneficiary farmers:</li> <li>m. Name with Father's /Husband Name :</li> <li>n. Medium/Small/Marginal/W omen :</li> <li>o. SC/ST/General</li> </ul>		Sri. Prasad Rao Marginal General		
6. Area under demonstration (ha)		0.8ha			
7.	<ol> <li>Ecosystem         <ul> <li>(Irrigated/rainfed/shallow</li> <li>lowland/rainfed</li> <li>upland</li> <li>etc.</li> <li>Give</li> <li>details</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		Irrigated (from TBP command area)		
8. Field Condition (m) Topography (n) Soil Type (o) Native nutrient status Any other information about field condition		Maidan level land Black cotton soil Low in N. high in P and K			
9. Pr	oduction Technology Adopt	ed			
Part	iculars	FLD Plot		Check – Farmers' practice Plot	
(v) \	/ariety/Hybrid	RP Bio - 2	226	BPT-5204	
(ii) S	(ii) Seed rate 62.5 kg/h		าล	62.5 kg/ha	
(iii) Source of Seed Siddapur		RSK	Siddapur RSK		
(iv) Seed treatment Azospiril		lum and PSB @ 5- eeds	No seed treatment		
(v) S	owing date	22-08-20	19	22-08-2019	
(vi) Nursery details seeding 300sq r density in nursery etc.		300sq m	eter/acre	300sq meter/acre	

(vii) Transplanting date	23-09-2019	23-09-2019
(viii) Spacing	20 X 15cm	20 X 10cm
(ix) Plant population maintained per ha.	3,33,333 seedlings/ha	5,00,000 seedlings/ha
(x) FYM/compost applied	5.0 tonnes/ha	5.0 tonnes/ha
<ul> <li>(xi) Fertilizers applied</li> <li>(i) Basal (NPK kgs/ha)</li> <li>(j) Top dressing : Number of times &amp; stage (NPK kg/ha)</li> </ul>	75:75:75:20 kg/ha N:P:K:Zn 37.5:0:0 kg/ha @ 30DAT 37.5:0:0 kg/ha @ 45DAT	100:50:50:15 kg/ha N:P:K:Zn 75:30:30 kg/ha @ 30DAT 75:0:30 kg/ha @ 45DAT 10:26:26 kg/ha @60DAT
(xii) Micro-nutrient used if any (name/quantity)	Zn – 20kg/ha	Zn – 20kg/ha
(xiii) Weed control (d) Herbicides used (name/quantity) Inter-culture operations (Number)	Butachlor 30EC @ 3lit/ha – 5DAT	Butachlor 30EC @ 3lit/ha – 5DAT Bispyribac sodium 10SC @ 250ml/ha – 25DAT
(xiv) No. of irrigation given (crop stage-wise)	12	17

10. Plant protection measures adopted						
Particulars	FLD Plot	Check				
		Farmers' practice plot				
(m) Insect pest	Planthoppers, Yellow stem	Planthoppers, Yellow stem				
	borer, case worm and leaf	borer, case worm and leaf				
(i) Name of the pest observed	folder	folder				
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	WBPH, BPH – 10-15	WBPH, BPH – 30-40				
	hoppers/hill	hoppers/hill				
	Yellow stem borer and leaf	Yellow stem borer and leaf				
	folder – less than 5%damage	folder - less than 5%damage				
(iii) Name of the insecticide used	Neemazal @ 3ml/lit	Buprofezin @ 1ml/lit				
	Chlorantraniliprole – 4kg/acre	Lambda cyahalothrin @				
	Metarhizium anisopleae –	1ml/lit				
	3g/lit	Imidacloprid @ 0.5ml/lit				
		Chlorpyrifos @ 2ml/lit				
		Pymetrozine – 0.4g/lit				
		Triflumizopyrim @ 0.5ml/lit				
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used	Neemazal @ 3ml/lit	Buprofezin @ 1ml/lit				
	Chlorantraniliprole – 4kg/acre	Lambda cyahalothrin @				
	Metarhizium anisopleae –	1ml/lit				
	3g/lit	Imidacloprid @ 0.5ml/lit				
		Chlorpyrifos @ 2ml/lit				

		Pymetrozine – 0.4g/lit
		Triflumizopyrim @ 0.5ml/lit
(v) Frequency of application	20-25Days interval	10-15days interval
(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?	Yes	Yes
(n) Diseases observed	Blast, neck blast Sheath	BLB, Blast, neck blast Sheath
(i) Name of the disease	blight, stem rot	blight, stem rot
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	<10%	<5%
(iii) Name of the pesticide used	Trifloxystrobin 25% +	Carbendazim + mancozeb @
	Tebuconazole 50% (Nativo 75	2g/lit
	WG) @ 0.4g/l	Tricyclozole @ 0.6g/lit
		Streptocyclin @0.005g/lit +
		COC @ 0.3g/lit, Trifloxystrobin
		25% + Tebuconazole 50%
		(Nativo 75 WG) @ 0.4g/l
(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used	Trifloxystrobin 25% +	Carbendazim + mancozeb @
	Tebuconazole 50% (Nativo 75	2g/lit
	WG) @ 0.4g/l	Tricyclozole @ 0.6g/lit
		Streptocyclin @0.005g/lit +
		COC @ 0.3g/lit, Trifloxystrobin
		25% + Tebuconazole 50%
		(Nativo 75 WG) @ 0.4g/l
(v) Frequency of application	20-25Days interval	15-20days interval
(vi) Is this a major or common	Yes	Yes
disease in the area.		
(o) Biological control adopted,	Metarhizium anisopleae @	No
if any.	3g/lit	
	Trichocards @ 40,000/acre	
	Pheromone traps @	
	15traps/ha	

Particulars	FLD Plot	Check Farmers' practice plot
11. Date of harvesting	20-12-2019	20-12-2019
12. Method adopted for estimate of yield (crop cutting, sampling method etc. Give details)	crop cutting @ 2X2sq mtr	crop cutting @ 2X2sq mtr
13. Name of person from organizers present at the	Field facilitator	Field facilitator

plot at the time of				
estimate of yield to				
record it accurately.				
14. Estimate of yield				
(a) Grain	7712kg/ha	7436kg/ha		
(b) Straw	8792kg/ha	8551kg/ha		
15. Gross Income (ha)	Market price @ Rs. 1800/-quintal			
(a) Value of grain (Rs.)	1,38,816/-	1,33,848/-		
(b) Value of straw (Rs.)	6594/-	6413/-		
16. Cost of cultivation	61,375/-	73,925/-		
(ha), Give some major	Seed cost, puddling, plant protection	Seed cost, puddling, plant		
items of expenditure.	measures, fertilizer application, labour	protection measures,		
		fertilizer application, labour		

17.	Net profit to the farmer by adopting the technology (Rs/ha.)	77,441/- per ha
18.	Farmers Reactions (In details)	<ol> <li>Natural enemies conservation</li> <li>timely application of fertilizers and pesticides</li> <li>Decreased incidence of major pests</li> <li>Less cost of cultivation</li> <li>No BLB Disease</li> </ol>
19.	Reaction of neighboring farmers	<ol> <li>Decreased incidence of major pests</li> <li>Eco-friendly</li> <li>Prevents unnecessary applications of agrochemicals</li> <li>No BLB Disease</li> </ol>
20.	Number of visits made to the plot with dates and observations made in each trip.	22-07-2019 for farmers baseline data and sowing 23-09-2019 for transplanting 13-10-2019 for observations on insect pests 20-12-2019 for harvesting data



#### Kerala

Rice forms the staple food of the people of Kerala and contributes a major share towards its economy. It is grown in a vast array of ecological niches, ranging from regions situated 3 meters below MSL level as in Kuttanadu to an altitude of 1400 m as in the high ranges. It is cultivated under 3 to 4 meters depth of water as well as in purely rainfed uplands with no standing water. Probably nowhere else in the world, rice crop is cultivated under such a diversity of conditions. In Kerala rice is cultivated in an area of 2.08 lakh ha with a productivity of 2.66 t/ha and the annual rice production is 5.55 lakh tons.

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD Yield	Check	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	Yield	Advantage
						(t/ha)	
1.	KAU AKSHAYA	8	Uma, Ponmani	Palakkad, Idukki, Thrissur	6.75	6.00	12.50
2.	KAU SUPRIYA	2	Uma	Palakkad	6.53	5.61	16.40
3.	Integrated Nutrient Management	10	Local Practices	Elappully, Alathur and Nemmara	5.55	4.62	20.13
4.	MO 23 (POURNAMI)	5	Mo 16 UMA	Nedumudi & Kainakary village, Chambakulam block, Kuttanad Thaluk, Alappuzha district	6.33	5.32	18.98
5.	Multi nutrient foliar mix (Sampoorna developed by KAU)	5	Uma without micronutrien t application	Naluchira padasekharam Purakad Ambalapuzha Alappuzha	6.2	4.8	29.17
6.	Post emergent management of weedy rice using 'KAU Weed Wiper'	5	Mo 16 UMA	Alappuzha	4.6	3.7	24.32
7.	Management of glume discolouration disease	5	Mo 16 (Uma)	Naluchira padasekharam, Purakkad, Ambalapuzha, Alappuzha	5.77	4.16	38.82
8.	Conservation of natural enemies for the management of insect pests in rice	5	Mo 16 (Uma)	Champakkulam, Kuattanad, Alappuzha	3.87	4.82	The reduction in the use of chemical insecticides and population

### Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD Yield	Check	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	Yield	Advantage
						(t/ha)	
							build up
							and
							conservatio
							n of natural
							enemies in
							the field

RARS, Pattambhi conducted demonstration on high yielding varieties like KAU Supriya (PTB 61) and KAU Akshaya (PTB 62). Both these varieties exhibited higher yield advantage compared to the usually grown Uma and Ponmani.

Recent years the utilization of microbial fertilizers, liquid fertilizers are very limited in rice areas of Kerala. Hence an INM package is being taken up and Indian Institute of Rice Research organized 10 hectares of rice fields in three different panchayats Viz., Elappully, Alathur and Nemmara. Fields were geo tagged and the timely implement all the packages proposed and results observed as follows,

Popularizing the INM Packages including LCC chart / Foliar Nutrition in Rice farming						
Geographical location	YIEI	.Dt/Ha	Yield Adv.(%)			
Nemmara	INM	Control				
10.34.31.3N, 76.36.19.6- E	5.88	4.84	21.38			
10.34.44.7N, 76.36.32.8- E	6.50	5.04	29.10			
10.34.35.4 N, 76.35.06.9 E	4.25	3.46	22.69			
10.34.36.4 N, 76.35.11.1E	5.35	4.65	15.09			
Alathur						
10.64.31.3N, 76.55.19.6- E	6.06	5.25	15.52			
Elappully						
10.45.31.3N, 76.46.50.6- E	5.12	4.65	10.19			
10.45.28.8N, 76.45.04.7- E	6.60	5.13	28.78			
10.44.19.4N, 76.45.47.1-E	4.68	3.99	17.44			

INM packages particularly the use of phosphobacterium to mobilize the occluded phosphorous in P rich acidic rice fields also the nutritional sprays of liquid NPK and Naturally chelated micronutrient liquids were lifted the rice crop and gave yields when compared to the control. The yield advantages of minimum of 700 kg to 1400 kg extra yield per hectare were recorded.





RARS Moncompu conducted 25 FLDs. Five demonstrations each were conducted on MO 23 (POURNAMI), Multi nutrient foliar mix (Sampoorna developed by KAU), Ready to use multi nutrient mix, KAU Weed Wiper, Management of glume discolouration disease and Conservation of natural enemies for the management of insect pests in rice

## Varietal demonstration on Pournami (MO 23)

Five demonstrations on Pournami (MO 23) in Nedumudi & Kainakary village, Chambakulam block, Kuttanad Thaluk, Alappuzha district performed on par in terms of yield better in earliness, resistance to biotic stresses and cooking quality when compared to variety Uma.

## Multi nutrient foliar mix (Sampoorna developed by KAU) application in rice fields

Wide spread deficiency of boron and magnesium was evidenced in the soil samples of Kuttanad. The acid, leaching environment is not conducive for the retention of majority of the nutrients and over dominance of iron in Kuttanad soils result in the physiological deficiency of other cations such as zinc, copper and even potassium. Moreover, now-a-days multi-nutrient deficiencies are occurring very commonly in the area. Therefore, a soil and crop specific multi-nutrient mixture suitable for foliar application is the need of the hour. Considering the extent of deficiencies and impaired availability of essential nutrients, multi-nutrient mixtures developed at KAU "Sampoorna" were found to give better performance on foliar application. The low uptake of nutrients through roots developed as a result of soil nutrient constraints in paddy soils can be corrected by the foliar application. "Sampoorna" contains zinc (5-7%), boron (3.5-4.5%), copper (0.3-0.5%), iron (0.2%), manganese (0.2%) and molybdenum (0.02%). The multi nutrient mix was sprayed at 30 and 50 DAS in rice fields to improve the crop growth and enhance the yield. The application of this multi-nutrient foliar mix has resulted in upto 30% of yield advantage in the demonstrated plots.



### Post emergent management of weedy rice using 'KAU Weed Wiper'

Weedy rice infestation is severe in the rice fields of Kuttanad and farmers are forced to abandon rice cultivation. Hand weeding of weedy rice is practically impossible and incomplete due to morphological similarity of weedy rice to cultivated rice during early stages of growth, acute labour shortage and high cost of labour. Moreover, biochemical and genotypic similarity between weedy rice and the crop makes herbicidal control in standing crop impossible. An integrated weedy rice management package developed for wet sown rice at the station was demonstrated. This includes stale seed bed technique, pre sowing surface application of oxyfluorfen and selective drying of weedy rice panicles by direct contact application using specially designed KAU Weed Wiper.



There is no viable technology for post emergence management of weedy rice with herbicides due to its mimicry to the cultivated rice and lack of herbicides for selective control of weedy rice which has the similar genome as cultivated rice. The novel hand held weed wiper device 'KAU Weed Wiper' can selectively dry the panicles of weedy rice at 60-65 DAS, taking advantage of the height difference of 15-20 cm between weedy rice and cultivated rice. Direct Contact Application can be effectively done in weedy rice infested crop using the herbicide glufosinate ammonium 15 SL at 8-10 % concentration. Weed control efficiency by this method was as high as 83 to 88%. The technology reduced the seed rain and buildup of soil seed bank of weedy rice. It is highly energy efficient, less labour intensive, and eco-friendly compared to hand weeding, cutting of weedy rice ear heads or application of large quantity of herbicides using sprayers. The device has become popular among the farming community. 'KAU Weed Wiper' is now marketed by M/s Raidco Kerala Ltd.

### Conservation of natural enemies for the management of insect pests in rice

To conserve the indigenous natural enemies present the fields because of their ability to suppress the upcoming insect pest population, technology on 'Conservation of natural enemies for the management of insect pests in rice' was demonstrated in selected farmers fields who were interested in organic rice cultivation. The practice mainly concentrated on the avoidance of use of chemical insecticides and fungicides in the field. The regular organic cultivation practices were followed by the farmers. The flower crops (marigold) and vegetable crops were grown on the bunds of rice fields as a part of ecological engineering to attract the natural enemies. The trichocards (*Trichogramma japonicum* and *T. chilonis*) were placed in rice fields @ 5cc/ha when moth activity was observed in the field (inundative release). Neem based insecticide (Nimbicidine<sup>®</sup>- azadiractin 0.03%) was used to avoid the attack of sucking insects. The farmers used fish jaggery extract @6 ml/l against rice bug, *Leptocorisa acuta*.





The insect pest population was observed to be less even without the application chemical insecticides. The abundance of biocontrol agents were observed to be more in the FLD plots compared to the farmers fields. The common natural enemies observed were hymenopteran parasitoids, coccinellid beetles, spiders, dragon flies and damsel flies. Though the yield was less compared to normal practices, reduction in the use of chemical insecticides and population build up and conservation of natural enemies was observed in the field.

## Management of glume discolouration disease in rice

Unlike yesteryears, grain discoloration has become major problem in Kuttanad region due to increasing biotic and abiotic stresses. Grain discoloration caused by many fungal pathogens affected grain yield varying from 20 to 50 per cent and quality. It is very severe in the acid soils of Purakkad Kari and Vaikom Kari areas. Demonstrations were undertaken with the contact and systemic action fungicide (Saaf 75 WP @1.5 g/l) sprayed as prophylactic manner just before the panicle emergence. It could control the complex pathogens causing grain discolouration and the results showed that the yield was increased for about 28% compared to farmers practice. The quality of the grains improved and yield of Kari soil area (Extremely acid soil) increased from 1.6 ton to 2.3 ton/acre.



## Annexure

1.	Сгор	Rice
2.	Season	Kharif
3.	Technology being demonstrated	New variety- MO 23 (POURNAMI)
4.	Specific advantages or features of new technology being demonstrated as compared to the farmers' present practice in the area (Give details)	<ul> <li>High yield</li> <li>Pest and disease resistance</li> <li>Duration lesser than the popular variety</li> </ul>
5.	Location (with full address)	Village : Kainakary South Taluka: Kuttanad District: Alappuzha State: Kerala
6.	Category of beneficiary farmers: p. Name with Father's /Husband Name : q. Medium/Small/Marginal/Women : r. SC/ST/General	Monichan Chacko S/o Chacko Marginal General
7.	Area under demonstration (ha)	1
8.	Ecosystem (Irrigated/rainfed/shallow lowland/rainfed upland etc. Give details	Rainfed low land (Below MSL)
9.	Field Condition (p) Topography (q) Soil Type (r) Native nutrient status Any other information about field condition	Wet land polder Clay loam High organic Carbon, Medium Phosphorous, Medium potassium (after flood 2019)

10. Production Technology Adopted						
	Pournami	Check –				
		Farmers' practice Plot				
(vi) Variety/Hybrid	Pournami	Uma				
(ii) Seed rate	100 Kg/ha	140Kg/ha				
(iii) Source of Seed	Seed from certified	Seed agency KSSDA				
	growers					
(iv) Seed treatment	Yes	No				
(v) Sowing date	September last week	First to Mid-October				
(vi) Nursery details seeding density in	NA	NA				
nursery etc.						
(vii) Transplanting date	NA	NA				
(viii) Spacing	DSR	DSR				
(ix) Plant population maintained per ha.	80,00,000/ Ha	10,00,000/ Ha				
(x) FYM/compost applied	2000 kg	0				
(xi) Fertilizers applied	Fertilizer application	Fertilizer application				
(k) Basal (NPK kgs/ha)	NPK @ 90:45:45 kg/ha	NPK @ 120:45:60 kg/ha				

(e) Top dressing : Number of		1.Basal
times & stage (NPK kg/ha)	1.Basal	NPK @ 40:22.5:20 kg/ha
	NPK @ 30:22.5:15 kg/ha	
		2.Tillering
	2.Tillering	NPK @40:22.5:20 kg/ha
	NPK @30:22:15 kg/ha	
		3. Panicle initiation
	3.Panicle initiation	NPK@40:0:20 kg/ha
	NPK@30:0:15 kg/ha	
(xii) Micro-nutrient used if any	Borax- 7.5 kg/ha	No
(name/quantity)	Magnesium sulphate @50	
	kg/ha	
(xiii) Weed control	Clincher @ 2.5l/Ha	Bispyribac sodium+ Almix
(p) Herbicides used (name/quantity)		300 ml/acre
Inter-culture operations (Number)		
(xiv) No. of irrigation given	Flooding one in a week for	Flooding and draining
(crop stage-wise)	3 days	

11. Plant protection measures adopted								
Particulars								
	Pournami	Check						
		Farmers' practice plot						
(q) Insect pest	Nil	ВРН						
(i) Name of the pest observed								
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	Nil	25%						
(iii) Name of the insecticide used	Nil	Thiomethoxam						
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used	Nil	2g/10 l						
(v) Frequency of application	Nil	Once						
(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?	Nil	Yes						
(r) Diseases observed	Nil	Sheath blight and BLB						
(i) Name of the disease								
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	Nil	25%						
(iii) Name of the pesticide used	Nil	Bavistin, Psuedomonas						
(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used	Nil	2g/l, 10g/l						
(v) Frequency of application	Nil	once						
(vi) Is this a major or common disease in		Yes						
the area.								
1. Biological control adopted, if any.	Pseudomonas seed	Nil						
	treatment							

Particu	ılars		Farmer 1	Farmer 2	Farmer 3	Farmer 4	Farmer 5	Check Farmers' practice plot
11. Dat harves	te of ting		06.11.19	06.11.19	05.11.19	05.11.19	05.11.19	17.11.19
12. Method adopted Harvesting of unit area for estimate of yield (crop cutting, sampling method etc. Give details)								
13. Name of person from organizers present at the plot at the time of estimate of yield to record it accurately.Ambily A.K, Asst. Professor (PI. Breeding & Genetics)								
14. Estimate of yield (a) Grain5.75 t/ha			5.75 t/ha	6 t/ha	6.6 t/ha	7.0 t/ha	6.3 t/ha	5.3 t/ha
15. Gross Income (ha)Rs 26/k(a) Value of grain (Rs.)Not sole(b) Value of straw (Rs.)Rs.)			Rs 26/kg Not sold	Rs 26/kg Not sold	Rs 26/kg Not sold	Rs 26/kg Not sold	Rs 26/kg Not sold	Rs 26/kg Not sold
16. Cost of Rs cultivation (ha), Give 58,000/h some major items of expenditure.			Rs 58,000/ha	Rs 58,000/ha	Rs 58,500/ha	Rs 59,000/ha	Rs 59,000/ha	Rs 61,000/ha
17. Net profit to the farmer by adopting the technology (Rs/ha.)		Rs. 45500	Rs 28600	Rs. 15600	Rs. 20800	Rs.20800		
18. Farmers Reactions (In details)			Reactions ls)	They were of the opinion that the crop had low incidence of pests and diseases. So the cost of cultivation was less. The yield was also good comparing the local check. The new varieties have stress tolerance capacity compared to Uma				
	19. Reaction of neighboring farmers		The farmers are of the opinion that the variety has more yield and pest resistance compared to Uma - MO 16, the popular variety of Kuttanad					
	20.	Number made to with dat observat in each t	of visits the plot es and cions made rip.	No.of visit: 4 Date of visit: 07.09.19, 04.10.19, 05.11.19, 06.11.19 Observations on no of hills, tillers, productive tillers, plant height, pests and disease incidence at seedling, tillering and maturity stage of the crop.				

## Madhya Pradesh

In **Madhya Pradesh** rice grown in wet season in the area of about 1.65 m ha with low productivity (2.01 t/ha) under upland ecosystem whereas, under irrigated ecosystem the productivity is ranging from (4.0-5.0 t/ha). The cause of low productivity is due to uneven / erratic rainfall unbalanced and inadequate use of fertilizer and incidence of pest and diseases.

All India Coordinated Rice Improvement Project IIRR - ICAR and JNKVV College of Agriculture Balaghat organized FLD's on rice in 30 ha during Kharif 2019 on recently released varieties like Improved Jeera Shankar, Improved Chinnor, JR 81 and JRB 1 under rainfed / irrigated ecosystem at villages-Nilji, Garra, Botta Hajari & koste Block-Lalburra & Waraseoni (Balaghat) to demonstrate the relative yield advantage, increased cropping intensity, weed management and plant protection measures over existing farmers practice and to popularize scented rice. Owing to scarcity of water due to low rainfall scenario, 75 demonstrations on partial System of Rice Intensification with Improved Jeera Shankar, Improved Chinnor, JR 81 & JRB 1 were taken up. These partial SRI demonstrations not only increased grain yield but also saved water by 30% which is quantified by number of irrigation facilities are available. JR 81 (115 - 120 days) and JRB 1 (120 - 125 days) helped the farmers to use the residual moisture after harvesting for rabi crops due to early maturity.

In case of the scented varieties, demonstrated technologies namely imporved Jeera Shankar and Improved Chinnar reported lower average yield in comparision with the local varieties but fetched more net profit as compared to existing variety (Value of grain paddy was Rs.4000/Qtl as compared with minimum support price of Rs. 1815.00/qtl). Moreover, these varieties Improved Jeera Shankar & Improved Chinnor exhibited tolerance to Gall midge, bacterial blight and false smut.

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD	Check	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)			Yield	Yield	Advantage
					(t/ha)	(t/ha)	
1.	JRB 1	10	MTU 1010	V.Koste, Bl.	5.27	4.53	16.34
				Waraseoni, Dist.			
				Balaghat			
2.	JR 81	8	MTU 1010	V. Botta Hajari, Bl.	5.37	4.54	18.28
				Lalburra, Dist.			
				Balaghat			
3.	Improved	6	Jai sree	V.Garra ,Bl.	2.26	3.97	-43.07
	Chinnore		Ram,Sonam	Lalburra,Dist. Balaghat			
4.	Improved Jeera	6	Jai sree Ram,	V.Nilji, Bl. Lalburra,	2.97	4.05	-26.67
	Shanker		Sonam	Dist. Balaghat			



A view of Improved Chinnor performance at Village Garra, Improved Jeerashankar performance at Village Niljhi, JRB 1 performance at Village Koste and JR 81 performance at Village Botte Hajari of district Balaghat (M P)



Seed Distribution & training at Botte Hajari



Seed Distribution & training at Garra



Nursury bed preparation



Seed Distribution & training at Nilji



Seed Distribution & training at Koste



Transplanting



Inputs Distribution & training at Botte Hajari



Inputs Distribution & training at Nilji



Field visit & monitoring Botta Hajari



Inputs Distribution & training at Koste



Inputs Distribution & training at Garra



Field visit & monitoring Nilji



Field visit & monitoring Garra



Improved Jeerashankar lodging due to tall



Field visit & monitoring Botta Hajari



Improved Chinnor lodging due to tall

## Maharashtra

In **Maharashtra** rice is the second important crop of the people, which is grown over an area of 14.99 lakh hectares with an annual rough rice production of 32.37 lakh tones. The average productivity of the state is 2.01 t/ha. The average productivity of the Maharashtra state is low as compared to other rice growing states viz. Punjab, Tamil Nadu, Haryana, Andhra Pradesh etc. The area (7.32 lakh ha) of rice crop is more in Vidarbha region. The highest productivity was observed in Konkan region i.e. 2.56 t/ha (3.65 t/ha for raw rice). Marathwada region is the non-traditional rice growing area. Due to the erratic and less rainfall in Marathwada region, the average productivity of rice crop is lowest i.e. 0.41 t/ha (0.59 t/ha for rough rice).

Agricultural Research Station, Shirgaon conducted 20 FLDs on the improved high yielding variety Ratnagiri 8 in the districts of Palghar, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Raigad. In the demonstrated fields the variety Ratnagiri 8 performed well and yielded 28% more than that of the Swarna. Farmers expressed their satisfaction that it is good for early maturity and better grain & straw yield.

Agriculture Research Station, Sakoli conducted demonstrations on high yielding variety - Sakoli 9 in 11 farmers fields in Bhandara district. Although there was less rainfall in the demonstrated villages, high yielding technology exhibited nearly 20% yield advantage over the variety Swarna. Farmers were happy that no major pest was found in Sakoli 9 where as heavy infestation of gall midge and blast occrence was reported in local check varieties.

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD	FLD	Check	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)		Location	Yield	Yield	Advantage
					(t/ha)	(t/ha)	
1.	Karjat 9	5	Local	Raigad,	4.18	3.62	15.47
			varieties	Karjat			
2.	Karjat 10	5	Local	Karjat,	4.75	4.23	12.29
			varieties	raigad			
3.	Sakoli-9	5	Local	Jambhili	4.25	3.55	19.72
			varieties	Sadak,			
				Sakoli Tk,			
				Bhandara Dt			
4.	Ratnagiri-8	20	Swarna	Palghar,	5.76	4.49	28.29
				Raigad,			
				Ratnagiri,			
				Sindhudurg			

Regional Agricultural Reserch Station, Karjat conducted demonstrations on Karjat 9 and Karjat 10. Both were having higher yield advantage compared to the local checks.







#### Manipur

Rice is cultivated in 9 districts of Manipur. Out of which 4 districts are under high productivity and rest 5 districts are in low productivity groups. Triennium average area of high productivity group (yield more than 2,500 kg/ha) comprising of 4 districts was 1.11 lakh hectares, which was 60.3% of triennium average area (1.84 lakh hectares) under rice in the state. Triennium average production was 3.31 lakh tonnes, which was 80.7% of triennium average production (4.10 lakh tonnes) of rice in the State. Triennium average productivity of high productivity group comprising of 4 districts was 2,982 kg/ha as against 2,228 kg/ha triennium average productivity of the State. About 60% of total area under rice in the State is concentrated in high productivity group and accounts for about 80% of total rice production in the State. Triennium average productivity of the State is 2,228 kg/ha, which is about 14% higher than triennium average productivity of the country.

S.	Technology	Area (ha)	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD	Check	% Yield
No.	Demonstrated				Yield	Yield	Advantage
					(t/ha)	(t/ha)	
1	RCM 13	5	Kumbiphou	Kumbi village	4.73	3.49	32.6
	RCM 7		local land	cluster,			
			race	Bishnupur			
				district			

Central Agricultural University, Imphal conducted 5 demonstrations on sticky rice varieties. RCM 7 is the successful variety preferred by the farmers of the state released by ICAR-RC for NEH region, Manipur centre. RCM 13 is the high yielding promising variety, released during 2018 and has higher productivity and good quality grains preferred in the region. Among the front line demonstrations conducted in the state, the average yield in the improved practice was 4.73 t/ha which was 32.7% higher than the farmer's practice (3.13 t/ha). The cost of cultivation under Improved practice was Rs. 52, 011/- and farmer's practice was Rs. 41, 317/-. The net returns were 44.3 % higher in improved practice (Rs. 45, 501/-) compared to farmer's practice (Rs. 23, 776/-) per hectare.



# **ANNEXURE - V**

## FINAL REPORT ON FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION

1.	Сгор		Rice			
2.	Season		Kharif 2019			
3.	Technology being demor	strated	Full Package technolo	gy		
	Specific advantages or fe of new technology being demonstrated as compar the farmers' present prac the area (Give details)	atures ed to ctice in	RCM 7 is the successful variety preferred by the Farmers of the state released by ICAR-RC for NEH region, Manipur centre. RCM 13 is the high yielding promising variety, released during 2018 has higher productivity and good quality grains preferred in the region.			
4.	Location (with full addres	ss)	Village : Khumbi Taluka: Bishnupur District: Bishnupur State: Manipur			
5.	Category of beneficiary f a. Name with Fathe /Husband Name : b. Medium/Small/W Women : c. SC/ST/General	armers: r's larginal/	Kh. Nepoliean Meetei S/O Small farmer General			
6.	Area under demonstratio	on (ha)	1.00 ha			
7.	<ol> <li>Ecosystem (Irrigated/rainfed/shallow lowland/rainfed upland etc. Give details</li> </ol>		Rainfed			
8.	Field Condition (a) Topography (b) Soil Type (c) Native nutrient st Any other information at field condition	atus oout	Around 4-6% slope Deep black soils -NA-			
9. P	roduction Technology Ado	pted	Package of practice			
Particulars FLD Plot		 	Check – Farmers' practice Plot			
(i)	(i) Variety/Hybrid RC Mani RC Mani		phou 7; phou 13	Local variety		
(ii) S	Seed rate	30 kg/ha	3	40 kg/ha		
(iii)	Source of Seed	ICAR-RC	, Manipur centre	Previous year seeds		
(iv)	Seed treatment	Hot wat	er treatment Hot water treatment			

(v) Sowing date	03-07-2019	26-06-2019
(vi) Nursery details seeding density in nursery etc.		Nil
(vii) Transplanting date	27-07-2019	20-07-2019
(viii) Spacing	20 x 10	Direct seeding
(ix) Plant population maintained per ha.	500000/ha	-
(x) FYM/compost applied	5 tons per ha	5 tons per ha
<ul> <li>(xi) Fertilizers applied</li> <li>(a) Basal (NPK kgs/ha)</li> <li>(b) Top dressing : Number of times &amp; stage (NPK kg/ha)</li> </ul>	30:40:30 15:0:0 (Tillering) 15:0:0 (Panicle initiation)	40:40:20
(xii) Micro-nutrient used if any (name/quantity)	5 kg Zinc sulphate	Nil
(xiii) Weed control (a) Herbicides used (name/quantity) Inter-culture operations (Number)	Nil	Nil
(xiv) No. of irrigation given (crop stage-wise)	Nil	Nil

10. Plant protection measures adopted							
Particulars	FLD Plot	Check Farmers' practice plot					
(a) Insect pest	Stem borer	NA					
(i) Name of the pest observed							
(ii) Extent of damage (%)							
(iii) Name of the insecticide used	Cholopyriphos	Nil					
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used							
(v) Frequency of application	2 times						
(vi) Is this a major pest in the							
area?							
(b) Diseases observed							
(i) Name of the disease							
(ii) Extent of damage (%)							
(iii) Name of the pesticide used							
(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used							
(v) Frequency of application							
(vi) Is this a major or common							
disease in the area.							
(c) Biological control							
adopted, if any.							

Particulars	FLD Plot		Check			
			Farmers' practice plot			
11. Date of harvesting	23 <sup>rd</sup> October 2019		29" October, 2019			
12. Method adopted	Sampling method	stad in E	Crop cutting by farmer an	nd		
(crop cutting	locations and avorage	ic				
sampling method etc	calculated and conver	ted to ner				
Give details)	ha basis)					
13. Name of person	Dr. M. Samuel Jeberso	on,	Dr. M. Samuel Jeberson,			
from organizers	Plant Breeder,		Plant Breeder,			
present at the plot at	AICRP on MULLaRp an	d AICRP	AICRP on MULLaRp and A	AICRP on		
of vield to record it	on wheat and Barley		wheat and Barley			
accurately.						
14. Estimate of yield						
(a) Grain	4291 kg/ha		3119 kg/ha			
(b) Straw	6360 kg/ha		5199 kg/ha			
15. Gross income (na)	Dc 95920/ba		Rc 50720/ba			
(a) Value of straw	Rs 3180/ha		Rs. 59720/11a Rs 2600/ba			
(Rs.)						
16. Cost of cultivation	Prepatory tillage	13680	Prepatory tillage	12480		
items of expenditure.	Manures and Manuring	6355	Manures and Manuring	3715		
'	Seeds and Sowing	10544	Seeds and Sowing	5424		
	Irrigation	0	Irrigation	0		
			Weeding	0572		
	Meeding	9572	After tillage care	9572		
	Harvesting and		Harvesting and	10080		
	Marketing	11760	Others	10080		
	Others	100		100		
	Total cost of	52011	I otal cost of cultivation	41371		
	cultivation	52011				

17.	Net profit to the farmer by adopting the technology (Rs/ha.)	Rs. 36989/ha
18.	Farmers Reactions (In details)	Farmer was happy with the new variety and inputs given to him and assured his co-operation in conducting demonstrations with new technologies if any in future and will be adopting this in the coming year also.
19.	Reaction of neighboring farmers	Showing interest to participate in the demonstration
20.	Number of visits made to the plot with dates and observations made in each trip.	3 visits ( 27 <sup>th</sup> June- Nursery preparation) (27 July – Transplanting) (23-10-2019- Harvesting)





## Odisha

In **Odisha** rice is cultivated in an area of 40.04 lakh ha with a productivity of 1.448 t/ha and the annual rice production is 58.15 lakh tons. In Orissa, rice is synonymous with food; agriculture in Orissa to considerable extent means growing rice. Age-old social customs and festivals in Orissa have strong relevance to different phases of rice cultivation: Akhyatrutiya in May-June marks the seeding of rice, Rajasankranti in mid June marks the completion of sowing, Garbhanasankranti in October symbolizes reproductive phase of rice while Nuakhaee and Laxmipuja coincide with harvesting of upland and lowland rice respectively. Makarsankranti in mid January is celebrated as Chaita Parab by the tribal people as by this time rice is threshed and brought to the granary.

National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack organized 60 FLDs on 12 varieties like CR Dhan 100 (Satyabhama), CR Dhan 101 (Ankit), CR Dhan 201, CR Dhan-203, CR Dhan-204, CR Dhan-205, CR Dhan-206 (Gopinath), CR Dhan-304, CR Dhan-306, CR Dhan-310, CR Dhan-311 and CR Dhan-601. Demonstrating cafeteria of varieties with duration varying from 110 days to 135 days and other significant features for the farmers to choose from is worth appreciating.

A promising variety CR Dhan 306 of 125 days duration demonstrated in Dhenkanal Cluster exhibited 25% yield advantage compared to the local varieties. Likewise, series of new varieties released by NRRI, Cuttack were demonstrated in different clusters. All the varieties demonstrated have recorded impressive yield advantages and farmers were willing to adopt these varieties in subsequent seasons.

RRTTSS, Jeyapore (OUAT) conducted 10 demonstrations on varieties Pratikshya and Hasanta. Pratikshya is medium bold grain type variety of 130 – 140 days duration where as Hasanta is of 140 - 150 days and has short bold grain type. On overall basis, varieties Hasanta and Pratikshya exhibited yield advantages of 13.52% and 5.53% over Swarna respectively. Both the varieties exhibited tolerance to BPH as compared to Swarna.

Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, College of Agriculture, OUAT conducted 10 demonstrations on Hasanta variety in Khordha district that gave nearly 20% yield advantage over Swarna variety.

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD Yield	Check Yield	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	(t/ha)	Advantage
1.	CR Dhan 100	50	Local	Jajpur Cluster	4.13	4.01	2.99
			varieties				
2.	CR Dhan 101		Local	Kendrapada	4.27	3.87	10.34
			varieties	Cluster, Cuttack			
				Cluster, Odapada-			
				Dhenkanal Cluster			
3.	CR Dhan 201		Local	Jajpur Cluster	4.31	3.85	11.95
			varieties				
4.	CR Dhan 203		Local	Jajpur Cluster	4.11	4.00	2.75
			varieties				
5.	CR Dhan 204		Local	Jajpur Cluster	4.28	3.95	8.35
			varieties				
6.	CR Dhan 205		Local	Kendrapada	4.23	3.93	7.63
			varieties	Cluster, Cuttack			

## Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD Yield	Check Yield	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	(t/ha)	Advantage
				Cluster, Odapada-			
				Dhenkanal Cluster			
7.	CR Dhan 206		Local	Dhenkanal cluster	5.03	4.25	18.35
			varieties				
8.	CR Dhan 304		Local		5.00	4.25	17.65
			varieties	Dhenkanal cluster			
9.	CR Dhan 306		Local	Dhenkanal Sadar-	6.00	4.80	25.00
			varieties	Dhenkanal cluster			
10.	CR Dhan 310		Local	Dhenkanal Cluster,	4.73	4.25	11.29
			varieties	Cuttack Cluster,			
				Kendrapada cluster			
11.	CR Dhan 311		Local	Jajpur cluster	4.90	4.30	13.95
			varieties				
12.	CR Dhan 601		Local		5.24	4.35	20.46
			varieties	Jajpur cluster			
13.	Pratikshya	8	Local	Chotodiput, GP-	4.99	4.73	5.50
			varieties	Gadapodar, Block			
				– Jeypore, Dist –			
				Koraput			
14.	Hasanta	2	Local	Chotodiput, GP-	5.66	4.97	13.88
			varieties	Gadapodar, Block			
				– Jeypore, Dist –			
				Koraput			
15.	Hasanta	10	Swarna	At/PO-Bhakar Sahi	5.39	4.50	19.78
				Block- Balipatna			
				DistKhordha			



105



Performance of ICAR-NRRI varieties under FLD programme in Odisha



#### Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)







ାନସାର 'ବିହନ ବାସ କରେ ବାସା ଅଧିକ ଅମକ ପଲଙ୍କ ସହ କେଣ୍ଟ ରେପ୍ୱତ ହେତ । ଏହି ଅବସରରେ ଧାନବଞ୍ଚର ଦିଶିକୁ ଦିଶ ଏବଂ ରେଗୋଜ ନିରାଜା ସାନରିରେ ଆଲେତନା ହୋଇଥିଲା । ସବେଷଣ ଦିକାସ ସାନରିପର କରିଥିରେ । ଅନ୍ୟମନ୍ଦନ ମହାରେ ସିଜ୍ଞାନ ଭାଗୋଟିତ୍ୱ କରିଥିରେ । ଅନ୍ୟମନ୍ଦନ ମହାରେ ସିଜ୍ଞାନ ଭାଗୋଟିତ୍ୱ କରିଥିରେ । ଅନ୍ୟମନ୍ଦନ ମହାରେ ସିଜ୍ଞାନ ପରାଚନ, ପ୍ରମସ ଦୁମର ଖଣ୍ଡ, ରା ଦେଖ ନରାଜ, ଏସିରେ, ପ୍ରମସ ଦୁମର ଖଣ୍ଡ, ରା ଦେଖ ନରାଜ, ଏସିରେ, ପ୍ରମସ ଦୁମର ଖଣ୍ଡ, ରା ଦେଖ ନରାଜ, ଏସିରେ ସାନଙ୍କ ନର୍ଭ ଅଧିକ ବାସ ରେପ୍ରିତ ଥିଲା । କୃଷି ଉନସାବଣ କରକରାପ୍ର ଅଧିକାମ କରେ ଭ୍ରମାନ୍ କରିଥିର କରେବଣ ସେତକରାପ୍ର ଅଧିକାମ ସିଦ୍ଧେର କେନ୍ଦାରେ କରିଥାରୁମ ପରିଜଳନ କରିଥିରେ । ଗେରେଦନ ଭ୍ରମା କୁଷି



ଜଗଣ୍ଡର, ୧୫୮୧୨ (କ୍ୟାରେ) : ପ୍ରଜୀଶ, ଅଞ୍ଚଳିକ କୃଷି ରେଟେଖଣା ଓ ସମ୍ପୁଅରଣ ଉପରେଜୁ ପହାରୁ ରହିରେ ଦେବ ମିରହା। ପଡ଼ୁ ପରିବର୍ଶନ କମ୍ପିକୁଟ ଏପରେ, ଅନୁହିତ ହୋଇପାଇଥିଲା । ସମ୍ପରରେ ସମ୍ପାର ଏକ ସଜ କରୋଇଥିଲା । ସମ୍ପରରେ ସମ୍ପାର ପ୍ରକ ଅନୁରୁଦ୍ଧାରେ ଏଗର ଅରୋଜନ କରୋଇଥିଲା । କରାସା , ସାଞ୍ଚଳିନମାନେ ବୁସାଧିକୁମରେ ସମିଲ ସୋଇଥିଲେ । କନ୍ଦମ ସପାଇ ବିରେଖା ସୁଆ ଧାନ ଏନରେ , ସାହଳ ଏବଂ ଏହାର ସୋଦେରେ ସାନରେ , ସମ୍ପାର , ଧାନରେ ସହନରେ କନ୍ଦା ପୋଳ କାରେ ନାହିଁ । ଅନାଧାନ ଅପପାଧ୍ୟ ଏହାର ରୋଗ ପୋଳ କାନେ କାହିଁ । ନକମ ସହାରଣ କାରୁ ସାହଳ କାନେ କାହିଁ । ନକମ ସହାରଣ କାରୁ ସେଲ୍ଲ କାନେ କାହିଁ । କମରା ଅନ୍ତାଳ ଲେକାରେ ପୋଳ ସନେବାଡି ଅନାଧାନ ଅପପାଧ୍ୟ ଏହାର ରୋଗ ପୋଳ ସନେବାଡି ଅନାଧାନ ଅପପାଧ୍ୟ ଏହାର ରୋଗ ପୋଳ



		Technical Report
Title of the experiment	:	Popularisation of BPH tolerant rice variety Hasanta and Pratikshya.
Objective	:	To evaluate the performance of variety Hasanta and Pratikshya with respect to yield, lodging and tolerant to BPH at Koraput districts.
Investigator	:	Sri Mihir Ranjan Mohanty, Junior Breeder-cum-Officer-In-Charge, RRTTSS, Jeypore
Location	:	Chotodiput, GP- Gadapodar, Block – Jeypore, Dist – Koraput, ODISHA
FLD Plots	:	Pratikshya and Hasanta
Check Plots	:	Swarna
Date of start of the project	:	Kharif, 2019
Period for which report submitted	:	Kharif, 2019
No of Farmers	:	25
Date of Nursery Sowing	:	24.06.2019 to 22.07.2019
Date of transplanting	:	23.07.2019 to 20.08.2019
		Line Transplanting
Spacing	:	20cm × 15cm
Seed Rate	:	50 Kg/ha
Fertilizer dose	:	60-30-30 kg N-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O/ha
Plant Protection	:	Need based
Data Recorded	:	Days to 50% flowering, Plant Height (cm) No of tillers/m2, Yield (Kg/ha) Notes on BPH , Notes on other insects and diseases
Results in Nutshell	:	On overall basis varieties Hasanta and Pratikshya exhibit yield advantages of 13.52% and 5.53% over Swarna respectively. Both the varieties exhibit tolerance to BPH as compare to Swarna. <b>HASANTA</b> Duration: 140 - 150 days Preferable Ecology: Lowland; Grain Type: SB Stay Green Characteristics <b>PRATIKSHYA</b> Duration: 130 – 140 days; Preferable Ecology: Mediumland; Grain Type: MB

## Frontline Demonstration on Rice, Jeypore 2019-20 *Kharif* Technical Report

## WEATHER DATA DURING GROWTH PERIOD

	Month 1 June-19	Month 2 July-19	Month 3 August- 19	Month 4 Sept-19	Month 5 Oct-19	Month 6 Nov-19	Month 7 Dec-19
No. of rainy days	13	29	26	22	19	1	0
Total rainfall (mm)	215.50	681.10	755.00	399.00	297.80	48.40	0.00
Avg. Maximum Temperature (°C)	40	34	32	33	32	31	30
Avg. Minimum Temperature (°C)	21	20	20	21	19	13	9
#### Tamil Nadu

About 94% of total area under rice in the State is concentrated in high productivity group, which accounts for about 98% of total production of rice in the State. Out of 28 rice growing districts of Tamil Nadu, 27 districts are under high productivity group and one district is under low productivity group. The average productivity of rice in Tamil Nadu is 3,494 kg/ha, which is 79% higher than average productivity (1,947 kg/ha) of the country. Paddy is the principal crop extensively cultivated in all the districts of the state having a unique three-season pattern viz., Kar/Kuruvai /Sornavari (April to July), Samba/Thaladi/Pishanam (August to November) and Navarai/ Kodai (December to March).

Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Aduthurai, Thanjavur district, conducted "varietal demonstation of ADT 53 and ADT 51" in an area of 15 ha in Thanjavur, Thiruvarur and Nagapattinam districts during first season (Kharif/Kuruvai). The performance of the new ADT 53 variety across the FLDs was impressive as against the popular short duration rice varieties ADT 43 and CO 51. The yield potential, grain quality and pest and disease free performance of ADT 53 attracted the neighboring farmers and they are convinced with the performance of this variety. Through FLD, yield advantage of more than 50 per cent over the district average and more than 12 per cent over the popular varieties was demonstrated. The farmers' satisfaction is reflected in terms of increased demand for ADT 53 and the nodal centre sold nearly 210 quintals of seeds to the farmers by sale up to February 2020. Farmers mainly preferred for the yield, lodging tendency, quality and marketability.

To popularize long duration rice variety ADT 51 another set of 15 FLDs were conducted by TNRRI, Aduthurai during the Samba season and farmers were selected from the four Cauvery delta districts viz., Ariyalur, Thanjavur, Thiruvarur and Nagapattinam districts. During 2019-20 North West monsoon was good and in the districts where FLDs were conducted the rainfall was wide spread throughout the cropping period from August to January. In addition to favorable monsoon, the continuous water flow in the Cauvery river also favored paddy cultivation in these districts. Compared to CR 1009 the new variety ADT 51 recorded more than 10.0 per cent yield. Besides yield the participating farmers were very much satisfied with the new variety as it gives higher yield with fewer inputs and crop protection chemicals. Farmers also expressed that this variety is free from pest and diseases when compared to CR 1009. Due to continuous demonstration of this variety for the past two years through NFSM FLD Programme, this variety is gaining momentum among the farmers in these districts and demand for the seeds has increased over the years.

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local	FLD Location	FLD Yield	Check	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)	Check		(t/ha)	Yield	Advantag
						(t/ha)	е
1.	ADT 51	15	CR 1009	Ariyalur,	6.42	5.82	10.31
				Thanjavur,			
				Thiruvarur,			
				Nagapattinam			
2.	ADT 53	15	ADT 43	Thanjavur,	6.09	5.46	11.54
			and CO	Thiruvarur,			
			51	Nagapattinam			

3.	IPDM with ADT 51	10	Farmers Practices	Nannilam block of Thiruvarur and Nagapattinam districts	5.97	4.12	44.90
4.	TKM 13	10	BPT 5204	Thiruvallur	5.61	4.95	13.33
5.	CO 52	30	Improved White Ponni, TKM 13, CO (R) 50, CO 43, ADT (R) 46, BPT 5204	Trichy, Dindigul, Villupuram and Theni	6.60	5.69	15.99
6.	Improved Samba Mahsuri	3	BPT 5204	Lalgudi	4.03	3.5	15.14
7.	DRR Dhan 44	2	BPT 5204	Lalgudi	3.96	3.5	13.14

TNRRI Aduthurai conducted Front Line Demonstration on "IPDM technologies for rice pests in ADT 51" at 10 farmer's field in Kutthallam Block of Nagapattinam district and Nanillam Block of Thiruvarur district with the following package of practices.

Stage	IPDM	Fa	rmer'	s pract	ices
	Seed treatment with Carbandezim @ 2 g for kg seed. Soaking of these treated seed overnight in 10 liter water and keeping in gunny bag for germination.				
Nursery	Application of Carbofuran @ 1.1 kg a.i./ ha, 5 days before pulling seedlings from nursery for transplantation.	As farm	per ners p	the practice	local e.
Main field	• Transplanting the seedlings at a spacing of 20 x 15 cm. Leaving alleyways of 30 cm after every 2 m or 10 rows. Fertilizers applied as per local recommended fertilizer dose.				
	Application of Butachlor 1.5 kg a.i./ ha within one week after transplanting the crop. At 15 DAT, install pheromone traps with 5 mg lure @ 8 traps/ha for stem borer monitoring.	As Farn	per ners p	the ractice	local
30 – 59 DAT	Depending on weed intensity post emergence herbicide will be sprayed. Bund area if sheath blight is observed on weeds, spray will be done. N top dressing will be taken up as given in protocol using Leaf Color Chart Mid season drainage.	As farm prac	per ners ttice	the	local
60 – 90 DAT	One spray of Cartap hydrochloride 50 WP @ 600 g /ha at 60 DAT (against stem borer/leaf folder, if incidence crosses ET value).	As farm prac	per ners tice	the	local

	Need based application of Propiconazole. Mid season drainage will be followed in case of BPH incidence.
> 90 DAT up	5 X 5 m <sup>2</sup> area will be marked and yield, at 5 places (5 repl.) in this block st involved
to	for each practice/ operation taken in IPM starting from nursery to harvest has been
harvest	estimated

The newly released long duration rice variety ADT 51 with above mentioned IPM package of practices were adopted to control major pests of Rice. Gall midge Damage ranged from 16.58 % (Pillayarpatty, Tanjavur) to 85.64 (Melanambankurichi, Muthupettai block, Thiruvarur) with order of severity as Thiruvarur > Thanjavur > Pudukottai > Nagapattinam districts in 3<sup>rd</sup> week of December, 2019 was noticed. Early sowing with CR1009 – escaped the attack; Late sowing of CR1009, ADT39, BPT 5204, NLR 34449, MTU 7029 resulted in severe incidence. Continuous cloudy or rainy weather, Use of high tillering varieties, Intensive management practices (high nitrogen and indiscriminate use of combination insecticides) and low incidence of parasitoid *Platygaster oryzae* resulted this pest outbreak. Moderate to severe (5.5 to 15.5 nos. /tiller) Brown Planthopper reported from Thanjavur, Nagapattinam and Tiruvarur district at 4<sup>th</sup> week of December, 2019. Excessive application of nitrogenous fertilizers and continuous water stagnation lead to BPH outbreak. Green leaf hopper damage ranged from 5.00 (Edamanal) to 15.00 % (Vettangudi) noticed in the Nagapattinam district. Late planting and susceptible variety (White Ponni) and continuous cloudy or rainy weather and water logged for many days was the reason behind the outbreak. The performance of IPM practices were assessed and compared with the non IPM framers practices field and the findings are presented below.

Pests / damage*	Tiller	Tillering stage		Max. tillering		Panicle		Maturity stage	
	IPM	Farmer Practice	IPM	Farmer Practice	IPM	Farmer Practice	IPM	Farmer Practice	
Dead heart/ white ear (%)	6.0	9.4	7.5	15.3	8.0	17.5	8.1	19.8	
Silver shoot due to gall midge (%)	5.0	9.0	9.0	15.0	10.0	20.0	-	-	
Leaf folder (%)	3.0	7.1	5.6	15.3	7.9	16.7	8.8	18.3	
BPH & WBPH (no./hill)	0.8	2.0	5.0	10.8	10.5	15.5	11.0	25.3	
GLH (no./hill)	0.9	1.5	3.0	7.0	5.0	10.0	6.1	15.0	
Spider (no./hill)	0.5	0.1	0.7	0.3	2.0	0.3	2.2	0.3	
Coccinellids (No./hill)	0.7	0.4	2.0	1.0	3.3	1.1	4.5	1.0	
Parasitization (%) in stem borer	20	5	25	9	31	9	45	11	
eggmass									
Parasitisation	55	13	62	10	65	8	70	15	
Platygaster oryzae (%)									

### Incidence of pest in IPM and Non-IPM field –2019-20

Items	IPM *	Farmers practice
Field Preparation (Rs./ha)	16800	16800
Fertilizer application (Rs./ha)	6700	6700
Insecticide/Bio pesticide application (Rs./ha)	2050	4250
Other protection costs, if any (Rs./ha)	2200	1760
Crop cut operation costs (Rs./ha)	7200	8150
Any other costs (irrigation, seed cost)	3400	3400
Total cost (Rs/ha)	38350	41060
Yield (kg/ha)	5975	4125
Gross income (Rs/ha)	107550	74250
Net income (Rs/ha)	69200	33190
C: B ratio	1:2.80	1:1.81
Plant protection cost	4250	6010

#### Economics of crop cultivation in IPM and Non-IPM (Farmers practice) field

\*Average of 10 locations

The dead heart and white ear damage (6.00 - 8.10 %) by stem borer was low in IPM plot as against non-IPM plot, 9.40 - 19.80% respectively. Due to the outbreak of gall midge in farmers practice non IPM plot, 9.0 - 20.0% silver shoot as against 5.0- 10.0% in IPM plot were noticed. The economic damage of Bph and WBph was low in IPM plot (0.8-11.0 no./hill) as against Non-IPM plot (2.0 - 25.3 no./hill) the same trend was observed in Leaf folder incidence (3.0 - 8.0% in IPM as against 7.1 - 18.3%). However, the population of natural enemies *viz.*, coccinellids (0.7-4.5 no/hill) and spiders (0.5-2.2 no/hill) in IPM plot was more when compared to non-IPM plot (0.4-1.0&0.1-0.3). Gall midge parasitoid *Platygaster oryzae*, parasitization also more in IPM plot (55-70%) compared to Non IPM (13-15%). Higher yield of 5.98 t/ha was obtained from IPM plot as compared to non-IPM plot (4.13t/ha). The net income per hectare from IPM plot was high, Rs. 69200 as compared to Non-IPM plot (Rs. 33190).





Komal, Kutthalam Block, Nagapattinam District

**BPH infested field** 



Melanambankurichi, Muthupettai block, Thiruvarur

Gall midge affected field



Vettangudi, Kollidam Block, Nagapattinam District

**GLH** infested field



Field day conducted at Komal village on 01.02.2019



Inputs distribution



Field day

Rice Research Station, Tirur organized 10 FLDs to popularize the recently released variety Rice TKM 13 in Thiruvallur district. The overall crop performance of the cropping season 2019-20 was good. In many places incidence of pests and diseases was very less compared to the check variety BPT 5204 and in some places no incidence of pests and diseases was noticed in Rice TKM 13 fields. With average grain yield of 5.61t/ha, TKM 13 displayed about 13.6 per cent increased yield over the check variety.

 Rice TKM 13 – released by Central variety Release Committee during 2017 for Gujarat and Maharastra.
 Parentage – WGL 32100 / Swarna
 Season : August-September sowing in Tamil Nadu.
 Duration : 130 days
 Average yield : 5938 kg/ha

### Salient Features

- Semi-dwarf, erect, high tillering and non lodging plant habit.
- Moderately resistant to leaf folder, stem borer and GLH.
- Moderately resistant to blast, RTD, brown spot and sheath rot.
- Medium slender white rice with 1000 grain weight of 13.8 g, lesser than all medium duration rice varieties.
- High milling yield (75.5%) and head rice yield (71.7%), which is on par with the check variety BPT 5204.
- High Linear Elongation Ratio (1.72) and less Breadthwise Expansion Ratio (1.25).

During 2019-20, Department of Rice, TNAU, Coimbatore conducted 30 demonstrations with Rice CO 52 in four districts namely Trichy (23 locations in five blocks), Dindigul (17 locations), Villupuram (9 locations in two blocks) and Theni (1 location). The demonstrated technology that was released during the year 2016 by SVRC is of medium duration (130–135 days), medium tall rice variety with mean grain yield of 6.19 t/ha, with resistance to blast, moderately resistant to plant hoppers and leaf hopper, sheath rot, brown spot and sheath blight and suitable for *late samba /thaladi season* in Tamil Nadu. In the demonstrated areas, all the farmers recorded higher yield than the check variety (Improved White Ponni, TKM 13, CO (R) 50, CO 43, ADT (R) 46, BPT 5204) with an average yield of 6.60 t/ha with 15.91% yield advantage.

IIRR also conducted five demonstrations on DRR Dhan 44 and Improved Samba Mahsuri and STRVs in collaboration with the Malaikottai Paddy Farmers Producer Company Limited (MPFPCL), Lalgudi –Tiruchirappalli District. Farmers were satisfied with the performance of demonstrated varietal technologies.

## Telangana

Rice is mainly grown under irrigated conditions in the command areas and also in rainfed conditions during kharif season. Southern Telangana grows medium duration varieties while Northern Telengana grows mainly the short duration varieties.

S. No.	Technology Demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantage
1.	Integrated Weed Management	10	Local practices	Local Kamareddy practices		5.09	13.75
2.	CGZR 2	5	BPT 5204	Mahabubnagar	4.88	3.50	39.43
3.	Protozin			Mahabubnagar	4.70	3.50	34.29
4.	Zincorice			Mahabubnagar	3.74	3.50	6.86
5.	Mechanized transplanting	10	Manual transplanting	Thudukurthy, Nandiwaddeman, Yendabetla, Nallavelli, Khanapur villages of Nagarkurnool and Kothathanda village of Mahabubnagar	6.72	6.50	3.3
6.	AWD in rice	5	Field submergence	Peedshapur, Burjugadda tanda	6.13	5.91	3.7
7.	Chemical weed management	5	Hand weeding	Peedshapur Burjugadda tanda	6.2	6.0	3.3
8.	Integrated Crop Management + JGL – 24423	10	MTU 1010	Karimnagar	7.03	6.88	2.18
9.	IPM + BRRI 75	10	MTU 1010	Karimnagar	7.01	6.85	2.34
10.	Direct sown rice through Drum seeder + BINA - 17	10	Conventional practices + KNM 118	Karimnagar	7.00	6.80	2.94
11.	RNR 15048	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur and Gurrappagudem	6.53	5.83	12.01
12.	BRRI 75	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur and	6.30	5.77	9.19

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD	Check	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)			Yield	Yield	Advantage
				Currennegudere	(t/na)	(t/na)	
		_		Gurrappagudem			
13.	KNM 118	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur	7.07	6.37	10.99
				and			
				Gurrappagudem			
14.	WGL 44	10	BPT -5204	Paidipally	7.0	5.60	25
	(Siddhi)			Nagaram village			
				of Parkal mandal,			
				Warangal Rural			
				district,			
				Telangana			
15.	WGL 915	4	MTU 1001	Kanaparthy	6.46	5.77	11.86
	(Warangal			village, Regonda			
	Rice 1)			mandal of			
				Jayashankar			
				Bhupalpally			
				district,			
				Telangana			
16.	Problem soil	10	Local	Chandepally	6.75	4.92	37.20
	management		practices	village,			
				Motakondur			
				mandal of			
				Yadadri district			

Indian Institute of Rice Research organised FLDs on various varieties, management practices across Telangana state.

### Integrated Weed Management and new high yielding IIRR Variety DRR Dhan 46

Integrated Weed Management (IWM) was implemented through Dept. of Agriculture, Kamareddy District, Telangana in the farmers field plots of Banapur, Lingapur, Yellareddy, Aurangabad and Narsannapalle, using IIRR High Yielding Variety. and seed rate of 50 kg/ha for robust nursery instead of prevailing practice of high seed rate(70 kg/ha); the pre-sowing herbicide application of Glyphosate 15 Days Before Planting; Application of Oxadiargyl at 7 Days After Planting (DAT)followed by Chlorimuronethyl + metsulfuronmethyl at 25 DAT in some fields; application of Penoxsulam at 12-15 DAT in some fields depending on the weed flora and intensity; top dressing of nitrogen based on leaf color chart of IIRR, Hyderabad (saving of 25-30 kg nitrogen); need based application of plant protection chemicals instead of blanket application; water proof tarpaulin for protecting quality of harvested paddy. By implementing the above mentioned management technologies the farmers achieved monetary benefit mainly by reducing the input cost which has reflected in higher yields. Adoption of new IIRR variety and Integrated Weed Management helped the farmers to achieve the grain yield advantage of 4.35 to 29.41%, resulted in monetary advantage of 55%.







#### Popularisation of Biofortified varieties

IIRR conducted demonstrations on biofortified varieties in 5 hectares in collaboration with YFA-Krishi Vigyan Kendra Madanapuram. CGZR 2 is a SVRC variety with zinc content of 25.0 ppm, Zinco Rice with 27.4 ppm of Zinc content and Protezin with 20.9 ppm of Zinc and 9.5% of protein were demonstrated.

### Popularisation of high yielding varieties

IIRR in collaboration with KVK Kampasagar conducted 15 FLDs on RNR 15048, BRRI 75 and KNM 118 demonstrated high yielding abiity of these varieties in Agamothukur and Gurrappagudem villages of Nalgonda District.

Also, along with KVK Jammikunta IIRR conducted 30 FLDs on Integrated Crop Management, IPM and DSR with latest high yielding varieties like JGL – 24423, BRRI 75 and BINA - 17 in Karimnagar district.

#### Problem soil management

FLDs on sodic soils (soils with high pH of around 8.6-9.1) management were taken up in 10 farmers fields covering an area of 10 hectares in Chandepalle village, Motakondur mandal, Yadadri District during kharif 2019. Initially the farmers were advised to incorporate paddy straw in their fields during summer. As per the initial soil analysis, fertiliser doses were calculated and suggested to the farmers and were advised to apply as per the given recommendation. Zinc deficiency is very common in sodic soils and they respond well to organics also. Hence, along with vermi compost, critical inputs like chelated zinc were distributed to farmers. Due to delayed onset of monsoon, transplanting was delayed. Vermicompost @ 600 kg/ha was applied two times; half as basal and another half as top dressing at tillering stage. The yield was estimated and the yield advantage of our interventions with straw, chelated zinc and vermi-compost improved rice yields to an extent of 30.7 to 46.1% over check plots.

Rice Research Centre, ARI, Rajendranagar of PJTSAU conducted total 20 Front line demonstrations in 20 ha. Farmer were provided with latest released varieties like RNR 15048, KNM 118, Machine transplanting hiring charges other inputs like AWD pipe, herbicides and granules for pest control etc. Out 0f 20 FLDs 10 were conducted on machine transplanting, 5 FLDs on Chemical weed management and on alternate wetting and drying in rice. The results of twenty Frontline Demonstrations conducted in 20.0 ha through in Nagarkurnool and Ranga Reddy districts indicated that the machine transplanting gave an additional grain yield (3.4 %) of around 220 kg ha-1 and additional net returns of around Rs 9272/- per ha over manual random transplanting with a saving of Rs. 5100 ha-1 in cost of cultivation. Farmers feedback on the machine transplanting was that, timely transplanting can be done with machine during peak period of labour shortage, pest and diseases were less to machine planted crop due to wider spacing and yield recorded was higher over conventional manual planting.

Five frontline demonstrations conducted on chemical weed management recorded an additional grain yield of 3.7 % around 200 kg/ha with additional net returns of 8000/ha and reduced cost of cultivation of Rs 3900/ha over conventional hand weeding. The demonstrations on Alternate wetting and drying in rice conducted in Ranga Reddy district indicated that the on an average 6-8 irrigations were decreased by the farmers using this technology. Farmers reported that this technology is farmer friendly and eco friendly in saving water resources.

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Warangal conducted Frontline Demonstrations under National Food Security Mission on Rice variety Siddhi (WGL-44) during *kharif*, 2019 in 10 hectares in the farmers fields of Paidipally Nagaram village, Parkal mandal of Warangal rural District. The performance of the demonstrated rice variety Siddhi (WGL-44) was superior compared to the check variety BPT 5204. The variety WGL-44 recorded an average yield of 7.00 t/ha with an yield advantage of 25% over local check variety BPT 5204 (5.60 t/ha).

RARS, Warangal also organized 10 FLDs on Warangal Rice-1 (WGL-915) which is a newly released variety in 4 hectares in the farmer fields of Kaniparthy village, Regonda mandal of Jayashankar Bhupalpally district. Across the locations WGL-915 recorded an yield of 6.46 t/ha

with 11.86% yield advantage over local check MTU 1001 (5.77 t/ha). Farmers were happy and expressed satisfaction over performance of demonstrated variety WGL-915 showing high yield, non lodging and lengthy panicle with bold grain type.

The FLD yields are far higher than state average (3.45 t/ha) and districts average (3.34 t/ha & 3.65 t/ha). Farmers were impressed with these varieties which fetched good price in the market due to its good grain quality characters and lesser pest and disease incidence. In terms of cost of cultivation it saved Rs.5000/ha as compared to local check varieties.



Field day on Machine transplanting on 22.08.2019 at Nagarkurnool district





Input distribution FLD beneficiaries and field data recording in FLD plot





FLD on chemical weed management and AWD in Rice Farmer Scientist Interaction conducted on FLDs

## SIDDHI (WGL-44)



### SALIENT FEATURES

Duration	: 140-145 days
Plant height	: 105-110 cm
Grain type	: Medium slender
Grain yield	: 6.0-7.0 t/ha

## Special features:

- It matures week days early to BPT-5204,
- Gall midge resistant, non lodging and non shattering culture with good cooking quality.
- It is fetching equal price in the market to that of BPT-5204.

## SALIENT FEATURES

Duration	: 135 days (Medium)
Plant height	: 125-130 cm
Grain type	: Long Bold
Grain yield	: 7.5-8.0 t/ha

## Special features:

- Moderately tolerant to brown plant hopper, bacterial leaf blight, blast and tungro virus
- Suitable for drum seeding/direct seeding
- Suitable for making of poha and puffed rice
- Less shattering and non lodging

## WARANGAL RICE (WGL-915)



WGL-915

#### Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)





#### Seed distribution to FLD beneficiaries on 04-07-2019





## FLD visit at vegetative stage



Input distribution to FLD beneficiaries



Dr.Shaik N Meera, Principal Scientist & Coordinator, FLDs on Rice, IIRR, Hyderabad addressing beneficiaries

#### Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)







Field day (WGL 915) on 29-11-2019



ప్రాంతీయ పరితోధనా కేంద్రం సహపరితోధన సంచాలకుడు జగన్నో హన్రావు



క్షేత సందర్శన చేస్తున్న వ్యవసాయాధికారులు, ఎంపీపీ లక్ష్మి

రేగెండ, నవంబరు 29: వరంగల్ వ్యవసాయ పరిశోవన కేంద్రం వారు ఆధివృది పరిరిన కొత్త వంగదం దబ్బాజీఎల్-915తో ఆధిక దిగుబడులు సాధివృన్నాదని వరంగల్ ప్రాంతీయ పరి శోధూ కేంద్రం సహవరిశోధన సంకాలకుడు డాక్టర్ ఎజుగనిమోహనిరావు అన్నారు. మండలం లోని కనిపర్తి గ్రామంలో వ్యవసాయాధికారులు కొందరు రైతులకు కొత్త వరి వంగడం అందించి 10 ఎకరాల్లో సాగు చేయడాగికి ప్రోత్యపాంచారు. పంట కోతకు కావచంతో పైతుల ఆషిప్రాయం తెలుసుకోవడానికి, క్షేత వర్యటన రేయడానికి ముజ్య అతిరిగా జగన్మోహన్రావు వర్సారు. ఈ సందర్భంగా మాట్లాడుతూ ఈ పంట మన ప్రాంతానికి అనుపైన రకమని, గౌలుసు పొడవగా, పంట పడిపోకుండా ఉన్నట్లు గమనించామన్నారు. అలాగే 40 నుంచి 45 బస్తాలు దిగుబడి వులు మహామంజ్ ఉగ్రాజ్ని గమురులనున్నాయి తరాగి ఈ మరి ఈ మర్గించి ఉన్నారు. వచ్చిందని రైతులు తెలిపోరని చెప్పారు. ఇది వర్షారాలనికి, ఇరోషడు అనుహులంగా, 130 రోజు లో పరిడి వరుల అర్వారు. ఎందీపీ ఫున్నం లక్ష్మీలో మార్కెటిమా లేసుకొని శాస్త్రవీత్వం సల మండం సాగుపై దృష్టి పెట్టాలని, ఈ అవకాళాన్ని నట్టినియోగం చేసుకొని శాస్త్రవీత్వం సల హాలు, వ్యవసాయాధికారులు సాచనలు సంటల సాగులో పాటిందాలని కోరారు. కార్యక్రమంలో శాస్ట్రవేత్త డాక్టర్ మాలతి, ఆగ్రానమిస్తు డాక్టర్ నాగభూషణం, ఏరువాక కోఆర్షినేటర్ జీనివాస్, 





#### పడీఆర్ జగన్మోహస్రావు

తక్కువ పెట్టుబడితో అధిక లాభాలు పొందాలని తదితరులు పాల్గొన్నారు.

సూచించారు. లాభదాయకమైన వ్యవసాయంపై రేగొండ, వెలుగు: రైతుల సాగు విధానాల్లో మార్పు రైతులు దృష్టి సారించాలని సూచించారు. ఎకరం రావాలని వరంగల్ ప్రాంతీయ పరిశోధన్ కేంద్రం 🕺 వరి సాగుకు 1200 మిల్లీమీటర్ల నీటి వినియోగం ఏడీఆర్ జగన్మోహన్రావు పిలువునిచ్చారు. శు 🛛 అవసరం ఉంటుందని తెలిపారు. నీటిని సంరక్షిం క్రవారం మండలంలోని కనపర్తిలో 1001 వరి 🛛 చిభవిష్యత్ అవసరాలకు వాదాలని సూచించారు. వెరైటీకి ప్రత్యామ్నంగా వరంగల్లే 915 రకంపై - ప్రకృతి వనరులను పరి ఒక్కరూ సద్వినియోగం రైతులకు క్షేత పదర్శన చేపట్టారు. ఈ సందర్భం - చేసు కొవాలని తెలిపారు. శాప్రవేత్తలు ఎస్ . గా ఏదీఆర్ జగన్మోహన్రావు మాట్లాడుతూ - మాలతి, దాక్టర్ నాగభూషణం, ఏరువాక కో ఆర్డి రైతులందరు ఒకేరకమైన పంటలు కాకుండా వైవి నేటర్ శ్రీనివాస్, ఏపో పెద్ది వాసుదేవరెడ్డి, ఎంపీప్ ద్యభరితమైన పంటల సాగును ఎంపిక చేసుకుని పున్నం లక్ష్మిరవి, సర్పంచ్ బూడిదల సుధాకర్



#### **ANNEXURE-I** DETAILED INFORMATION OF INDIVIDUAL FRONT LINE DEMONSTRATION (FLD)

Details of beneficiaries of FLDs during *Kharif*, 2019

(1) Name & complete address of the implementing Centre Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University (PJTSAU) : Regional Agricultural Research station, Mulugu Road, Warangal-506007 (2) Website e mail ID adrrars wgl@yahoo.co.in : chandragene@gmail.com (3) Fax No. 0870-2975223 : (4) Name of Crop : Rice (5) Name of Variety/hybrid Warangal Rice-1 (WGL-915) :

•

:

:

(6) Location

- (7) District
- (8) State

Regonda Mandal Jayashankar Bhupalpally

Telangana

S.	Name of beneficiary	Category	Area		Pattern of financial assistance					Technology	Field day	Follow		
NO	with address and phone	(SC/ST/OBC	(ha)	See	d	Biopest	icides	Weedie	cides	Pesticide	es	demonstrate d		VISIT OT Scientist
•	number	&Gender (Male/Fem ale)	(na)	Quantity	Valu e (Rs.)	Quantity	Value (Rs.)	Quantity	Value (Rs.)	Quantity	Value (Rs.)	3		Scientist
1.	Nadipelli Sampath Rao S/o Pullaiah Kanaparthy village, Regonda mandal of Jayashankar Bhupalpally district, T.S. Ph no.9573510324	Gen. & Male	0.4	25 Kg	950/-	Pseudom onas fluoresce ns (300 g)	45/-	Oxadiarg yl (Topstar ) (1packet of 35 g)	315/-	Carbofuran 3G granules (2 packets of 5kg each) Acephate (300 g) Isoprothiolan e (300 ml) Propiconazole (200 ml)	1158/- 180/- 300/- 292/-	High yielding Newly released Variety: Warangal Rice-1 (WGL-915)	29.11.2019	28.08.20 19 31.08.20 19 29.10.20 19 29.11.20 19 07 .12.2019

Signature of beneficiary

Signature with seal Scientist In charge Implementing centre

Signature with Seal **Director/Project Director** 

1.	Сгор	Paddy
2.	Season	Kharif
3.	Technology being demonstrated	Integrated pest management(BRRI 75)
	Specific advantages or features of new technology being demonstrated as compared to the farmers' present practice in the area (Give details)	Pheramone traps and Neem oil, bio fungicides ,cartap hydrochloride ,IPM Practice is efficiently used.
4.	Location (with full address)	Village : Kareempet Taluka: Shankerpatnam District: Karimnagar State: Telangana
5.	Category of beneficiary farmers: d. Name with Father's /Husband Name : e. Medium/Small/Marginal/W omen : f. SC/ST/General	Kethari Madhusudhan MARGINAL FARMER General
6.	Area under demonstration (ha)	01 ha
7.	Ecosystem (Irrigated/rainfed/shallow lowland/rainfed upland etc. Give details	Irrigated with open well
8.	Field Condition (d) Topography (e) Soil Type (f) Native nutrient status Any other information about field condition	Red sandy soil

## ANNEXURE - V FINAL REPORT ON FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION

9. Production Technology Adopte		
Particulars	FLD Plot	Check –
		Farmers' practice Plot
(ii) Variety/Hybrid	BRRI 75	MTU 1010
(ii) Seed rate	25 Kg	20Kg
(iii) Source of Seed	κνκ, јмкт	FPO
(iv) Seed treatment	Bavistin	Carbendzim
(v) Sowing date	26-06-2019	24-06-2019
(vi) Nursery details seeding	Raised bed system	Raised bed system
density in nursery etc.		
(vii) Transplanting date	20-07-2019	20-07-2019
(viii) Spacing	25X15cm	25x15cm
(ix) Plant population		

maintained per ha.		
(x) FYM/compost applied	4tonnes/acre	3tonnes/acre
(xi) Fertilizers applied	DAP- 1bag/ac	DAP- 1bag/ac
(c) Basal (NPK kgs/ha)	UREA-2bags,3times/ac	UREA-3bags,3times/ac
(d) Top dressing : Number	MOP-,1bag1time,/ac	MOP-,1bag1time,/ac
of times & stage (NPK		
kg/ha)		
(xii) Micro-nutrient used if any	-	-
(name/quantity)		
(xiii) Weed control	Pretilachlor 400ml/acre	TOPSTAR 30gm /Acre
(b) Herbicides used		
(name/quantity)		
Inter-culture operations		
(Number)		
(xiv) No. of irrigation given	15-18 irrigations	16-18 irrigations
(crop stage-wise)		

10. Plant protection measures adopted						
Particulars	FLD Plot	Check				
		Farmers practice plot				
(a) Insect pest	Stem borer	Stem borer				
(i) Name of the pest observed						
(ii) Extent of damage (%)						
(iii) Name of the insecticide used	Carbofurran 3G @10 kg/acre	Acephate 1.5 gr/lit				
(iv) Quantity (kg/litre) used	1lit	1/2lt				
(v) Frequency of application						
(vi) Is this a major pest in the area?	yes	Yes				
(b) Diseases observed	False smut	Sheath blight				
(i) Name of the disease						
(ii) Extent of damage (%)	-	-				
(iii) Name of the pesticide used	Carbendazim	Tilt 1 grlit				
(iv) Quality (kg/litre) used	-	-				
(v) Frequency of application	-	-				
(vi) Is this a major or common	Common	common				
disease in the area.						
(c) Biological control adopted,	-	-				
if any.						

Particulars	FLD Plot	Check
		Farmers' practice plot
11. Date of harvesting	12.11.2019	13.11.2019
12. Method adopted for	Crop cutting	Crop cutting
estimate of yield (crop		
cutting, sampling method		

etc. Give details)						
13. Name of person from	D.SRINIVAS REDDY, SCIENTIST					
organizers present at the	J.VIJAY,SCIENTIST					
plot at the time of	E. RAMESH & FARMERS					
estimate of yield to						
record it accurately.						
14. Estimate of yield						
(a) Grain	70.3Q/ha	68.8q/ha				
(b) Straw	36Q/ha	35.2q/ha				
15. Gross Income (ha)						
(a) Value of grain (Rs.)	Rs 127594.5/-	Rs 124872-				
(b) Value of straw (Rs.)	Rs 1100/-	Rs 950/-				
16. Cost of cultivation	Rs 39,000/-	Rs 42,000/-				
(ha), Give some major	Puddling, Transplanting and Harvesting	Puddling, Transplanting and				
items of expenditure.		Harvesting				

17.	Net profit to the farmer by	Rs 7150/-
	adopting the technology (Rs/ha.)	
18.	Farmers Reactions (In details)	Farmers feel happy with this low cost of cultivation and
		low cost of irrigation
19.	Reaction of neighbouring farmers	Neighbouring farmers also Intersted on this low cost of
		cultivation.
20.	Number of visits made to the plot	5 Times visited
	with dates and observations made	
	in each trip.	

#### **Uttar Pradesh**

Rice is grown in all the 72 districts of Uttar Pradesh with low to high acreage. It is part of the nearly every meal and it is grown on a majority of the rural farms. Uttar Pradesh is the leading producer of rice and rank 3rd in the country. In UttarPradesh rice is cultivated in an area of 59.47 lakh ha with a productivity of 2.358 t/ha and the annual rice production is 140.22 lakh tons.

Rice is cultivated mainly in Kharif season (wet season) in around 5.90 million hectare followed by zaid (summer season) 40,000 hectare only. As regards the boro rice, it is grown in only deeply flooded areas around 3000 ha or so mainly in the eastern districts of the Uttar Pradesh. The average productivity of the state is around 2 t/ha.

Crop Research Station, Masodha conducted 25 demonstrations in different blocks of Ayodhya, Gonda and Azamgarh on Narendra Sona, Sambha Sub- 1, NDR 2101 along with INM. The varietal demonstration of Narendra Sona and NDR 2101 gave a yield advantage of nearly 35% and 40% where as it was more when combined with INM practices in case of NDR 2101 (43%).

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sir Higginbothom Institute of Agriculture, Science and Technology (SHIAST) has conducted 10 FLDs each on SHIATS DHAN -1, SHIATS DHAN 3, and SHIATS DHAN 5 in Pragyaraj district.

S.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD	Check	% Yield
No.	Demonstrated	(ha)			Yield	Yield	Advantage
					(t/ha)	(t/ha)	
1.	Narendra Sona	10.4	NDR 359	Gonda,	5.28	3.92	34.69
				Ayodhya			
2.	Sambha Sub-1	3.2	BPT 5205, NDR 359,	Azamgarh,	5.19	3.95	31.39
			Sarjoo 52	Ayodhya,			
				Gonda			
3.	Sambha Sub-1 +	3.6	Jal Lahri, NDR 359,	Ayodhya	5.08	3.75	35.47
	INM		BPT 5204				
4.	Narendra Sona	2	BPT 5204, NDR 359,	Ayodhya	5.09	4.02	26.62
	+ INM		Sarjoo 52				
5.	NDR 2101	4.2	Sambha Mahsuri,	Ayodhya,	5.4	3.86	39.90
			NDR 359	Palpura			
6.	NDR 2101 +	1.6	NDR 359	Ayodhya,	5.36	3.75	42.93
	INM			Palpura			
7.	SHIATS Dhan 1	3	NDR 359	Pragyaraj	5.56	4.35	27.82
			Sonam				
8.	SHIATS Dhan 3	3	NDR 359	Pragyaraj	5.30	4.35	21.84
			Sonam				
9.	SHIATS Dhan 5	4	NDR 359	Pragyaraj	5.39	4.60	17.17
			Sonam				
10	Pusa 1718	3	Pusa 1121	Gautam	5.54	5.09	8.84
				Budh Nagar			
11	Pusa-1509	3	Pusa 1121	Gautam	5.28	5.09	3.73
				Budh Nagar			



Amity Centre for Agricultural Extension Services (ACAES), Amity University, Noida conducted 10 FLDs in 15 farmer's field in blocks Jewar and Dadri district Gautam Budh Nagar. The scented varieties Pusa-1718 and Pusa 1509 were demonstrated and highest yield was found in Pusa-1509 i.e. 5.54 t/ha followed by Pusa 1718 i.e. 5.28 t/ha in both the villages. The farmers were satistied with both these varieties for its higher yield and the high market price.









## Frontline Demonstration on Rice (2019-20)



Uttarakhand

Rice is the major cereal crop of kharif season accounting for more than 54 percent of the total area under cereals in the state. In Uttarakhand rice is cultivated in an area of 2.8 lakh ha with a productivity of 2.12 t/ha the annual rice production is 5.99 lakh tons. There are two distinct rice ecosystems in the state. District Udham Singh Nagar, Hardwar and plains of Nainital and Dehradun districts grow rice under irrigated ecosystem. Rest of the nine districts viz., Almora, Bageshwar, Champawat, Pithoragarh, Chamoli, Uttarkashi, Rudraprayag, Pauri, Tehri and hill regions of districts Dehradun and Nainital are under rainfed upland ecosystem. In case of Hill ecosystems there is a need to introduce the most location specific high yielding varieties.

To realise this objective, VPKAS, Almora conducted five demonstrations on VL Dhan 68. This variety was released for the irrigated transplanted medium duration condition of the mid-hills of Uttarakhand and Meghalaya. It matures within 125-130 days and can give yield up to 4.5 tonnes per hectare under standard agronomic practices. It is also resistant to blast, the most important disease of rice in the hills. This variety was demonstrated in the five hectares in Almora district and it exhibited about 21.86% yield advantage over the local checks.

S.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD	Check	% Yield
No.	Demonstrated	(ha)			Yield	Yield	Advantage
					(t/ha)	(t/ha)	
1.	VL Dhan 68	5	Thapachini,	Toonakoat,	4.17	3.42	21.86
			China 4,	Tipola,			
			Taichung	Block-			
				Tarikhet ,			
				District-			
				Almora			





# **ANNEXURE**

## Details of the Farmers conducting FLDs during kharif 2019

1. Village- Toonakoat, Block- Tarikhet, District-Almora, Uttarakhand NSD/TPD/ DOH: 16-20.05.19/18- 24.06.19/27-30.09.19. 01-02.10.19							
SINO	Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	Categories (SC/ST/OBC/ Gen) & Gender (Male/Female)	VL	Dhan 68	Local Check (Taichung)		
			Area (ha)	Production (q)	Area (ha)	Production(q)	
1.	Sri Sher Singh S/o Sri Godhan Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	40.00	0.04	30.00	
2.	Sri Bache Singh S/o Sri Pratap Singh	Gen/Male	0.2	37.80	0.08	27.50	
3.	Sri Jaman Singh S/o Sri Gusain Singh	Gen/Male	0.2	41.50	0.06	32.50	
4.	Sri Bache Singh S/o Sri Dewan Singh	Gen/Male	0.2	41.00	0.08	33.50	
5.	Sri Pan Singh S/o Sri Dhan Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	37.50	0.08	34.00	
6.	Sri Gulab Singh S/o Sri Dhan Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	40.50	0.06	35.00	
7.	Sri Harbansh Singh S/o Sri Bhopal Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	41.50	0.06	32.50	
8.	Sri Gopal Singh S/o Sri Umed Singh	Gen/Male	0.08	42.00	0.04	31.00	
9.	Sri Sunil Singh S/o Sri Dharam Singh	Gen/Male	0.2	39.00	0.08	30.00	
10.	Sri Gopal Singh S/o Sri Bhawan Singh	Gen/Male	0.2	41.00	0.08	34.00	
11.	Sri Bhagwat Singh S/o Sri Dhan Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	40.00	0.06	34.00	
12.	Smt. Parwati Devi W/o Sri Nandan Singh	Gen/Female	0.08	40.50	0.06	32.50	
13.	Sri Bhagwat Singh S/o Sri Joga Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	42.50	0.04	32.00	

14.	Sri Dhan Singh S/o Sri Joga Singh	Gen/Male	0.2	43.00	0.02	33.00
15.	Sri Kharak Singh S/o Sri Gaje Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	40.50	0.04	32.50
16.	Sri Madan Singh S/o Sri Gaje Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	39.50	0.06	34.50
17.	Sri Bhim Singh S/o Sri Jaimal Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	38.50	0.08	32.50
18.	Sri Gopal Singh S/o Sri Madan Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	40.00	0.02	34.50
19.	Sri Santosh Singh S/o Sri Dalip Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	39.00	0.06	35.00
20.	Smt. Pana Devi W/o Sri Pan Singh	Gen/Female	0.12	42.00	0.04	35.50
21.	Sri Sunder Singh S/o Sri Lal Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	41.00	0.04	32.00
22.	Smt. Parwati Devi W/o Sri Narayan Singh	Gen/Female	0.2	43.50	0.04	34.00
23.	Sri Rajan Singh S/o Sri Kalu Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	42.50	0.04	32.00
24.	Sri Dewan Singh S/o Sri Umed Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	42.00	0.04	34.50
25.	Sri Dalip Singh S/o Sri Bhawan Singh	Gen/Male	0.08	45.00	0.04	32.50
	Total		3.44	40.85	1.34	32.84
2. Vill 24.06.	age- Tipola, Block- Tarikhe 19/27-30.09.19, 02.10.19	et , District- Almor	a, Uttarakha	nd NSD/TPD/ [	OOH: 16-20.0	5.19/18-
SI No	Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	Categories (SC/ST/OBC/ Gen) & Gender (Male/Female)	IC VL Dhan 68		LC (Bauran dhan/ Ghudia Lal dhan)	
			Area (ha)	Production (q)	Area (ha)	Production (q)
1.	Sri Anand Singh Jeena S/o Sri Heera Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	42.50	0.06	33.50
2.	Sri Pan Singh S/o Sri Heera Singh	Gen/Male	0.06	40.00	0.04	36.00
3.	Sri Syam Singh S/o Sri Pratap Singh	Gen/Male	0.08	41.50	0.1	37.00
4.	Sri Ram Singh S/o Sri Pratap Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	42.00	0.04	36.50
5.	Sri Raghuveer Singh S/o Sri Tej Singh	Gen/Male	0.06	39.50	0.04	34.50
6.	Sri Thakur Singh S/o Sri Mohan Singh	Gen/Male	0.06	39.00	0.02	36.00
7	Sri Jwala Singh S/o Sri	Gen/Male	0.08	37.50	0.06	36.50

8.	Sri Ram Singh S/o Sri Nar Singh	Gen/Male	0.12	40.50	0.02	32.50			
9.	Sri Pan Singh S/o Sri Kisan Singh	Gen/Male	0.08	39.50	0.06	33.00			
10.	Smt. Radhika Devi W/o Sri Kishan Singh	Gen/Female	0.06	42.50	0.02	34.50			
11.	Sri Puran Giri S/o Sri Bhairab Giri	OBC/Male	0.06	42.00	0.02	34.00			
12.	Sri Heera Singh S/o Sri Pratap Singh	Gen/Male	0.08	39.00	0.06	35.00			
13.	Sri Pratap Singh S/o Sri Jwala Singh	Gen/Male	0.06	38.50	0.08	34.50			
	Total		1.04	40.31	0.62	34.88			
3. Vill 16.05.	age- Rawalsera , Block- I 19/21.06.19/30.09.19	Dwarahaat, Distr	ict- Almora U	Ittarakhand NS	D/TPD/ DOI	1:			
SI No	Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	Categories (SC/ST/OBC/	IC VL Dhan 68		LC (China 4,				
		Gen) & Gender (Male/Female)			Thapachi ni )				
			Area (ha)	Production(q)	Area (ha)	Production(q)			
1.	Sri Syam Singh S/o Sri Ramesh Singh	Gen/male	0.3	45.00	0.1	36.00			
	Total		0.3	45.00	0.1	36.00			
4. Village- Basulisera , Block- Dwarahaat , District- Almora Uttarakhand NSD/TPD/ DOH:									
4. Villa	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv	varahaat , District	- Almora Utt	arakhand NSD/	TPD/ DOH:				
4. Villa 15.05.	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19	varahaat , District	- Almora Utt	arakhand NSD/	TPD/ DOH:				
4. Villa 15.05. Sl No	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and	varahaat , District Categories	- Almora Utt	arakhand NSD/	<b>TPD/ DOH:</b> LC ( China				
4. Villa 15.05. Sl No	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68	arakhand NSD/	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4,				
4. Villa 15.05. Sl No	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en)	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68	arakhand NSD/	<b>TPD/ DOH:</b> LC ( China 4, Thapachi				
<b>4. Vill</b> <b>15.05.</b> Sl No	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female)	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68	arakhand NSD/	<b>TPD/ DOH:</b> LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni )				
<b>4. Vill</b> <b>15.05.</b> SI No	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female)	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha)	arakhand NSD/ Production (q)	<b>TPD/ DOH:</b> LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha)	Production(q)			
<ul> <li>4. Villa</li> <li>15.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> <li>1.</li> </ul>	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12	Production (q) 42.50	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha) 0.08	Production(q) 34.00			
4. Villa 15.05. SI No 1.	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh Total	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12 0.12	Production (q) 42.50	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha) 0.08 0.08	Production(q) 34.00 34.00			
<ul> <li>4. Villa</li> <li>15.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> <li>1.</li> <li>5.Villa</li> <li>13.05.</li> </ul>	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh Total ge- Dhaunigarh , Block- Ta 19/16.06.19/28.09.19	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male akula, District- Alr	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12 0.12 mora Uttarak	Production (q) 42.50 hand NSD/TPD/	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha) 0.08 0.08 DOH:	Production(q) 34.00 34.00			
<ul> <li>4. Villa</li> <li>15.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> <li>1.</li> <li>5.Villa</li> <li>13.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> </ul>	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh Total ge- Dhaunigarh , Block- Ta 19/16.06.19/28.09.19 Farmer's Name and	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male akula, District- Alr	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12 0.12 nora Uttarak	Production (q) 42.50 hand NSD/TPD/	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha) 0.08 0.08 DOH: LC ( China	Production(q) 34.00 34.00			
<ul> <li>4. Villa</li> <li>15.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> <li>1.</li> <li>5.Villa</li> <li>13.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> </ul>	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh Total ge- Dhaunigarh , Block- Ta 19/16.06.19/28.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male Gen/male categories (SC/ST/OBC/G	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12 0.12 nora Uttarak IC VL Dhan 68	Production (q) 42.50 hand NSD/TPD/	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha) 0.08 0.08 0.08 DOH: LC ( China 4,	Production(q) 34.00 34.00			
<ul> <li>4. Villa</li> <li>15.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> <li>1.</li> <li>5.Villa</li> <li>13.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> </ul>	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh Total ge- Dhaunigarh , Block- Ta 19/16.06.19/28.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male Gen/male Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en)	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12 0.12 0.12 nora Uttarak IC VL Dhan 68	Production (q) 42.50 hand NSD/TPD/	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha) 0.08 0.08 DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi	Production(q) 34.00 34.00			
<ul> <li>4. Villa</li> <li>15.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> <li>1.</li> <li>5.Villa</li> <li>13.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> </ul>	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh Total ge- Dhaunigarh , Block- Ta 19/16.06.19/28.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male Gen/male Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female)	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12 0.12 0.12 Mora Uttarak IC VL Dhan 68	Production (q) 42.50 hand NSD/TPD/	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha) 0.08 0.08 DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni )	Production(q) 34.00 34.00			
4. Villa 15.05. SI No 1. 5.Villa 13.05. SI No	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh Total ge- Dhaunigarh , Block- Ta 19/16.06.19/28.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name	varahaat , District Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male Gen/male Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female)	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12 0.12 0.12 Nora Uttarak IC VL Dhan 68	Production (q) 42.50 <b>hand NSD/TPD/</b>	TPD/ DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha) 0.08 0.08 DOH: LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni ) Area (ha)	Production(q) 34.00 34.00 Production(q)			
<ul> <li>4. Villa</li> <li>15.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> <li>1.</li> <li>5. Villa</li> <li>1. 3.05.</li> <li>SI No</li> </ul>	age- Basulisera , Block- Dv 19/19.06.19/29.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Laxman Singh S/o Sri Narayan Singh Total ge- Dhaunigarh , Block- Ta 19/16.06.19/28.09.19 Farmer's Name and Fathers/Husband name Sri Hari Singh Bora S/o Narayan Singh Bora	Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male Gen/male Categories (SC/ST/OBC/G en) & Gender (Male/Female) Gen/male	- Almora Utt IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12 0.12 0.12 Mora Uttarak IC VL Dhan 68 Area (ha) 0.12	Production (q) 42.50 42.50 hand NSD/TPD/ Production (q) 40.00	<ul> <li>TPD/ DOH:</li> <li>LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni )</li> <li>Area (ha)</li> <li>0.08</li> <li>0.08</li> <li>DOH:</li> <li>LC ( China 4, Thapachi ni )</li> <li>Area (ha)</li> <li>0.06</li> </ul>	Production(q) 34.00 34.00 Production(q) 33.50			

#### West Bengal

In West Bengal, rice has been cultivated in an area of 55.12 lakh ha with a productivity of 4.49 t/ha (paddy) with annual production is 247.6 lakh tons (paddy)[2018-19]. Rice is grown in the state under varying eco-systems on a variety of soils under varying climatic and hydrological conditions ranging from waterlogged and poorly drained to well drained situations and by the adaptation of rice to these agro-ecological factors. In general, four major rice eco-systems viz. Irrigated, Rainfed (Lowland & Upland), Coastal-saline and Flood-prone prevail in the state. All these rice eco-systems are predominant in different agro-climatic zones and farmers manoeuvre these to suit their needs with their past experiences of growing rice.

Rice Research Station (Govt. of West Bengal), Chinsurah (Hooghly) conducted 40 FLDs on a cafeteria of improved varieties and crop management techniques under different ecosystems in Seven districts (Howrah, Hooghly,Purba Bardhaman, Nadia, Alipurduar, CoochBehar and South 24 Parganas) in collaboration with Hooghly Krishi Vigyan Kendra (BCKV), Chinsurah (Hooghly); Village Welfare Soceity (VWS), Pancharul, Howrah; Nadia Zilla Farmers' Development Organization (NZFDO), Birnagar, Nadia; Digsui Large Sized Primary Co Op Agricultural Credit Soceity Ltd., Chinsurah- Mograh, Hooghly; BSSMP Bahumukhi Samabay Samity, Dhaniakhali Hooghly; Pakri Radhanagar Samabay Krishi Unnayan Samity Ltd., Pandua, Hooghly; Digha Gobindapur Samabay Krishi Unnayan SamityLtd., Ausgram, Purba Bardhaman; Tapshikhata Service Cooperative Society Ltd , Alipurduar-I, Alipurduar; Sabujsathi Farmers Club, Jiranpur, CoochBehar; Paribesh Unnayan Parishad, Sagar, 24 Parganas(South) and Tagore Society for Rural Development, Rangabelia Project, Gosaba, 24 Parganas (South ).

During Kharif 2019, twelve improved rice varieties were demonstrated in farmers' fields under different rice ecosystems in 13 villages of 4 districts (Howrah, Hooghly, Nadia and South 24 Parganas). They exhibited yield advantages to the extent of 12.0 - 37.8% in Irrigated Up / Medium Land, 9.6 - 13.0 in rainfed shallow 24.8 - 67.2 in Rainfed Semideep and 24.3 - 28.7 in coastal saline / Flood prone ecosystem when demonstrated with whole package of practices.

In addition to improved varieties, improved crop management techniques were also demonstrated at farmers' fields in a total of 10 villages under 7 CD Blocks in the 5 districts viz. Hooghly, Purba Bardhaman, Alipurduar, CoochBehar and South 24 Parganas. Compared with farmers' practice, yield advantages were recorded to the tune of 17.7 – 33.4 % with Machine Transplanter, 8.9 % with Dry DSR, 8.9 – 30.5 % with Integrated Pest / Disease Management (IPM /IDM), 37.5 % with Integrated Weed Management (IWM) and 20.0 % with Organic Cultivation of Rice.

Directorate of Agriculture (Govt. of West Bengal) demonstrated demonstration on Resource Conservation Technology with DRR Dhan 42 and Sahabagidhan in 54 farmers' fields of Purulia district during 2019-20. Nearly 15% was observed due to the intervention of resource conservation technology. Site-Specific Nutrient Management (Nutrient Expert) demonstration was undertaken in 15 farmers' fields and about 12% yield advantage was observed.

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD	Check	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)		(Name of the village,	Yield	Yield	Advantage
1	Improved Variaty	1.0	lamuna	BIOCK, DISTRICT)	(t/na)	(t/na)	27.5
1.	Manisha	1.0	Jamuna	Udaynarayannur Distt	4.95	3.00	37.5
	Ividilistia			– Howrah			
2	Improved Variety –	10	Pratiksha	Do - Khorda Itarai	5 40	4 50	20.0
2.	Bhupesh	1.0	Tracitoria	Block -	5.10	1.50	20.0
				Udavnaravanpur. Distt			
				– Howrah			
3.	Ajit	1.0	Pratiksha	Vill:Uttar Harishpur	5.10	3.70	37.8
				Block -			
				Udaynarayanpur, Distt			
				– Howrah			
4.	Improved Variety –	3.0	Shatabdi	Vill- Patra Vitasin, Block	4.03	3.31	21.5
	Sukumar			– Pandua, Dist –			
			-	Hooghly			10 7
5.	Improved Variety –	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Canpahati Block –	4.65	4.13	12.7
	Wuktashree	2.0	Drotikaha	Vill Kamargaria	2 17	2.55	24.2
0.	Improved variety –	3.0	Pratiksna	Block Ranaghat -2	3.17	2.55	24.3
	WIUKLASHI'EE			Dt.Nadia			
7.	Improved Variety –	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Ranagar, Block –	4.74	4.19	13.0
	Swarnali			Pandua, Dist – Hooghly			
8.	Improved Variety –	1.0	Swarna	Vill-Katagora, Block-	5.10	4.65	9.68
	Amala			Dhaniakhali, Dist-			
				Hooghly			
9.	Improved Variety –	1.0	Swarna	Vill-Katagora, Block-	4.87	3.90	24.8
	Sujala			Dhaniakhali, Dist-			
10		1.0	Na sa ki	Hooghly	4.60	2.75	67.2
10.	Improved variety –	1.0	iviegni	Rlock-Ranaghat-1 Dt	4.60	2.75	67.2
	NdildK			Nadia			
11.	Improved Variety –	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Kirtankhali, Block –	Cro	o was dar	naged by
	Gosaba 5			Sagar, Dist - 24	inund	ation due	to cyclone
				Parganas (South)		ʻBulbu	ıl"
12.	Improved Variety –	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Pakhirala, Block-	4.60	3.70	24.3
	Gosaba 6			Gosaba, Dist - 24			
				Parganas (South)			
13.	Improved Variety –	1.0	CR 1017	Vill- Sonaga, Block-	4.57	3.55	28.7
	Rajendra Mashuri			Gosaba, Dist - 24			
1.4	Machina	0 0	Manual	Vill Palidanga Plack	101	207	25.06
14.	Transplanter	0.0	Transplatag	Dhaniakhali Diet	4.04	5.87	25.00
	mansplanter						
15	Drv DSR	5.0	Manual	Vill- Gobindapur, Block-	6.10	5.60	8.9
	.,		Transplntng	Ausgram–I, Dist- Purba	2.20	2.00	
				Bardhaman			

S. No.	Technology	Area	Local Check	FLD Location	FLD	Check	% Yield
	Demonstrated	(ha)		(Name of the village,	Yield	Yield	Advantage
				Block, District)	(t/ha)	(t/ha)	
16.	Integrated Pest	4.0	Farmers'	Vill- Pakri, Block-	4.22	3.5	20.57
	Management		Practice	Pandua, Dist-Hooghly			
17.	Integrated Disease	4.0	Farmers'	Vill : Digsui, Block:	4.7	3.93	19.59
	Management		Practice	Chinsurah Mogra, Dist-			
				Hooghly			
18.	Integrated Weed	1.0	Farmers'	Vill- Lahiripur, Block-	4.40	3.20	37.5
	Management		Practice	Gosaba, Dist - 24			
				Parganas (South)			
19.	Organic Rice	1.0	Farmers'	Vill- Dayapur, Block-	4.20	3.50	20.0
			Practice	Gosaba, Dist - 24			
				Parganas (South)			
20.	Resource	7	Conventional	Purulia	4.56	3.97	14.82
	Conservation		practices				
	Technology (with						
	Sahabagidhan and						
	DRR Dhan 42)						
21.	Site-Specific	3	Conventional	Purulia	4.49	4.0	12.27
	Nutrient		practices				
	Management						
	Nutrient Expert						
	(with						
	Sahabagidhan and						
	DRR Dhan 42)						







Results of FLDs on <u>Resource Conservation Technology (System of Assured Rice Production-Kharif or SARP-K)</u> in Kharif Rice in the District of Purulia (West Bengal) during 2019-20.

SI.	Name of Farmers		Farmer's Add	ress	FLD Are	Variety	Date of Sowing	Date of Transplanti	Grain yield (kg/ha)		Yield advanta
		Village	Mouza	Block	a (Big ha)		Johns	ng	FLD Plot	Convent ional	ge (%)
1.	Debendra Gorain	Chepra	Chepra	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	11.07.2019	03.09.2019	4687	4026	16.4
2.	Amarshankar Gorain	Chepra	Chepra	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	30.08.2019	4531	3942	14.9
3.	Madan Mahato	Dubcharka	Dubcharka	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	29.08.2019	4765	4129	15.4
4.	Kajal Mahato	Dubcharka	Dubcharka	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	01.08.2019	4828	4085	18.2
5.	Kartik Paramanik	Dumdumi	Dumdumi	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	30.08.2019	4501	3958	13.7
6.	Manindranath Mahato	Dumdumi	Dumdumi	Purulia-II	1.5	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	30.08.2019	4682	4162	12.5
7.	Samaresh Mahato	Dumdumi	Dumdumi	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	29.08.2019	4685	4125	13.6
8.	Parikhit Mahato	Dumdumi	Dumdumi	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	09.07.2019	28.08.2019	4520	3886	16.3
9.	Bhimchandra Mahato	Dumdumi	Dumdumi	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	28.08.2019	4586	3908	17.3
10.	Gourchandra Mahato	Dumdumi	Dumdumi	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	29.08.2019	4791	3926	22.0
11.	Sarbbeswar Mahato	Palashkala	Palashkala	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	11.07.2019	01.08.2019	4572	3985	14.7
12.	Malati Mahato	Palashkala	Palashkala	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	29.08.2019	4623	3920	17.9
13.	Budhan Mahato	Palashkala	Palashkala	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	29.08.2019	4480	3965	13.0
14.	Buddheswar Mahato	Palashkala	Palashkala	Purulia-II	1.5	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	30.08.2019	4604	4185	10.0

15.	Santosh Mahato	Pindra	Pindra	Purulia-II	1.5	DRR 42	09.07.2019	02.09.2019	4595	4087	12.4
16.	Anupam Mahato	Pindra	Pindra	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	30.08.2019	4620	4010	15.2
17.	Asitbushan Mahato	Pindra	Pindra	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	30.08.2019	4858	4286	13.3
18.	Mrinal Kanti Mahato	Pindra	Pindra	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	29.08.2019	4702	4189	12.2
19.	Nimai Chandra Mandi	Udalbani	Udalbani	Bandwan	1.5	DRR 42	09.07.2019	01.08.2019	4760	4283	11.1
20.	Joyram Murmu	Udalbani	Udalbani	Bandwan	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	25.08.2019	4420	4087	8.1
21.	Akil Mandi	Udalbani	Udalbani	Bandwan	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	26.08.2019	4625	3985	16.1
22.	Anata Hembram	Udalbani	Udalbani	Bandwan	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	27.08.2019	4423	3659	20.9
23.	Gisunath Mandi	Udalbani	Udalbani	Bandwan	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	22.08.2019	4429	3850	15.0
24.	Thularam Baskey	Kendapara	Kendapara	Bandwan	1.5	DRR 42	11.07.2019	26.08.2019	4438	4165	6.6
25.	Dipankar Mandi	Kendapara	Kendapara	Bandwan	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	25.08.2019	4395	3985	10.3
26.	Sanath Baskey	Kendapara	Kendapara	Bandwan	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	24.08.2019	4792	3878	23.6
27.	Sahebram Besra	Jamunagora	Jamunagora	Bandwan	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	24.08.2019	4498	4058	10.8
28.	Guhiram Mandi	Jamunagora	Jamunagora	Bandwan	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	21.08.2019	4797	4129	16.2
29.	DhirenSingh Sarder	Chirugora	Chirugora	Bandwan	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	09.07.2019	20.08.2019	4489	4289	4.7
30.	Birsingh Sarder	Chirugora	Chirugora	Bandwan	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	22.08.2019	4586	3958	15.9
31.	Shiboram Bauri	Monogram	Kashibera	Raghunathp II	1.5	Sahbhagi Dhan	12.07.2019	25.08.2019	4365	3852	13.3
32.	Nirodbaran Bauri	Monogram	Kashibera	Raghunathp II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	13.07.2019	26.08.2019	4382	3682	19.0

33.	Gobinda Bauri	Monogram	Kashibera	Raghunathp II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	13.07.2019	22.08.2019	4386	3950	11.0
34.	Madhav Bauri	Monogram	Kashibera	Raghunathp II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	13.07.2019	24.08.2019	4289	3859	11.1
35.	Mangala Bauri	Monogram	Kashibera	Raghunathp II	1.0	DRR 42	13.07.2019	24.08.2019	4312	3847	12.1
36.	Kartick Bauri	Monogram	Kashibera	Raghunathp II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	13.07.2019	26.08.2019	4295	3875	10.8
37.	Satyaban Kumar	Patrahatu	Patrahatu	Jhalda-II	1.5	DRR 42	09.07.2019	26.08.2019	5254	4824	8.9
38.	Paban Kumar	Patrahatu	Patrahatu	Jhalda-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	27.08.2019	5257	4682	12.3
39.	Bikash Mahato	Radhanagar	Bhotadi	Puncha	1.5	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	26.08.2019	4586	3725	23.1
40.	Madan Mahato	Radhanagar	Bhotadi	Puncha	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	25.08.2019	4658	3985	16.9
41.	Subhendu Mahato	Ramkrishna pur	Ramkrishna	Para	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	9.07.2019	26.08.2019	4325	3602	20.1
42.	Ashok Mahato	Ramkrishna pur	Ramkrishna	Para	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	11.07.2019	27.08.2019	4428	3852	15.0
43.	Arjun Mahato	Bhalagora	Bhalagora	Para	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	27.08.2019	4530	3785	19.7
44.	Suttam Mahato	Bhalagora	Bhalagora	Para	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	26.08.2019	4278	3806	12.4
45.	Milan Banerjee	Bhalagora	Bhalagora	Para	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	25.08.2019	4358	3835	13.6
46.	Judhisthir Mahato	Bhalagora	Bhalagora	Para	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	09.07.2019	24.08.2019	4502	3980	13.1
47.	Dasorathi Mahato	Bhalagora	Bhalagora	Para	1.5	Sahbhagi Dhan	09.07.2019	24.08.2019	4369	3568	22.4
48.	Biswanath Mahato	Aharrah	Aharrah	Arsha	1.5	DRR 42	09.07.2019	25.08.2019	4312	3758	14.7
49.	Kalipada Mahato	Aharrah	Aharrah	Arsha	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	11.07.2019	29.08.2019	4612	3985	15.7
50.	Subodh Rajwar	Aharrah	Aharrah	Arsha	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	28.08.2019	4682	4185	11.9

51.	Sristidhar Mahato	Aharrah	Aharrah	Arsha	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	19.08.2019	4425	3754	17.9
52.	Gurupada Mahato	Aharrah	Aharrah	Arsha	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	22.08.2019	4362	3686	18.3
53.	Madhusudan Mahato	Aharrah	Aharrah	Arsha	1.5	Sahbhagi Dhan	11.07.2019	23.08.2019	4725	3982	18.7
54.	Shaileswar Mahato	Khududih	Khududih	Baghmundi	1.5	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	21.08.2019	4250	3558	19.4
	Average								4560	3976	14.82

Results of FLDs on Site-Specific Nutrient Management (Nutrient Expert) in Kharif Rice in the District of Purulia (West Bengal) during 2019-20.

	Farmer's Address			FLD	variety	Date of	Date of	Grain	i yield	Yield
				Are		Sowing	Transplanti	(kg	/ha)	advanta
	Village	Mouza	Block	а			ng	FLD	Conve	ge (%)
				(Big				Plot	ntional	
				ha)						
Kenaram	Charrah	Charrah	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	14.08.2019	5025	4290	17.1
Chakraborty										
Soumen Dey	Charrah	Charrah	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	09.07.2019	14.08.2019	4888	4225	15.7
Sahadev Kabirai	Charrah	Charrah	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	14.08.2019	5290	4756	11.2
		0						0100		
Rabilochan Mahato	Bonagabari	Bongabari	Purulia-II	1.0	DRR 42	09.07.2019	11.08.2019	4658	3985	16.9
Bijoykrishan Mahato	Bonagabari	Bongabari	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	09.07.2019	10.08.2019	4552	3952	15.2
Mihir Mahato	Bonagabari	Bongabari	Purulia-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	11.08.2019	4438	3895	13.9
Kanai Chandra	Patrahatu	Patrahatu	Jhalda-ll	1.0	DRR 42	11.07.2019	20.08.2019	4186	3785	10.6
Kumar										
Subhash Kumar	Patrahatu	Patrahatu	Jhalda-II	1.0	DRR 42	10.07.2019	18.08.2019	4804	4142	16.0
Manohar Kumar	Patrahatu	Patrahatu	Jhalda-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	18.08.2019	4385	3958	10.8
	ienaram ihakraborty oumen Dey ahadev Kabiraj abilochan Mahato ijoykrishan Mahato Aihir Mahato ianai Chandra iubhash Kumar Manohar Kumar	Villageienaram CharrahCharrahihakrabortyCharrahoumen DeyCharrahahadev KabirajCharrahahadev KabirajCharrahabilochan MahatoBonagabariBijoykrishan MahatoBonagabariAihir MahatoBonagabariGanaiChandra ChandraChandra Cubhash KumarPatrahatuManohar KumarPatrahatu	VillageMouzaienaram chakrabortyCharrahCharrahienaram chakrabortyCharrahCharrahoumen DeyCharrahCharrahahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahahadev KabirajCharrahBonagabariabilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariBijoykrishan MahatoBonagabariBongabariAihir MahatoBonagabariBongabariGanaiChandra chandraPatrahatuGubhash KumarPatrahatuPatrahatuManohar KumarPatrahatuPatrahatu	VillageMouzaBlockLenaram hakrabortyCharrahCharrahPurulia-IILenaram hakrabortyCharrahCharrahPurulia-IIJakadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-IILabilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-IILabilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariJhalda-IILanaiChandraPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-IILanaiPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-IILanaiPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-IILanaiPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-IILanaiPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-IILanaiPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-IILanaiPatrahatuPatrahatuI	VillageMouzaBlockAre a (Big ha)ienaram 	VillageMouzaBlockAre a (Big ha)ienaram hakrabortyCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 42oumen DeyCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhanahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 42iabilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0DRR 42iijoykrishan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi DhanAlhir MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi DhanAlhir MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi DhanAlhir MahatoPatrahatuPatrahatuPatrahatuInalda-II1.0DRR 42AnaniChandraPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 42Anohar KumarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan	Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)SowingSenaram (hakraborty)CharrahMouzaBlocka (Big ha)a (Big ha)09.07.2019Senaram (hakraborty)CharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.2019ahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.2019ahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.2019abilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.2019ahadev KabirajBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.2019ahadev KabirajBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.2019ahadev KabirajBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.2019ahadev KabirajBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.2019ahadev KabirajPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4211.07.2019anaiChandraPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.2019anaiChandraPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.2019anaiChandraPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan <td>Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Sowing rransplanti ngTransplanti ngenaram (hakraborty)CharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.2019indadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201914.08.2019ahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.2019ahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.2019abilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201911.08.2019ijoykrishan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201911.08.2019Aihir MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201911.08.2019AinaiCharrahPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4211.07.201910.08.2019AinaiCharrahPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4210.07.201918.08.2019Aunohar KumarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.201918.08.2019Aunohar KumarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.201918.08.2019</td> <td>Are a (big ha)SowingTransplanti ng(kg FLD PlotVillageMouzaBlocka (Big ha)a (Big ha)a (Big ha)a (Big ha)a (Big ha)fl.D plotfl.D plotenaram (hakraborty)CharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.20195025oumen DeyCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201914.08.20195290ahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.20195290abilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201911.08.20194658ijoykrishan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201911.08.201944552Alhir MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.201911.08.20194438anai (umar (umarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4211.07.201920.08.20194186Manohar KumarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4210.07.201918.08.20194804</td> <td>Are a (Big (Big)NouzaAre a (Big (Big)Sowing (Big)Transplanti (Mo(Kg/ha)VillageMouzaBlocka (Big) (ha)a (Big) (ha)<math>a</math> (Big) (ha)<math>a</math> (Big) (ha)<math>a</math> (Big) (ha)<math>a</math> (Big) (ha)<math>a</math> (Big) (Big)<math>b</math><math>FLD</math> (Rouse)<math>FLD</math> (Rouse)<math>FLD</math> (Rouse)<math>FLD</math> (Rouse)<math>FLD</math> (Rouse)<math>A</math> (Rouse)<math>a</math> (Rouse)<math>a</math> (Rouse)<math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><math>b</math><td< td=""></td<></td>	Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Are a (Big ha)Sowing rransplanti ngTransplanti ngenaram (hakraborty)CharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.2019indadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201914.08.2019ahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.2019ahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.2019abilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201911.08.2019ijoykrishan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201911.08.2019Aihir MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201911.08.2019AinaiCharrahPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4211.07.201910.08.2019AinaiCharrahPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4210.07.201918.08.2019Aunohar KumarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.201918.08.2019Aunohar KumarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.201918.08.2019	Are a (big ha)SowingTransplanti ng(kg FLD PlotVillageMouzaBlocka (Big ha)a (Big ha)a (Big ha)a (Big ha)a (Big ha)fl.D plotfl.D plotenaram (hakraborty)CharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.20195025oumen DeyCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201914.08.20195290ahadev KabirajCharrahCharrahPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201914.08.20195290abilochan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0DRR 4209.07.201911.08.20194658ijoykrishan MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan09.07.201911.08.201944552Alhir MahatoBonagabariBongabariPurulia-II1.0Sahbhagi Dhan10.07.201911.08.20194438anai (umar (umarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4211.07.201920.08.20194186Manohar KumarPatrahatuPatrahatuJhalda-II1.0DRR 4210.07.201918.08.20194804	Are a (Big (Big)NouzaAre a (Big (Big)Sowing (Big)Transplanti (Mo(Kg/ha)VillageMouzaBlocka (Big) (ha)a (Big) (ha) $a$ (Big) (ha) $a$ (Big) (ha) $a$ (Big) (ha) $a$ (Big) (ha) $a$ (Big) (Big) $b$ $FLD$ (Rouse) $FLD$ (Rouse) $FLD$ (Rouse) $FLD$ (Rouse) $FLD$ (Rouse) $A$ (Rouse) $a$ (Rouse) $a$ (Rouse) $b$ <td< td=""></td<>

10.	Padmalochan Kumar	Patrahatu	Patrahatu	Jhalda-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	17.08.2019	4286	3972	7.9
11.	Uttam Kumar	Patrahatu	Patrahatu	Jhalda-II	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	10.07.2019	18.08.2019	4275	3685	16.0
12.	Satyanarayan Mahato	Radhanaga r	Bhotadi	Puncha	1.0	DRR 42	12.07.2019	21.08.2019	4085	3775	8.2
13.	Nibaran Mahato	Radhanaga r	Bhotadi	Puncha	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	12.07.2019	22.08.2019	4358	4059	7.4
14.	Ashok Mahato	Radhanaga r	Bhotadi	Puncha	1.0	Sahbhagi Dhan	12.07.2019	22.08.2019	4202	3785	11.0
15.	Krishna Pada Mahato	Radhanaga r	Bhotadi	Puncha	1.0	DRR 42	11.07.2019	21.08.2019	3985	3756	6.1
	Average								4494	4001	12.27

#### IV. ECOSYSTEMWISE PERFORMANCE OF FLD TECHNOLOGIES

During the current year, demonstrations were conducted in different ecosystems viz., irrigated, rainfed uplands, shallow lowlands etc., The technologies demonstrated have recorded differential performance and yield advantages in different ecosystems. It may be noted here that the actual demonstration site may not be the true representative of a particular ecology. Care may be taken while undertaking the large scale adoption of those varieties / technologies for that ecosystem. While dealing with the results of FLDs ecosystem-wise resource constraints, socio-economic contexts, agro-climatic factors may be considered. Performance of a technology in well endowed areas may have several favorable factors associated with the recorded productivity.

State and agency / organization	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
Andhra Pradesh	BPT 2295 (2018)	4	BPT 5204	Guntur	7.06	6.56	7.62
Andhra Pradesh	Integrated Weed Management	10	Local practices with BPT 5204	Banavasi, Kurnool	6.91	5.98	15.55
Andhra Pradesh	Ksheera (MTU 1172 )	10	Swarna	Unguturu, Eluru, Mummidivaram, Yelamanchili	6.61	6.46	2.32
Andhra Pradesh	Varam (MTU 1190 )	10	BPT 5204	Akiveedu, Pentapadu, Ungaturu, Jaggampeta, Dendalur	6.28	5.71	9.98
Bihar	CR Dhan 909	10	Basmatiya, Swarna	Madhubani, Buxar district	5.47	4.35	25.86
Gujarat	GNR-5	4	Jaya	Navsari	5.23	4.69	11.51
Gujarat	GNR-7	26	GR 11	Navsari	5.18	4.48	15.63
Gujarat	Mahisagar	10	Local Varieties	Kheda, Ahmedabad, Anand, Mahisagar, Dahod	4.90	4.43	10.61
Gujarat	GAR-14	10	Local Varieties	Kheda, Ahmedabad, Anand, Baroda, Gandhinagar, Sabarkantha	4.45	3.70	20.27
Karnataka	AWD with HYV	10	Farmers' practices	Mandya and Tumkur districts	5.37	5.03	6.76
Karnataka	Daksha (KMP- 175) under aerobic conditions	10	Rasi	Mandya & Mysore District	5.00	4.00	25.00
Karnataka	B.R.R.I. Dhan-75	3	Local varieties	Mandya District	5.50	4.00	37.50
Karnataka	BINA Dhan-17	2	Local varieties	Mandya District	5.75	4.00	43.75

#### Performance of the demonstrated technologies in Irrigated Ecosystem

State and agency / organization	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
Karnataka	Alternate wetting and Drying method	5	Continuous flooding	Sriramanagar, Baragur	7.01	6.74	4.01
Karnataka	RP Bio-226	5	BPT-5204	Herur, Sriramanagar, Basavanna camp (Koppal Dt)	7.23	7.11	1.69
Karnataka	IPM in rice	5	Local practices	Village :Sriramanagar Taluka: Gangavathi District:Koppal	7.52	7.26	3.58
Kerala	KAU Akshaya	8.0	Uma, Ponmani	Palakkad, Idukki, Thrissur	6.75	6.00	12.50
Kerala	KAU Supriya	2.0	Uma	Palakkad	6.53	5.61	16.40
Kerala	MO 23 (Pournami)	5	Mo 16 (Uma)	Nedumudi & Kainakary village, Chambakulam block, Kuttanad Thaluk, Alappuzha district	6.33	5.32	20.13
Kerala	Multi nutrient foliar mix (Sampoorna developed by KAU)	5	Uma + without micronutrie nt application	Naluchira padasekharam Purakad Ambalapuzha Alappuzha	6.2	4.8	18.98
Kerala	'KAU Weed Wiper'	5	Mo 16 UMA	Alappuzha	4.6	3.7	29.17
Kerala	Management of glume discolouration disease	5	Mo 16 (Uma)	Naluchira padasekharam, Purakkad, Ambalapuzha, Alappuzha	5.77	4.16	24.32
Kerala	Conservation of natural enemies for the management of insect pests in rice	5	Mo 16 (Uma)	Champakkulam, Kuattanad, Alappuzha	3.87	4.82	-19.71
Madhya Pradesh	JRB 1	10	MTU 1010	V.Koste ,Bl. Waraseoni, Dist. Balaghat	5.27	4.53	16.34
Madhya Pradesh	JR 81	8	MTU 1010	V.Botta Hajari ,Bl. Lalburra, Dist. Balaghat	5.37	4.54	18.28
Maharashtra	Karjat 9	5	Local varieties	Raigad, Karjat	4.18	3.62	15.47
Maharashtra	Karjat 10	5	Local varieties	Karjat, raigad	4.75	4.23	12.29
Maharashtra	Ratnagiri-8 (Notified in 2019 CVRC)	20	Swarna	Palghar, Raigad, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg	5.76	4.49	28.29
Odisha	CR Dhan 100	50	Local varieties	Jajpur Cluster	4.13	4.01	2.99
Ödisha	CR Dhan 101		Local varieties	Kendrapada Cluster, Cuttack Cluster, Odapada- Dhenkanal Cluster	4.27	3.87	10.34
State and agency / organization	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check Location		FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
---------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------	--	---	---------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------
Odisha	CR Dhan 201		Local varieties	Jajpur Cluster	4.31	3.85	11.95
Odisha	CR Dhan 203		Local varieties	Jajpur Cluster	4.11	4.00	2.75
Odisha	CR Dhan 204		Local varieties	Jajpur Cluster	4.28	3.95	8.35
Odisha	CR Dhan 205		Local varieties	Local Kendrapada varieties Cluster, Cuttack Cluster, Odapada- Dhenkanal Cluster		3.93	7.63
Odisha	CR Dhan 206		Local varieties	Dhenkanal cluster	5.03	4.25	18.35
Odisha	CR Dhan 304		Local varieties	Dhenkanal cluster	5.00	4.25	17.65
Odisha	CR Dhan 306		Local varieties	Dhenkanal Sadar- Dhenkanal cluster (	6.00	4.80	25.00
Odisha	CR Dhan 310		Local varieties	Dhenkanal Cluster, Cuttack Cluster, Kendrapada cluster	4.73	4.25	11.29
Odisha	CR Dhan 311		Local varieties	Jajpur cluster	4.90	4.30	13.95
Odisha	CR Dhan 601		Local varieties	Jajpur cluster	5.24	4.35	20.46
Odisha	Hasanta	10	Swarna	Swarna At/PO-Bhakar Sahi Block- Balipatna DistKhordha		4.50	19.78
Tamil Nadu	ADT 51	15	CR 1009	Ariyalur, Thanjavur, Thiruvarur, Nagapattinam	6.42	5.82	10.31
Tamil Nadu	ADT 53	15	ADT 43 and CO 51	Thanjavur, Thiruvarur, Nagapattinam	6.09	5.46	11.54
Tamil Nadu	IPDM with ADT 51	10	Farmers Practices	Nannilam block of Thiruvarur and Nagapattinam districts	5.97	4.12	44.90
Tamil Nadu	CO 52	30	Improved White Ponni, TKM 13, CO (R) 50, CO 43, ADT (R) 46, BPT 5204	Trichy, Dindigul, Villupuram and Theni	6.60	5.69	15.99
Tamil Nadu	Improved Samba Mahsuri	3	BPT 5204	Lalgudi	4.03	3.5	15.14
Tamil Nadu	DRR Dhan 44	2	BPT 5204	Lalgudi	3.96	3.5	13.14
Tamil Nadu	TKM 13	10	BPT 5204	Thiruvallur	5.61	4.95	13.33
Telangana	Mechanized transplanting	10	Manual transplantin g	Thudukurthy, Nandiwaddeman, Yendabetla, Nallavelli, Khanapur villages of Nagarkurnool and Kothathanda village of Mahabubnagar	6.72	6.50	3.3

State and agency / organization	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
Telangana	AWD in rice	5	Field	Peedshapur	6.13	5.91	3.7
			submergen ce	Burjugadda tanda			
Telangana	Chemical weed management	5	Hand weeding	Peedshapur Burjugadda tanda	6.2	6.0	3.3
Telangana	Integrated Weed Management + DRRDhan46	10	Local practices	Karimnagar	5.79	5.09	13.75
Telangana	Integrated Crop Management + JGL – 24423	10	MTU 1010	Karimnagar	7.03	6.88	2.18
Telangana	IPM + BRRI 75	10	MTU 1010	Karimnagar	7.01	6.85	2.34
Telangana	Direct sown rice through Drum seeder + BINA - 17	10	Convention al practices + KNM 118	Karimnagar	7.00	6.80	2.94
Telangana	RNR 15048	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur and Gurrappagudem	6.53	5.83	12.01
Telangana	BRRI 75	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur and Gurrappagudem	6.30	5.77	9.19
Telangana	KNM 118	5	BPT 5204	Agamothukur and Gurrappagudem	7.07	6.37	10.99
Telangana	WGL 44 (Siddhi)	10	BPT -5204	Paidipally Nagaram village of Parkal mandal, Warangal Rural district, Telangana	7.0	5.60	25
Telangana	WGL 915 (Warangal Rice 1)	4	MTU 1001	Kanaparthy village, Regonda mandal of Jayashankar Bhupalpally district, Telangana	6.46	5.77	11.86
Telangana	CGZR 2	5	BPT 5204	Mahabubnagar	4.88	3.50	39.43
Telangana	Protozin			Mahabubnagar	4.70	3.50	34.29
Telangana	Zincorice			Mahabubnagar	3.74	3.50	6.86
Tripura	Gomati, Tripura Nirog ICM	40					
Uttar Pradesh	NARENDRA SONA/ New Variety	10.4	NDR 359	Gonda, Ayodhya	5.28	3.92	34.69
Uttar Pradesh	Sambha Sub-1/ New Variety	3.2	BPT 5205, NDR 359, Sarjoo 52	Azamgarh, Ayodhya, Gonda	5.19	3.95	31.39
Uttar Pradesh	Sambha Sub-1/ INM	3.6	Jal Lahri, NDR 359, BPT 5204	Ayodhya	5.08	3.75	35.47
Uttar Pradesh	NARENDRA SONA/ INM	2	BPT 5204, NDR 359, Sarjoo 52	Ayodhya	5.09	4.02	26.62
Uttar Pradesh	NDR 2101/ New Variety	4.2	Sambha Mahsuri, NDR 359	Ayodhya, Palpura	5.4	3.86	39.90

State and agency / organization	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
Uttar Pradesh	NDR 2101/ INM	1.6	NDR 359	Ayodhya, Palpura	5.36	3.75	42.93
Uttar Pradesh	SHIATS Dhan 1	3	NDR 359 Sonam	Pragyaraj	5.56	4.35	27.82
Uttar Pradesh	SHIATS Dhan 3	3	NDR 359 Sonam	Pragyaraj	5.30	4.35	21.84
Uttar Pradesh	SHIATS Dhan 5	4	NDR 359 Sonam	Pragyaraj	5.39	4.60	17.17
Uttar Pradesh	Pusa 1718	3	Pusa 1121	Gautam Budh Nagar	5.54	5.09	8.84
Uttar Pradesh	Pusa-1509	3	Pusa 1121	Gautam Budh Nagar	5.28	5.09	3.73
Uttarakhand	VL Dhan 68	5	Thapachini, China 4, Taichung	Toonakoat, Tipola, Block- Tarikhet , District- Almora, Uttarakhand	4.17	3.42	21.86
West Bengal	Improved Variety - Manisha	1.0	Jamuna	Vill:Manashree Block - Udaynarayanpur, Distt – Howrah	4.95	3.60	37.5
West Bengal	Improved Variety – Bhupesh	1.0	Pratiksha	Do - Khorda Itarai, Block - Udaynarayanpur, Distt – Howrah	5.40	4.50	20.0
West Bengal	Ajit	1.0	Pratiksha	Vill:Uttar Harishpur Block - Udaynarayanpur, Distt – Howrah	5.10	3.70	37.8
West Bengal	Improved Variety – Sukumar	3.0	Shatabdi	Vill- Patra Vitasin, Block – Pandua, Dist – Hooghly	4.03	3.31	21.5
West Bengal	Improved Variety – Muktashree	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Canpahati Block – Pandua, Dist – Hooghly	4.65	4.13	12.7
West Bengal	Improved Variety – Muktashree	3.0	Pratiksha	Vill. Kamargeria Block Ranaghat -2 Dt.Nadia	3.17	2.55	24.3
West Bengal	Machine Transplanter	8.0	Manual Transplntng	Vill-Balidanga, Block- Dhaniakhali, Dist- Hooghly	4.84	3.87	25.06
West Bengal	Dry DSR	5.0	Manual Transpintng	Vill- Gobindapur, Block- Ausgram–I, Dist- Purba Bardhaman	6.10	5.60	8.9

State and agency / organization	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
West Bengal	Resource Conservation Technology (with Sahabagidhan and DRR Dhan 42)	7	Convention al practices	Purulia	4.56	3.97	14.82
West Bengal	Site-Specific Nutrient Management Nutrient Expert (with Sahabagidhan and DRR Dhan 42)	3	Convention al practices	Purulia	4.49	4.0	12.27
		577			4.99	4.06	22.99

# Performance of the demonstrated technologies in Rainfed Shallow Lowland Ecosystem

State and	Technologies	Area	Local check	Location	FLD Yield	Check	% Yield
agency / organization	demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	Yield (t/ha)	Advantage
Assam	CR Dhan 310	2	Ranjit	Village: Bongalpara Block: Hajo District: Kamrup	6.5	4.9	32.65
Assam	CR Dhan 909	23		Village: Bongalpara Block: Hajo District: Kamrup	Flood affected		
Chhattisgarh	IGKV R 1	2	Local varieties	Block- Saja, Abhanpur	5.34	4.69	13.86
Chhattisgarh	Indira Aerobic 1	5	Local varieties	Block- Saja, Abhanpur, Arang	4.18	3.74	11.76
Chhattisgarh	CG Devbhog	21	Local varieties	Block- Saja, Abhanpur, Arang, Patan	4.42	3.91	13.04
Chhattisgarh	CG Sugandhit Bhog	2	Local varieties	Block- Patan	4.65	4.10	13.41
Chhattisgarh	KRH 4	8	Local varieties	Khapri (Taluka: Girhola, District: Durg) Teliyapuran (Taluka: Semarsal, District: Mungel) Nawagarh (Taluka: Nawagarh District: Bemetara)	4.66	4.26	9.39
Odisha	Pratikshya	8	Local varieties		4.99	4.73	5.50
Odisha	Hasanta	2	Local varieties		5.66	4.97	13.88
West Bengal	Improved Variety – Swarnali	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Ranagar, Block – Pandua, Dist – Hooghly	4.74	4.19	13.0

West Bengal	Improved Variety – Amala	1.0	Swarna	Vill-Katagora, Block- Dhaniakhali, Dist- Hooghly	5.10	4.65	9.68
West Bengal	Integrated Pest Management	4.0	Farmers' Practice	Vill- Pakri, Block- Pandua, Dist- Hooghly	4.22	3.5	20.57
West Bengal	Integrated Disease Management	4.0	Farmers' Practice	Vill : Digsui, Block: Chinsurah Mogra, Dist-Hooghly	4.7	3.93	19.59
West Bengal	Integrated Weed Management	1.0	Farmers' Practice	Vill- Lahiripur, Block- Gosaba, Dist - 24 Parganas (South)	4.40	3.20	37.5
West Bengal	Organic Rice	1.0	Farmers' Practice	Vill- Dayapur, Block- Gosaba, Dist - 24 Parganas (South)	4.20	3.50	20.0
		85			4.84	4.16	16.35

# Performance of the demonstrated technologies in Rainfed Upland Ecosystem

State and agency / organization	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	Location	FLD Yield (t/ha)	Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
Chhattisgarh	Samleshwari	5	Chudi dhan	Villages- Tirathgarh and Bademarenga District-Bastar	4.2	2.5	68.00
Jharkhand	Sahbhagi Dhan with DSR	30	Lalat	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	4.2	3.2	31.25
Jharkhand	IR 64 Drt.1 with DSR		Shukla-64	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	5.0	4.0	25.00
Jharkhand	Abhisekh with DSR		Shukla-64	Ramgarh, Hazaribagh, Chatra and Koderma	5.2	4.0	30.00
Jharkhand	DRR Dhan 42 under aerobic cultivation	35	Lalat, IR-64, IR-36	Deoghar, Ramgarh, Hazaribag, Latehar, and Ranchi	3.33	3.0	11.00
Jharkhand	CR Dhan 310	5	Lalat, IR-64, IR-36	Deoghar, Ramgarh, Hazaribag, Latehar, and Ranchi	3.43	3.0	14.33
Jharkhand	BVS-1, IR-64 drt- 1, Sahbhagidhan and BVD-110	15	Local var.	Vill. Kanjgi Block: Chanho Dist. Ranchi	3.9	3.2	21.88

Madhya Pradesh	Improved Chinnore	6	Jai sree Ram,Sonam	V.Garra ,Bl. Lalburra,Dist. Balaghat 2	2.26	3.97	-43.07
Madhya Pradesh	Improved Jeera Shanker	6	Jai sree Ram, Sonam	V.Nilji ,Bl. Lalburra,Dist. Balaghat	2.97	4.05	-26.67
Maharashtra	Sakoli-9	5	Local varieties	Jambhili Sadak, Sakoli Tk, Bhandara Dt	4.25	3.55	19.72
		107			3.84	3.44	11.63

## Performance of the demonstrated technologies in Hill Ecosystem

State and agency / organization	Technologies demonstrated	Area (ha)	Local check	check Location		Check Yield (t/ha)	% Yield Advantag e
Himachal Pradesh	'HPR 2880' with complete POP	5.17	HPR 2143 and local	HPR 2143 Rait,Nagrota and local Bhagwan, and Baijnath blocks of Kangra district		2.78	35.25
Himachal Pradesh	HPR 2612 (scented rice) with complete POP	1.0	RP 2421	Baijnath &Nagrota blocks of Kangra district	3.58	2.70	32.59
Himachal Pradesh	HPR 2795 with complete POP	0.83	Local	Rait block of Kangra district	3.19	2.53	26.09
Himachal Pradesh	"HPR 2656' upland rice variety with complete POP	1.0	Local	Rait block of Kangra district	3.06	2.47	23.89
Jammu and Kashmir	Shalimar Rice 4 (for lower altitudes)	31.4	Jehlum, SR1, SR2, SR3	Anatnag, Kupeara, Ganderbal	7.39	6.17	19.77
Jammu and Kashmir	Shalimar Rice 5 (for higher altitudes)	3.6	K332	Anatnag	5.16	4.13	24.94
Jammu and Kashmir	Basmati-564	14	Basmati - 370	Taluka: R.S. Pura District: Jammu	3.41	2.42	40.91
Jammu and Kashmir	SJR-129	6	Basmati - 370	Taluka: R.S. Pura District: Jammu	3.70	2.43	52.26
Manipur	RCM 13 RCM 7	5	Kumbiphou local land race	Kumbi village cluster, Bishnupur district	4.73	3.49	32.6
		68			4.22	3.23	30.65

State and	Technologies	Area	Local check	Location	FLD Yield	Check	% Yield
agency /	demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	Yield	Advantag
organization						(t/ha)	е
West Bengal	Improved	1.0	Swarna	Vill-Katagora,	4.87	3.90	24.8
	Variety – Sujala			Block-			
				Dhaniakhali, Dist-			
				Hooghly			
West Bengal	Improved	1.0	Meghi	Vill.Paharpur	4.60	2.75	67.2
	Variety – Kanak			Block-Ranaghat-1			•••=
				Dt. Nadia			
		2.0			4.73	3.32	42.46

# Performance of the demonstrated technologies in Semi-Deep

# Performance of the demonstrated technologies in Coastal Saline/Problem Soils

State and	Technologies	Area	Local check	Location	FLD Yield	Check	% Yield
agency /	demonstrated	(ha)			(t/ha)	Yield	Advantag
organization						(t/ha)	е
Telangana	Sodic soil	10	Local	Chandepally	6.75	4.92	37.20
	management		practices	village,			
				Motakondur			
				Vadadri district			
West Bengal	Improved	10	Swarna	Vill- Kirtankhali	Cronwas	lamaged by i	inundation
West Deliga	Variety –	1.0	Swarna	Block – Sagar	due t	o cyclone 'Bi	ilbul"
	Gosaba 5			Dist - 24		e cyclone be	libai
				Parganas (South)			
West Bengal	Improved	1.0	Swarna	Vill- Pakhirala,	4.60	3.70	24.3
	Variety –			Block- Gosaba,			
	Gosaba 6			Dist - 24			
				Parganas (South)			
West Bengal	Improved	1.0	CP 1017	Vill_ Sonaga	157	2 5 5	28.2
west bengal	Variety –	1.0		Block- Gosaha	4.57	5.55	20.7
	Raiendra			Dist - 24			
	Mashuri			Parganas (South)			
		13.0		<u> </u>	5.31	4.06	30.81

Out of 852 FLDs reported, about 67.7% were conducted in irrigated rice ecosystem; whereas about 12.56% of FLDs were conducted in rainfed uplands. More than 9% of FLDs were organized in shallow lowlands and 7.98% in hill ecologies. There is a scope to increase the number of FLDs in rainfed and shollow lowland ecologies. The summary statement reveals that the mean yield advantage was the highest in semi deep ecologies (42.46%) followed by Problem Soils/Coastal Saline (30.81%), hills (30.65%). There is a tremendous scope to bridge the yield gaps (particularly Yield gap-II) in case of Rainfed uplands (11.63% mean yield advantage), Shallow lowlands (16.35%) and irrigated ecologies (22.91%). For this, proper extension strategies need to be deployed for large scale adoption of these technologies.

Ecosystem	Total FLDs (ha)	%	Mean FLD Yield (t/ha)	Mean Check Yield (t/ha)	Mean % Yield Advantage
Irrigated	577	67.72	4.99	4.06	22.91
Shallow Lowlands	85	9.98	4.84	4.16	16.35
Rainfed Upland	107	12.56	3.84	3.44	11.63
Hills	68	7.98	4.22	3.23	30.65
Semi-deep	2	0.23	4.73	3.32	42.46
Problem Soils/Coastal Saline	13	1.53	5.31	4.06	30.81
Total or Mean	852		4.65	3.71	25.80

### SUMMARY STATEMENT ON FLDs in VARIOUS ECOSYSTEMS





## MEAN FLD YIELDS OBTAINED ACROSS THE ECOSYSTEMS



### MEAN YIELD ADVANTAGES OBTAINED ACROSS THE ECOSYSTEMS

### Promising Rice Technologies Identified from FLDs 2019-20 Programme

Following are some of the promising technologies identified under the FLD programme during the year 2019-20. In total 50 technologies have been identified from 20 states. The criteria adopted to identify these technologies are relative yield advantages over the existing technologies and the kind local problem the technology tried to address. This is not an exhaustive list, but only indicative list giving those technologies that could be tried in these states.

These technologies will help either in withstanding abiotic stresses (Sambha Sub-1 + INM for submergence, DRR Dhan 42 for drought tolerance, Daksha for aerobic condition), improving the field productivity (Samleshwari, SJR-129, BINA Dhan-17, Kanak, Ajit, Ratnagiri-8, Shalimar Rice 40), solving the local problems (Problem soil management, KAU Weed Wiper), labour scarcity (Machine transplanting), early harvest for facilitating rabi crops (Sahbhagi dhan), better basmati options for farmers (Basmati 564), consumer preferences (RC Maniphou-13), replacing the popular varieties (CO 52, TKM 13, CR Dhan 909) etc., But a viable strategy should be in place before these promising technologies making a difference in the livelihoods of farmers.

It may be noted that, a technology with highest % yield advantage may not necessarily be a technology that has wider adaptability. In such cases, the yield advantage may help in enhancing the farm level productivity. A technology with average % of yield advantage may have wider adaptability, which may result in enhancing the production in larger area. Hence, the development departments may consider these technologies to take up popularization programmes in much larger areas. For further details on individual technologies and the farmers selected for the FLDs, the corresponding Nodal Officers (please see annexure) in the state may be contacted.

S. No	State	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	% Yield
				Advantage
1.	Andhra Pradesh	Irrigated	Integrated Weed Management	15.55
2.	Assam	Rainfed lowland	CR Dhan 310	32.65
3.	Bihar	Irrigated low land	CR Dhan 909	25.86
4.	Chhattisgarh	Rainfed Shallow Lowlands	IGKV R 1	13.86
5.	Chhattisgarh	Upland	Samleshwari	68.00
6.	Gujarat	Irrigated	GNR-7	15.63
7.	Gujarat	Irrigated	GAR-14	20.27
8.	Himachal Pradesh	Hills	HPR 2880	35.25
9.	Himachal Pradesh	Hills	HPR 2612	32.59
10.	Himachal Pradesh	Hills	HPR 2795	26.09
11.	Himachal Pradesh	Hills	HPR 2656	23.89
12.	Jammu and Kashmir	Hills	Shalimar Rice 5 (for higher altitudes)	24.94
13.	Jammu and Kashmir	Hills	Basmati-564	40.91
14.	Jammu and Kashmir	Hills	SJR-129	52.26
15.	Jharkhand	Rainfed drought prone	Sahbhagi Dhan with DSR	31.25
16.	Jharkhand	Rainfed drought prone	IR 64 Drt.1 with DSR	25.00
17.	Jharkhand	Rainfed drought prone	Abhisekh with DSR	30.00
18.	Jharkhand	Rainfed lowland	BVS-1, IR-64 drt-1, Sahbhagidhan, BVD-110	21.88
19.	Karnataka	Irrigated	Daksha (KMP-175) under aerobic conditions	25.00
20.	Karnataka	Irrigated	B.R.R.I. Dhan-75	37.50
21.	Karnataka	Irrigated	BINA Dhan-17	43.75
22.	Kerala	Irrigated	MO 23 (Pournami)	20.13
23.	Kerala	Low land	'KAU Weed Wiper'	29.17
24.	Kerala	Irrigated	Management of glume discoloration	24.32

S. No	State	Ecosystem	Technologies demonstrated	% Yield
				Advantage
25.	Madhya Pradesh	Irrigated	JRB 1	16.34
26.	Madhya Pradesh	Irrigated	JR 81	18.28
27.	Maharashtra	Rainfed	Sakoli-9	19.72
28.	Maharashtra	Irrigated	Ratnagiri-8	28.29
29.	Manipur	Hills	RCM 13, RCM 7	32.6
30.	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 206	18.35
31.	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 304	17.65
32.	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 306	25.00
33.	Odisha	Irrigated	CR Dhan 601	20.46
34.	Odisha	Rainfed Shallow Lowland	Hasanta	19.78
35.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	IPDM with ADT 51	44.90
36.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	CO 52	15.99
37.	Tamil Nadu	Irrigated	TKM 13	13.33
38.	Telangana	Irrigated	WGL 44 (Siddhi)	25.00
39.	Telangana	Irrigated	CGZR 2	39.43
40.	Telangana	Irrigated	Protozin	34.29
41.	Telangana	Problem Soils	Sodic soil management	37.20
42.	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	Sambha Sub-1/ INM	35.47
43.	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	NDR 2101/ New Variety	39.90
44.	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	NDR 2101/ INM	42.93
45.	Uttar Pradesh	Irrigated	SHIATS Dhan 1	27.82
46.	Uttarakhand	Irrigated	VL Dhan 68	21.86
47.	West Bengal	Irrigated	Machine Transplanting	25.06
48.	West Bengal	Irrigated	Ajit	37.80
49.	West Bengal	Rainfed Semideep	Improved Variety – Kanak	67.20
50.	West Bengal	Rainfed Shallow Land	Integrated Weed Management	37.50

#### **V. CONCLUSIONS**

During the year through this programme, a cafeteria of rice technologies were demonstrated in 852 hectare area covering 20 states and six major rice ecosystems of the country. FLDs organized during this year have been effective in creating the awareness about the potential of new rice varieties, hybrids and other management technologies. In majority of the cases the yield advantages recorded by the FLD technologies were significant.

Out of 852 FLDs reported, about 67.7% were conducted in irrigated rice ecosystem; whereas about 12.56% of FLDs were conducted in rainfed uplands. More than 9% of FLDs were organized in shallow lowlands and 7.98% in hill ecologies. There is a scope to increase the number of FLDs in rainfed and shollow lowland ecologies. The summary statement reveals that the mean yield advantage was the highest in semi deep ecologies (42.46%) followed by Problem Soils/Coastal Saline (30.81%), hills (30.65%). There is a tremendous scope to bridge the yield gaps (particularly Yield gap-II) in case of Rainfed uplands (11.63% mean yield advantage), Shallow lowlands (16.35%) and irrigated ecologies (22.91%). For this, proper extension strategies need to be deployed for large scale adoption of these technologies.

FLD technologies demonstrated in irrigated ecosystems have recorded mean yield of 4.99 t/ha where as in Shallow lowlands FLD technologies have recorded an average yield of 4.84 t/ha. Average demonstration yields in rainfed uplands was 3.84 t/ha. This shows the attainable yield potential in the farmers' fields, which needs to be considered for planning the extension programs in these regions. The range of yield advantages explains that there are few promising technologies, if properly adopted by the farmers may result in enhancing the farm level productivity.

In total 50 promising technologies have been identified from 20 states. These technologies will help either in withstanding abiotic stresses (Sambha Sub-1 + INM for submergence, DRR Dhan 42 for drought tolerance, Daksha for aerobic condition), improving the field productivity (Samleshwari, SJR-129, BINA Dhan-17, Kanak, Ajit, Ratnagiri-8, Shalimar Rice 40), solving the local problems (Problem soil management, KAU Weed Wiper), labour scarcity (Machine transplanting), early harvest for facilitating rabi crops (Sahbhagi dhan), better basmati options for farmers (Basmati 564), consumer preferences (RC Maniphou-13), replacing the popular varieties (CO 52, TKM 13, CR Dhan 909) etc., But a viable strategy should be in place before these promising technologies making a difference in the livelihoods of farmers.

It may be noted that, a technology with highest % yield advantage may not necessarily be a technology that has wider adaptability. In such cases, the % yield advantage may help in enhancing the farm level productivity. A technology with average % of yield advantage may have wider adaptability, which may result in enhancing the production in larger area. Hence, the development departments may consider these technologies to take up popularization programmes in much larger areas. For further details on individual technologies and the farmers selected for the FLDs, the corresponding Nodal Officers (please see annexure) in the state may be contacted.

During this year, monitoring was done across the country in select locations involving a team of IIRR scientists. The monitoring teams have visited FLD sites and interacted with the farmers. Various components such as field boards, list of farmers, performance of technologies, input supply details were verified during these visits.

The new varieties and technologies demonstrated need to be popularized in an extensive way, so as to enhance the productivity and production on a location specific basis. The effectiveness of the FLDs can be enhanced much more through proper planning, expeditious administrative approval, timely release of funds and critical monitoring. The fruits of FLDs can be harnessed on large scale, if proper follow up activities are taken up by the state departments of agriculture.

Of late, there is a general feeling that, focus of FLD programme should be not only on productivity, but also on profitability. The economics of FLD technologies can be effectively captured, if FLD cooperators provide primary data as per the Final Report Proforma (annexure-V). IIRR is making efforts to analyze the time series data of FLD programme for policy advocacy. As a part of this initiative a comprehensive database is developed on FLDs conducted in last two decades and is made available at http://www.fld.rkmp.co.in.

### LIST OF NODAL OFFICERS

### List of officials involved in organizing the FLDs during 2019-20

### Coordination:

Dr. SR Voleti, Director, IIRR

Dr. Shaik N. Meera, Principal Scientist, Transfer of Technology and Training Section, IIRR Dr. S. Arun Kumar, Scientist, Transfer of Technology and Training Section, IIRR

	Chaba	Name and address of redal	011		Ctoto	
5. NO.	State	officer		5. NO.	State	officer
1.	Andhra Pradesh	Dr PV Satyanaryana, Principal Scientist (Rice), Dr M. Girija Rani, Senior Scientist (GPB), Dr BNVSR Ravi kumar, Senior scientist (GPB) Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Regional Agricultural Research Station		5.	Bihar	Dr. Narayan Bhakta Dr. V. K. Yadav, ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region, ICAR Parisar, P.O. Bihar Veterinary College,Patna- 800014 (Bihar)
		Maruteru-534122 psriceangrau@gmail.com, adr.godavarizone@gmail.com		6.	Chhattisgar h	Dr Girish Chandel IGKV Raipur 09340900521
2	Andhra	girija_aprri@yahoo.com 9490545888 9490195904		7.	Chhattisgar h	Dr. Sandeep Bhandarkar IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh sandeep_bhandarkar2002@yah
2.	Pradesh	ARS Bapatla 522101 Andhra Pradesh 09494997701		8.	Chhattisgar h	Dr. Deepak Sharma Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Raipur deepakigkv@gmail.com
		09441/21120 rrubapatla@gmail.com		9.	Chhattisgar h	Dr. Sonali kar SG College of Agriculture,
3. Andhra Dr. B. Sreedevi Pradesh, Principal Scientist, Indian Telangana Institute of Rice Research,					Jagdalpur, Bastar, CG <u>sonalikar31@gmail.com</u> 9424282716	
	& Kerala	Rajendranagar, Hyderabad- 500030, <u>sreedevi.palakalanu@gmail.com</u> 9440089607		10.	Gujarat	Dr Prajapati, Main Rice Research Station , Anand Agriculture University, Nawagam, Gujarat <u>rsrice mrrs@yahoo.com</u>
4.	Assam	Dr. R. Bhagawati Principal Scientist & Officer In- charge (I/C) ICAR-NRRI-RRLRRS, Gerua, Hajo Kamrup-781102, Assam, 09436252487, Phone: +91-361- 2820334		11.	Gujarat	9429384207 Dr. Pathik Kumar B. Patel Main Rice Research Centre, Navsari Agricultural University, ARU cross road, Navsari – 396450 gujaratpbp_swm@nau.in
		FAX: +91-361-2820370 rbhagawati@rediffmail.com		12.	Himachal Pradesh	Dr. B.S.Mankotia CSKHPKV- Malan 9459083612
				13.	Jammu & Kashmir	Dr. Ashaq Hussain, Dr N R Sofi, Dr M A Mantoo, Dr N A Bhat,

&

Mountain Research Centre for field crops SK University of

Science

Agricultural

Technology of Kashmir

S. No.	State	Name and address of nodal officer
14.	Jammu & Kashmir	Dr. Anuradha saha SKUAST-J, Chatha, J&K <u>anuradha agron@yahoo.co.in</u> 9419202983
15.	Jharkhand	Dr B.C. Verma, Dr. S.M. Prasad Dr. S. Bhagat Dr. Sudarsha Sekhar CRURRS Hazaribagh bishash.ssac@gmail.com 9863083855, 9065343014
16.	Jharkhand	Dr. Krishna Prasad , i/c Rice Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics Kanke, Ranchi – 6 <u>krishna dumka@yahoo.co.in</u> 9934199128
17.	Jharkhand	Dr. Binay kumar Singh, senior scientist, ICAR -Indian institute of agricultural biotechnology, Garhkhatanga , Namkum, Ranchi-834010
18.	Karnataka	Dr. BG Masthana Reddy, ARS, Gangavathi ARS, Gangavathi- 583227, Karnataka, Koppal (dist) <u>Bgmreddy2006@gmail.com</u> 9448440518 08533270143
19.	Karnataka	Dr. Mahantasivayogayya ARS, Gangavathi ARS, Gangavathi-583227, Karnataka, Koppal (dist) mahant.shivayogayya2@gmail.c om39892
20.	Karnataka	Dr Sujay Hurali ARS, Gangavathi ARS, Gangavathi-583227, Karnataka, Koppal (dist) <u>morphosis77@gmail.com</u> +918105427775
21.	Karnataka	Dr. M. P Rajanna, Zonal Agricultural Research Station, V.C. Farm, Mandya, UAS, Bangalore, mprajanna@roaketmail.com,994 5900893

S. No.	State	Name and address of nodal officer
22.	Karnataka	Dr. G. R. Dinesh, AICRIP on Rice ZARS, VC Farm, Mandya, Karnatak-571405, grdenesh@rediffmail.com, 9448980134
23.	Karnataka	Dr. Umesh H. R, AICRIP (Rice), ZARS, VC Farm, Mandya, Karnatak-571405 <u>umeshhr1@rediffmail.com</u> 9481191754
24.	Kerala	Dr. R.Ilangovan Professor & Head Division of Agronomy RARS, Pattambi679 306 ilangovan.r@kau.in
25.	Kerala	K. V. Faseela, assistant professor ( plant breeding and gen) regional agricultural research station, Pattambi, Palakad, kerala-679306, faseela.kv@kau.in, 9947542929
26.	Kerala	Dr. Ambily AK Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., rrsmonocompu@kau.in
27.	Kerala	Dr Vandana Venugopal Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., rrsmonocompu@kau.in
28.	Kerala	Dr Nimmy Jose Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., rrsmonocompu@kau.in
29.	Kerala	Dr Jyothy Sara Jacob Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., <u>rrsmonocompu@kau.in</u>
30.	Kerala	Dr Surendran Rice Research Station, Monocompu, Thakkakkara PO Kerala, alappuzha dt., <u>rrsmonocompu@kau.in</u>

S. No.	State	Name and address of nodal officer
31.	Madhya Pradesh	Dr. Uttam Bisen, college of agriculture/RARS, Balghat Murjhad, Waraseoni, dist. Balaghat, m.p - 481331
32.	Maharashtr a	Drs RL Kunkerkar, Mahendra P Gawai, RG Mardane, RARS Karjat M.S. <u>mahendragawai76@gmail.com</u> 09423454447
33.	Maharashtr a	Dr AS Dalvi, RARS Karjat, M.S. <u>nntdalvi@gmail.com</u> 9404302826
34.	Maharashtr a	Dr. G.R. Sham kumar Senior Rice Breeder, Agriculture Research Station, SAKOLI dist-Bhandara srb-skl@rediffmail.com 9403049472
35.	Maharashtr a	Dr. B.D.Waghmode Principal Scientist and Officer incharge, Agricultural Research Station, Shirgaon- 415 629 Ratnagiri
36.	Manipur	Central Agricultural University
37.	Odisha	Dr. D. N. Bastia, Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, college of agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar-751003, Odisha
38.	Odisha	Dr. Mihir Ranjan Mohanty , Junior breeder -cum-officer-in- charge,RRTTSS OUAT Jeyapore, district-Koraput, Odisha, pin-764 001
39.	Odisha	Dr N C Rath, PS (Agril Extension), ECT Division, Central Rice Research Institute Cuttack 753006 ncrathcrri@yahoo.co.in 08093146925
40.	Puducherry	Dr V Sridevi, Asst Professor, Department of Agronomy, PAJANCOA, Karaikal 609603 <u>srideviagr@gmail.com</u> 09344833782

S. No.	State	Name and address of nodal officer
41.	Tamil Nadu	R. Suresh and D. Sassi Kumar Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Aduthurai-612101, Thanjavur sureshpbg@gmail.com 9489384427
42.	Tamil Nadu	Drs P Anandhi, D Sassikumar, Suresh Ilamathi Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Aduthurai-612101, Thanjavur srideviagr@gmail.com
43.	Tamil Nadu	Drs. R Pushpam, Saraswathi R, K Amudha Department of Rice Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbotore-641003 <u>rice@tnau.ac.in</u>
44.	Tamil Nadu	Dr. S. Arun Kumar Scientist, Extension Indian Institute of Rice Research Hyderabad 500 030 09246548340 arunswarnaraj@gmail.com (In collaboration with Farmer Producer Organisations)
45.	Tamil Nadu	Dr A Sheeba RRS Tirur <u>arstirur@tnau.ac.in</u> <u>sheebateddy@gmail.com</u> 09842005221
46.	Telangana	Dr N Vekateshwar Rao, Sreenivasa Reddy, J Vijay KVK Jammikunta neelamrao2000@gmail.com 09848573710 Dr. S. Arun Kumar Indian Institute of Rice Research Hyderabad
47.	Telangana	Dr MA Arifkhan, Dr Shankar Programme Coordinator KVK Kampasagar & Indian Institute of Rice Research Hyderabad Dr. S. Arun Kumar Indian Institute of Rice Research Hyderabad

S. No.	State	Name and address of nodal
48.	Telangana	Dr. K. Surekha, principal scientist, soil science, ICAR-IIRR, RAJENDRA NAGAR, Hyderabad- 500030, surekhakuchi@gmail.com, 9440963382, 040-24591221, 04024591217
49.	Telangana	Drs C Gireesh, Abdul R Fiyaz, MS Anantha, Arun Kumar S ICAR-IIRR, RAJENDRA NAGAR, Hyderabad-500030 <u>giri09@gmail.com</u> <u>genefiyaz@gmail.com</u> <u>anugenes@gmail.com</u>
50.	Telangana	Dr. P. Spandana Bhatt, Scientist(Agronomy), PJTSAU, ARI, Rice Research Centre, Hyderabad, Telangana 500005, spandana9119@gmail.com, 9705162962
51.	Telangana	Dr. U Nagabushanam/ Dr B Satish Chandra Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS) PJTSAU, WARANGAL - 506 007, T.S <u>chandragene@gmail.com</u> 9948990788.
52.	Telangana	Dr.RMKumarPrincipalScientistandHeadAgronomyIndian Institute of Rice Research,Hyderabad30Kumarrm213@gmail.com,944047649330
53.	Tripura	Dr. S.P. Das ICAR-NEH region, lembuchera, tripura drstdas@gmail.com 9436450747
54.	Uttar Pradesh	Dr. S.P. Giri Masodha, Faizabad spgirinduat@gmail.com 8400097861
55.	Uttar Pradesh	Dr. Suresh Babu . G PROFESSOR & I/C AICRIP, DEPARTMENT OF GENETICS & PLANT BREEDING, NAINI AGRICULTURAL INSTITUTE, SHUATS, NAINI, ALLAHABAD, UP-211507 sureshgpb03@gmail.com 8887127606

		officer
56.	Uttar Pradesh	Dr. VK Srivastava Professor cum Sr. agronomist Department of agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences BHU, Varanasi Vksrivastava_bhu@rediffmail.co m 9415819900
57.	Uttar Pradesh (ACAES – Noida)	Dr. N. P. Singh, Director ACAES, Amity University npsingh@amity.edu
58.	Uttarakhan d	Rice section, GBPUAT PANTNAGAR, US NAGAR , UTTARAKHAND
59.	Uttarakhan d	Dr JP Aditya VPKAS, Uttarakhand
60.	West Bengal	Dr. Sangeet Sekhar Deb Rice Research Station Chinsurah, Hooghly <u>isangeet.sd@gmail.com</u> 08420244711
61.	West Bengal	DR. G K MALLICK & DR. VIVEKANANDA MANDI Rice Research Station , Bankura,WEST BENGAL RRSBANKURA@GMAIL.COM 9474184953
62.	West Bengal	Dr Malay Kr Bhowmick JDA Kolkatta <u>bhowmick_malay@rediffmail.co</u> <u>m</u> 9434239688
63.	Across the country	Dr CN Neeraja PS ICAR IIRR Rajendranagar Hyderabad cnneeraja@gmail.com 919705003663 914024591285

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support received from Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. We are grateful to, Dr. S.K. Malhotra, Agriculture Commissioner, Joint Secretary (Crops), Dr SS Tomar, Additional Commissioner (Crops), Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare for helping us streamline FLD programme. We thank the ICAR institutes, SAUs, KVKs and State Departments of Agriculture which helped in organizing the compact block demonstrations in various states. The support received from Dr Virendra Singh, Director, Directorate of Rice Development is gratefully acknowledged. We wish to specially record our sincere thanks and appreciation for the scientists/officials directly involved in conducting these FLDs in farmers' fields across the country. Finally we wish to thank the FLD farmers whose enthusiasm towards the new technologies keep the FLD program going. Thanks are also due to Dr P Muthuraman, Dr. Amtul Waris, Dr. B. Nirmala and Dr Santosh Rathod for their support. We wish to place on record our sincere thanks to all the members of FLD monitoring teams.

Thanks to all those directly or indirectly connected with organizing of FLD program in the country.